

Chapter 15

Convergence of Feller Processes

This chapter looks at the convergence of sequences of Feller processes to a limiting process.

Section 15.1 lays some ground work concerning weak convergence of processes with cadlag sample paths.

Section 15.2 states and proves the central theorem about the convergence of sequences of Feller processes.

Section 15.3 examines a particularly important special case, the approximation of ordinary differential equations by pure-jump Markov processes.

15.1 Weak Convergence of Processes with Cadlag Paths (The Skorokhod Topology)

Recall that a sequence of random variables X_1, X_2, \dots converges in distribution on X , or weakly converges on X , $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$, if and only if $\mathbf{E}[f(X_n)] \rightarrow \mathbf{E}[f(X)]$, for all bounded, continuous functions f . This is still true when X_n are random functions, i.e., stochastic processes, only now the relevant functions f are functionals of the sample paths.

Definition 196 (Convergence in Finite-Dimensional Distribution) *Random processes X_n on T converge in finite-dimensional distribution on X , $X_n \xrightarrow{fd} X$, when, $\forall J \in \text{Fin}(T)$, $X_n(J) \xrightarrow{d} X(J)$.*

Lemma 197 (Finite and Infinite Dimensional Distributional Convergence) *Convergence in finite-dimensional distribution is necessary but not sufficient for convergence in distribution.*

PROOF: Necessity is obvious: the coordinate projections π_t are continuous functionals of the sample path, so they must converge if the distributions converge. Insufficiency stems from the problem that, even if a sequence of X_n all have sample paths in some set U , the limiting process might not: recall our example (79) of the version of the Wiener process with unmeasurable suprema. \square

Definition 198 (The Space \mathbf{D}) By $\mathbf{D}(T, \Xi)$ we denote the space of all cadlag functions from T to Ξ . By default, \mathbf{D} will mean $\mathbf{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \Xi)$.

\mathbf{D} admits of multiple topologies. For most purposes, the most convenient one is the *Skorokhod topology*, a.k.a. the J_1 topology or the *Skorokhod J_1 topology*, which makes $\mathbf{D}(\Xi)$ a complete separable metric space when Ξ is itself complete and separable. (See Appendix A2 of Kallenberg.) For our purposes, we need only the following notion and propositions.

Definition 199 (Modified Modulus of Continuity) The modified modulus of continuity of a function $x \in \mathbf{D}(T, \Xi)$ at time $t \in T$ and scale $h > 0$ is given by

$$w(x, t, h) \equiv \inf_{(I_k)} \max_k \sup_{r, s \in I_k} \rho(x(s), x(r)) \quad (15.1)$$

where the infimum is over partitions of $[0, t)$ into half-open intervals whose length is at least h (except possibly for the last one). Because x is cadlag, for fixed x and t , $w(x, t, h) \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$.

Proposition 200 (Weak Convergence in $\mathbf{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \Xi)$) Let Ξ be a complete, separable metric space. Then a sequence of random functions $X_1, X_2, \dots \in \mathbf{D}(\mathbb{R}^+, \Xi)$ converges in distribution to $X \in \mathbf{D}$ if and only if

- i The set $T_c = \{t \in T : X(t) = X(t^-)\}$ has a countable dense subset T_0 , and the finite-dimensional distributions of the X_n converge on those of X on T_0 .
- ii For every t ,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{E}[w(X_n, t, h) \wedge 1] = 0 \quad (15.2)$$

PROOF: See Kallenberg, Theorem 16.10, pp. 313–314. \square

Proposition 201 (Sufficient Condition for Weak Convergence) The following three conditions are all equivalent, and all imply condition (ii) in Proposition 200.

- 1. For any sequence of a.s.-finite \mathcal{F}^{X_n} -optional times τ_n and positive constants $h_n \rightarrow 0$,

$$\rho(X_n(\tau_n), X_n(\tau_n + h_n)) \xrightarrow{P} 0 \quad (15.3)$$

2. For all $t > 0$, for all

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\sigma, \tau} \mathbf{E} [\rho(X_n(\sigma), X_n(\tau)) \wedge 1] = 0 \quad (15.4)$$

where σ and τ are \mathcal{F}^{X_n} -optional times $\sigma, \tau \leq t$, with $\sigma \leq \tau \leq \tau + h$.

3. For all $t > 0$,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\tau \leq t} \sup_{0 \leq h \leq \delta} \mathbf{E} [\rho(X_n(\tau), X_n(\tau + h)) \wedge 1] = 0 \quad (15.5)$$

where the supremum in τ runs over all \mathcal{F}^{X_n} -optional times $\leq t$.

PROOF: See Kallenberg, Theorem 16.11, pp. 314–315. \square

15.2 Convergence of Feller Processes

We need some technical notions about generators.

Definition 202 (Closed and Closable Generators, Closures) *A linear operator A on a Banach space \mathcal{B} is closed if its graph — $\{f, g \in \mathcal{B}^2 : f \in \text{Dom}(A), g = Af\}$ — is a closed set. An operator is closable if the closure of its graph is a function (and not just a relation). The closure of a closable operator is that function.*

Notice, by the way, that because A is linear, it is closable iff $f_n \rightarrow 0$ and $Af_n \rightarrow g$ implies $g = 0$.

Definition 203 (Core of an Operator) *Let A be a closed linear operator on a Banach space \mathcal{B} . A linear subspace $D \subseteq \text{Dom}(A)$ is a core of A if the closure of A restricted to D is, again A .*

The idea of a core is that we can get away with knowing how the operator works on a linear subspace, which is often much easier to deal with, rather than controlling how it acts on its whole domain.

Lemma 204 (Feller Generators Are Closed) *The generator of every Feller semigroup is closed.*

PROOF: We need to show that the graph of G contains all of its limit points, that is, if $f_n \in \text{Dom}(G)$ converges (in L_∞) on f , and $Gf_n \rightarrow g$, then $f \in \text{Dom}(G)$ and $Gf = g$. First we show that $f \in \text{Dom}(G)$.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (I - G)f_n = \lim_n f_n - \lim_n Gf_n \quad (15.6)$$

$$= f - g \quad (15.7)$$

But $(I - G)^{-1} = R_1$. Since this is a bounded linear operator, we can exchange applying the inverse and taking the limit, i.e.,

$$R_1 \lim_n (I - G)f_n = R_1(f - g) \quad (15.8)$$

$$\lim_n R_1(I - G)f_n = R_1(f - g) \quad (15.9)$$

$$\lim_n f_n = R_1(f - g) \quad (15.10)$$

$$f = R_1(f - g) \quad (15.11)$$

Since the range of the resolvents is contained in the domain of the generator, $f \in \text{Dom}(G)$. We can therefore say that $f - g = (I - G)f$, which implies that $Gf = g$. Hence, the graph of G contains all its limit points, and G is closed. \square

Theorem 205 (Convergence of Feller Processes) *Let X_n be a sequence of Feller processes with semigroups $K_{n,t}$ and generators G_n , and X be another Feller process with semigroup K_t and a generator G containing a core D . Then the following are equivalent.*

1. *If $f \in D$, there exists a sequence of $f_n \in \text{Dom}(G_n)$ such that $\|f_n - f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ and $\|A_n f_n - A f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$.*
2. *For every $t > 0$, $K_{n,t} f \rightarrow K_t f$ for every $f \in C_0$*
3. *$\|K_{n,t} f - K_t f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ for each $f \in C_0$, uniformly in t for bounded positive t*
4. *If $X_n(0) \xrightarrow{d} X(0)$ in Ξ , then $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ in \mathbf{D} .*

PROOF: See Kallenberg, Theorem 19.25, p. 385. \square

Remark: The important versions of the property above are the second — convergence of the semigroups — and the fourth — converge in distribution of the processes. The other two are there to simplify the proof. The way the proof works is to first show that conditions (1)–(3) are all equivalent, i.e., that convergence of the operators in the semi-group implies the apparently-stronger conditions about uniform convergence as well as convergence on the core. Then one establishes the equivalence between the second condition and the fourth. To go from convergence in distribution to convergence of conditional expectations is fairly straightforward; to go the other way involves using both the first and third condition, and the first part of Proposition 201. This last step uses the Feller properties to bound, in expectation, the amount by which the process can move in a small amount of time.

Corollary 206 (Convergence of Discret-Time Markov Processes on Feller Processes) *Let X be a Feller process with semigroup K_t , generator G and core D as in Theorem 205. Let h_n be a sequence of positive real constants converging (not necessarily monotonically) to zero. Let Y_n be a sequence of discrete-time Markov processes with evolution operators H_n . Finally, let*

$X_n(t) \equiv Y_n(\lfloor t/h_n \rfloor)$, with corresponding semigroup $K_{n,t} = H_n^{\lfloor t/h_n \rfloor}$, and generator $A_n = (1/h_n)(H_n - I)$. Even though X_n is not in general a homogeneous Markov process, the conclusions of Theorem 205 remain valid.

PROOF: Kalleneberg, Theorem 19.28, pp. 387–388. \square

Remark 1: The basic idea is to show that the process X_n is close (in the Skorokhod-topology sense) to a Feller process \tilde{X}_n , whose generator is A_n . One then shows that \tilde{X}_n converges on X , using Theorem 205, and that $\tilde{X}_n \xrightarrow{d} X_n$.

Remark 2: Even though $K_{n,t}$ in the corollary above is not, strictly speaking, the time-evolution operator of X_n , because X_n is not a Markov process, it is a conditional expectation operator. Much more general theorems can be proved on when non-Markov processes converge on Markov processes, using the idea that $K_{n,t} \rightarrow K_t$. See Kurtz (1975).

15.3 Approximation of Ordinary Differential Equations by Markov Processes

The following result, due to Kurtz (1970, 1971), is essentially an application of Theorem 205.

First, recall that continuous-time, discrete-state Markov processes work essentially like a combination of a Poisson process (giving the time of transitions) with a Markov chain (giving the state moved to on transitions). This can be generalized to continuous-time, continuous-state processes, of what are called “pure jump” type.

Definition 207 (Pure Jump Markov Process) *A continuous-parameter Markov process is a pure jump process when its sample paths are piece-wise constant. For each state, there is an exponential distribution of times spent in that state, whose parameter is denoted $\lambda(x)$, and a transition probability kernel or exit distribution $\mu(x, B)$.*

Observe that pure-jump Markov processes always have cadlag sample paths. Also observe that the average amount of time the process spends in state x , once it jumps there, is $1/\lambda(x)$. So the time-average “velocity”, i.e., rate of change, starting from x ,

$$\lambda(x) \int_{\Xi} (y - x) \mu(x, dy)$$

Proposition 208 (Pure-Jump Markov Processes and ODEs) *Let X_n be a sequence of pure-jump Markov processes with state spaces Ξ_n , holding time parameters λ_n and transition probabilities μ_n . Suppose that, for all n Ξ_n is a Borel-measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^k for some k . Let Ξ be another measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^k , on which there exists a function $F(x)$ such that $|F(x) - F(y)| \leq M|x - y|$ for some constant M . Suppose all of the following conditions holds.*

1. The time-averaged rate of change is always finite:

$$\sup_n \sup_{x \in \Xi_n \cap \Xi} \lambda_n(x) \int_{\Xi_n} |y - x| \mu_n(x, dy) < \infty \quad (15.12)$$

2. There exists a positive sequence $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \Xi_n \cap \Xi} \lambda_n(x) \int_{|y-x|>\epsilon} |y - x| \mu_n(x, dy) = 0 \quad (15.13)$$

3. The worst-case difference between $F(x)$ and the time-averaged rates of change goes to zero:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in \Xi_n \cap \Xi} \left| F(x) - \lambda_n(x) \int (y - x) \mu_n(x, dy) \right| = 0 \quad (15.14)$$

Let $X(s, x_0)$ be the solution to the initial-value problem where the differential is given by F , i.e., for each $0 \leq s \leq t$,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} X(s, x_0) = F(X(s, x_0)) \quad (15.15)$$

$$X(0, x_0) = x_0 \quad (15.16)$$

and suppose there exists an $\eta > 0$ such that, for all n ,

$$\Xi_n \cap \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^k : \inf_{0 \leq s \leq t} |y - X(s, x_0)| \leq \eta \right\} \subseteq \Xi \quad (15.17)$$

Then $\lim X_n(0) = x_0$ implies that, for every $\delta > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |X_n(s) - X(s, x_0)| > \delta \right) = 0 \quad (15.18)$$

The first conditions on the X_n basically make sure that they are Feller processes. The subsequent ones make sure that the mean time-averaged rate of change of the jump processes converges on the instantaneous rate of change of the differential equation, and that, if we're sufficiently close to the solution of the differential equation in \mathbb{R}^k , we're not in some weird way outside the relevant domains of definition. Even though Theorem 205 is about weak convergence, converging in distribution on a non-random object is the same as converging in probability, which is how we get uniform-in-time convergence in probability for a conclusion.

There are, broadly speaking, two kinds of uses for this result. One kind is practical, and has to do with justifying convenient approximations. If n is large, we can get away with using an ODE instead of the noisy stochastic scheme, or alternately we can use stochastic simulation to approximate the solutions of ugly ODEs. The other kind is theoretical, about showing that the large-population limit behaves deterministically, even when the individual behavior is stochastic and strongly dependent over time.

15.4 Exercises

Exercise 15.1 (Poisson Counting Process) *Show that the Poisson counting process is a pure jump Markov process.*

Exercise 15.2 (Exponential Holding Times in Pure-Jump Processes) *Prove that pure-jump Markov processes have exponentially-distributed holding times, i.e., that if X is a Markov process with piecewise-constant sample paths, and $\epsilon_x = \inf t > 0 : X(t) \neq x$, that $\epsilon_x | X(0) = x$ is exponentially distributed.*

Exercise 15.3 (Solutions of ODEs are Feller Processes) *Let $F : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$ be a sufficiently smooth vector field that the ordinary differential equation $dx/dt = F(x)$ has a unique solution for every initial condition x_0 . Prove that the set of solutions forms a Feller process.*