36-303: Sampling, Surveys and Society

Midterm Review Brian W. Junker 132E Baker Hall brian@stat.cmu.edu

16 February 2010

Handouts

- These Lecture Notes
- Formula Sheet for Exam
- HW02 solutions (on course website)
- Midsemester Course Evaluation (at end of class)

16 February 2010

Outline

- Review For Midterm Exam
 - □ Thurs Feb 18, 2008
 - Closed book, closed notes
 - □ Formula sheet provided; calculator encouraged!
- Team Project Status
 - $\hfill \square$ Where everyone is now
 - Review requirements 'till Spring Break

16 February 2010

Review: Major Components of a Survey

- Research Objectives
 - Research Questions
 - Constructs
- Target Population
- Mode of Data Collection
 FTF, Phone, Mail, Email/Web, ...
- Sampling Frame
- Random Sample "Not random? Not representative!"
- Measurement (e.g. Survey Questions)
- Nonresponse
 - Response Rate
 - (Self-)Selection bias
- Following up nonrespondents
- Coding, Editing, Analyzing, Reporting

16 February 2010

Measurement Quality

- <u>Validity</u> (low bias) Are the answers giving us information about our research question?
- <u>Reliability</u> (low variability) Do two people with the same status (opinion, income, etc.) give the same answer?
- Question Design see below
- Processing & Coding Errors

16 February 2010

Representation Quality

- <u>Coverage Error</u> How well does the Sampling Frame cover the Target Population?
- Sampling Error
 - $\,\,{\scriptstyle\square}\,\,$ Bias Reduce with random sample, high response rate r/n
 - Variability Reduce with larger <u>sampling fraction</u> n/N
- Nonresponse Error
 - Response Rate
 - (Self-)Selection bias
- Following up nonrespondents
- Adjustment Error (weights)

6 February 2010

6

Methods of Data Collection For human surveys: Affordable? Believable Results? Coverage? Response Rates? □ Face to face (FTF) Telephone Mail □ Email/Web Response Rates r/n (number of responses / number asked): Suggest at least 50% (more like 70%) response rate, to make "representativeness" argument easy 70% or greater Telephone 20-70% 30% Mail □ Email/Web 20-30% 16 February 2010

Questions and Answers

- Define what you want to measure
 - Make sure research question is well focused
- 2. Design the questions around that
- 3. Pretest every revision
 - Does respondent understand question?
 - Can respondent recall relevant information?
 - Can respondent combine, edit relevant info?
 - Does respondent accurately report answer?

Experts, Cog Interviews & Focus Gps, Field Tests

16 February 20

Questions and Answers – Some Pointers

- Simple Language
- Common Concepts
- Manageable Tasks (shared definitions, recall, hypotheticals)
- Widespread Information
- Specific vs General Questions
- Question Order
- Open vs Closed Questions
- Likert (agree/disagree) vs Forced-Choice
- Question Wording; Loading
- Pleasing the Interviewer (socially desirable answers)

Confidentiality

Respect for persons;
 Sensitive information

Carelessness

Threats to confidentiality

Open gov't laws

Statistical disclosure

Pretest, pretest, pretest

16 February 2010

Ethics

- Fabrication, Falsification, Plagiarism
- Responsibilities to clients manageable projects; report & correct errors
- Reporting to the public
 - Who sponsored it, who carried it out
 - $\hfill \square$ The exact wording of questions
 - Target population, sampling frame, sampling method, response rates, nonresponse followup
 - Sample size, precision (SE) of estimates, which results are based on only part of sample
 - □ Method, location, dates of data collection

16 February 2010

10

Ethics (2)

- Legal obligations to respondents IRB
 - Risk/Benefit tradeoffs
 - Informed Consent
- Ethical obligations to respondents
 - Beneficence
 - Justice
 - Respect for Persons
 Informed Consent
- Informed Consent
 Informed Consent
- Purpose
- Purpose
- Risks/Benefits
- ConfidentialityCompensation for harm
- Contact info for any questions
- Participation is voluntary

16 February 2010

Statistics for Surveys

- Review:
 - Discrete RV's
 - Expected Value, Mean, Varaince
 - Covariance and Independence
 - Linear Combinations
 - SRS with replacement:
 - CLT, Confidence Interval, Sample Size ...
 - $\ \square$ Conditioning

16 February 2010

10 12

Statistics for Surveys (2)

- Urn Models
 - SRS with replacement (<u>elementary statistics</u>: the urn never changes)
 - SRS w/o replacement (<u>survey sampling</u>: the urn changes after every draw)
 - SE's are smaller than for SRS with replacement
 - CLT doesn't work for all "large enough" sample sizes
 n>20 or so seems to be important, as usual
 - □ n/N > 0.8 or 0.9 and things start getting bad again

16 February 2010

uary 2010

Statistics for Surveys (3)

- Finite Population Correction (FPC)
 - □ Data y_i are fixed;
 - □ Sampling indicators Z_i are random
 - □ Leads to FPC:

$$SE_{(SRS\ w/o\ repl)} = \sqrt{1-f} \times SE_{(SRS\ with\ repl)}$$

$$f = n/N$$

(what would we do with this?)

16 February 2010

14

Statistics for Surveys (4)

Sample size calculation, SRS with replacement

$$n \geq n_0 \; , \; \; ext{where} \; n_0 = rac{z_{lpha/2}^2 (SD)^2}{(ME)^2}$$

Sample size calculation, SRS without replacement

$$n \geq rac{Nn_0}{N+n_0} \; , \; ext{ where } n_0 = rac{z_{lpha/2}^2 (SD)^2}{(ME)^2}$$

16 February 2010

Project Topics Chosen (1)

- Perceptions of academic integrity & old course material archives
- Satisfaction with CMU meal plan
- Use and satisfaction with UC athletic facilities
- Career plans of graduating seniors, and influences on seniors here at CMU
- The attributes of innovation needed to meet career challenges in the current economy

16 February 2010

16

Project Topics Chosen (2)

- Knowledge and use of safe walk and escort services on campus
- Perceptions of use, fairness, appropriateness of mandatory student fee at CMU
- Consumer survey: where do students order pizza and why?
- Bike usage survey

16 February 2010

7

Team Project Status: Going Forward

- Everyone chose on-campus projects; that's fine!
- All are moderately interesting/creative; all are doable; most are "actionable"
- Going forward: Very good methods and execution.
 - Well-focused research question(s)
 - Well-defined target population, sampling frame
 - Clear plan for random sampling
 - Clear plan for nonresponse followup
 - Well-designed and pre-tested survey questions
 - Clear statistical analysis
 - Clear, thoughtful scientific writeup

16 February 2010

18

Team Assignments – So Far

- Revised Project Schedule at http://www.stat.cmu.edu/~brian/303
- I.0 Teams Formed (Tue Jan 19)
- I.1 Propose Two Topics (Tue Jan 26)
- I.2 Revise proposas (Thu Feb 4)
- I.3 Choose Topic (Thu Feb 11)
- I.4 Target Pop, Sampling Frame, Sampling Plan, Nonresponse Plan (Tue Feb 16)
- Team Working Agreements (Tue Feb 23)

Team Assignments – 'Till Spr. Break

- Team Working Agreements (Tue Feb 23)
- II.5a. Sampling Scheme & Question Design (Thu Feb 25)
 - Items K, L, M on "designing a sample survey" handout
- II.5b. Pretest & Revise Questions (Tue Mar 2)
- Items N, O on "designing a sample survey" handout
- II.6. Final IRB & Project Plan (Thu Mar 4);
- Final, full project proposal (items A-M on the "designing a sample survey" handout).
 IRB forms filled out completely.
- A draft informed consent statement for your survey. Turn in all three to me, not CMU IRB.
- EACH ASSIGNMENT ABOVE TURN IN BY EMAIL

Review

- Review For Midterm Exam
 - □ Thurs Feb 18, 2008
 - Closed book, closed notes
 - □ Formula sheet provided; calculator encouraged!
- Team Project Status
 - Where everyone is now
 - Review of Requirements 'till Spring Break

16 February 2010