

A Note on the Uniqueness of the Lasso Solution

Alessandro Rinaldo*
Department of Statistics
Carnegie Mellon University

Abstract

In this note we show that, if β_1 and β_2 are two distinct solutions to the lasso problem $\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|_1$ for some $n \times p$ matrix X with $p > n$, then $X\beta_1 = X\beta_2$.

Consider the lasso problem,

$$\min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\beta\|_1, \quad (1)$$

where X is a $n \times p$ design matrix. For each solution $\hat{\beta}$ to the unconstrained problem (1), there exists a value $t > 0$ such that $\hat{\beta}$ solves the constrained program

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p} & \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 \\ \text{s.t.} & \|\beta\|_1 \leq t, \end{aligned}$$

with $\|\hat{\beta}\|_1 = t$ (see [Osborne et al., 2000](#)). If $p > n$, then there may not be a unique solution.

Proposition Let β_1, β_2 be two distinct solutions to (1). Then, $X\beta_1 = X\beta_2$.

Proof. Using results from [Osborne et al. \(2000\)](#), one can verify that

$$\|r_1\|_2^2 = \|r_2\|_2^2 \quad (2)$$

and

$$r_i^\top X\beta_i = \lambda \|\beta_i\|_1 = \lambda t, \quad (3)$$

where $r_i = y - X\beta_i$, for $i = 1, 2$. Because of (2), we have

$$0 = \langle y - X\beta_1, y - X\beta_1 \rangle - \langle y - X\beta_2, y - X\beta_2 \rangle,$$

which implies

$$\beta_1^\top X^\top X\beta_1 - \beta_2^\top X^\top X\beta_2 = 2y^\top X(\beta_1 - \beta_2). \quad (4)$$

*Email: arinaldo@stat.cmu.edu

Rewrite (2) in a different form as

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \langle y - X\beta_1 - y + X\beta_2, y - X\beta_1 + y - X\beta_2 \rangle \\
&= \langle X(\beta_2 - \beta_1), r_1 + r_2 \rangle \\
&= r_1^\top X\beta_2 - r_1^\top X\beta_1 + r_2^\top X\beta_2 - r_2^\top X\beta_1 \\
&= r_1^\top X\beta_2 - r_2^\top X\beta_1 \\
&= y^\top X(\beta_1 - \beta_2),
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where, in the forth equality, we use $r_1^\top X\beta_1 = r_2^\top X\beta_2$, stemming from (3). Combining (4) and (5), we get

$$\beta_1^\top X^\top X\beta_1 = \beta_2^\top X^\top X\beta_2, \tag{6}$$

Because of the convexity of the set of all lasso solutions (see, again, [Osborne et al., 2000](#)), for any $0 < \lambda < 1$, the vector $\beta_3 = \lambda\beta_1 + (1 - \lambda)\beta_2$ is also a solution to (1). By the same arguments leading to (6), we obtain

$$\beta_1^\top X^\top X\beta_1 = \beta_2^\top X^\top X\beta_2 = \beta_3^\top X^\top X\beta_3.$$

Then, letting $y_i = X\beta_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $K = \|y_1\|_2^2$, we get $y_3 = \lambda y_1 + (1 - \lambda)y_2$ and

$$\|y_1\|_2^2 = \|y_2\|_2^2 = \|y_3\|_2^2 = K,$$

which gives a contradiction unless $y_1 = y_2$, because the $n - 1$ sphere $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\|_2^2 = K\}$ is not a convex set. The claim is proved. ■

References

Osborne, M., Presnell, B. and Turlach, B. A. (2000). On the LASSO and Its Dual. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, 9 (2), 319–337.