

# **36-724 Spring 2006: Metropolis-Hastings Example**

**Brian Junker**

**February 22, 2006**

- The Hierarchical Beta-Binomial
- An MCMC solution
- Example: Rat Tumors

# The Hierarchical Beta-Binomial

A simple model for multiple-choice testing: examinees  $i = 1, \dots, N$ , each getting  $y_i$  of  $n_i$  questions right.

1. Level 1:  $y_i | \theta_i \sim \text{Bin}(\theta_i, n_i)$ ;
2. Level 2:  $\theta_i | \alpha, \beta \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$

We are interested in inference about  $\theta_i$ , the probability that examinee  $i$  gets a question right — a measure of “proficiency” for examinee  $i$ .

Full model is

$$p(y, \theta | \alpha, \beta) = \prod_{i=1}^N \binom{n_i}{y_i} \theta_i^{y_i} (1 - \theta_i)^{n_i - y_i} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \theta_i^{\alpha-1} (1 - \theta_i)^{\beta-1}$$

Using our “key observation” for each  $\theta_i$ , we see that

$$p(\theta_i | y_i, \alpha, \beta) \propto \theta_i^{\alpha+y_i-1} (1 - \theta_i)^{\beta+n_i-y_i-1} \equiv \theta_i | y_i \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha + y_i, \beta + n_i - y_i)$$

If we fix  $\alpha, \beta$ , we know how to analyze  $\text{Beta}(\alpha + y_i, \beta + n_i - y_i)$ !

In this model, the “population” of  $\theta_i$ ’s has a  $Beta(\alpha, \beta)$  distribution.

What if we want to estimate  $\alpha, \beta$  (estimate the shape of the population / latent distribution)? I.e. what is the distribution of “proficiency” among students who took this test?

Add a third modeling assumption:

3. Level 3:  $\alpha, \beta \sim p(\alpha, \beta)$

Now the full model is

$$p(y, \theta, \alpha, \beta) = \prod_{i=1}^N \binom{n_i}{y_i} \theta_i^{y_i} (1 - \theta_i)^{n_i - y_i} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \theta_i^{\alpha-1} (1 - \theta_i)^{\beta-1} p(\alpha, \beta)$$

Again using the “key observation” for  $\alpha, \beta$ , we see

$$\begin{aligned} p(\theta_i | y_i, \alpha, \beta) &= \text{Beta}(\theta_i | \alpha + y_i, \beta + n_i - y_i) \\ p(\alpha, \beta | y) &\propto p(\alpha, \beta) \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + y_i)\Gamma(\beta + n_i - y_i)}{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + n_i)} \end{aligned}$$

Gelman et al. (pp. 128ff.) suggest computing  $p(\alpha, \beta | y)$ —or actually  $p(\log(\alpha/\beta), \log(\alpha + \beta) | y)$ —on a grid, using trial and error to place the grid over the “interesting” part of the density.

## An MCMC solution

From the full model

$$p(y, \theta, \alpha, \beta) = \prod_{i=1}^N \binom{n_i}{y_i} \theta_i^{y_i} (1 - \theta_i)^{n_i - y_i} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \theta_i^{\alpha-1} (1 - \theta_i)^{\beta-1} p(\alpha, \beta)$$

the “key observation” gives the complete conditionals

$$p(\theta_i | \text{rest}) = \text{Beta}(\theta_i | \alpha + y_i, \beta + n_i - y_i)$$

$$p(\alpha | \text{rest}) \propto \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right]^N \prod_{i=1}^N \theta_i^{\alpha} p(\alpha, \beta)$$

$$p(\beta | \text{rest}) \propto \left[ \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\beta)} \right]^N \prod_{i=1}^N (1 - \theta_i)^{\beta} p(\alpha, \beta)$$

This suggests:

- Gibbs step for  $\theta_i$ 's: sample  $\theta_i \sim \text{Beta}(\dots)$  directly
- Metropolis steps for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  using Normal proposal draws (“random walk M-H”). Normal variances are “tuning parameters”.

## Choosing $p(\alpha, \beta)$

We note that for  $\theta \sim B(\alpha, \beta)$ , the “prior mean” is  $\mu = E[\theta] = \alpha/\beta$  and  $\tau^2 = \text{Var}(\theta) = \mu(1 - \mu)/(\alpha + \beta + 1)$ . It is generally easier to think about priors for  $\mu$  and  $\tau^2$  than it is

Imitating what we did in the hierarchical normal case we might take

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mu) &\propto 1, \quad \Rightarrow \quad p(\alpha/\beta) \propto 1 \\ p(\tau) &\equiv p(\tau|\mu) \propto 1, \quad \Rightarrow \quad p(1/\sqrt{\alpha + \beta}) \propto 1 \end{aligned}$$

Transforming back to  $(\alpha, \beta)$  we get

$$p(\alpha, \beta) \propto (\alpha + \beta)^{-5/2}$$

One could imagine other schemes also, e.g.:

- Taking  $(\alpha + \beta)^{-k}$  for higher values of  $k$ .
- Inventing some reasonable proper priors for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , e.g.

$$p(\alpha) = \text{Exp}(\alpha|k) \quad p(\beta) = \text{Exp}(\beta|\ell)$$

(and further levels for  $k$  and  $\ell \dots$ ?)

## Example: Rat Tumors

The data are from Gelman pp. 119 ff. In this case the binomial experiment is to observe the number  $y_i$  of a group of  $n_i$  rats that develop tumors when exposed to some risk factor. Each group of  $n_i$  rats is from a different experiment and so the  $\theta_i = P[\text{tumor in group } i]$  will vary from group to group.

We try the hierarchical beta-binomial model as above.

see R code for this lecture