36-303: Sampling, Surveys and Society

Variance Calculations for Weights Brian W. Junker 132E Baker Hall brian@stat.cmu.edu

Handouts

- These Lecture Notes
- Handout: Jackknife and Delta-Method (Taylor Series) Variance Calculations in R (what??)

Outline/Announcements

Today: Variance Calculations for Weights

- Taylor Series
- Jackknife
- Thursday
 - HW05 Due
 - Review for Exam 2 (Tue Apr 12)

Schedule...

Thu Apr 7:

- □ HW 05 due (last hw in class, except for peer reviews!)
- Review for Exam 2
- Fri Apr 8: I will try to email feedback on talks and drafty drafts
- Tue Apr 12: Exam 2
- <u>Tue Apr 19</u>: Second peer evaluations will be due
- April 21, 26, 28: Final In-Class Project Presentations
- April 29: Submit Final Written Reports (email pdf!)
- May 4: MoM
 - o 7 Teams signed up!
 - I will drop your lowest non-zero exam score if you present poster at MoM as a full group (per syllabus).

Variance Calculations for Weights

- Most survey sample estimates have a ratio form: $\overline{y}_w = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i}$
- Two approaches to $Var(\overline{y}_w)$:
 - Use a <u>one-term Taylor approximation</u> to "linearize" the survey estimate, and apply CLT.
 - Use a <u>replication scheme</u> to create "replicate samples" by resampling the real sample and look at the variability among the replicates.
 - Non-overlapping replicates: E.g., <u>Random Partitions</u>
 - Overlapping replicates: E.g., <u>Jackknife Method</u>

Taylor Series Approximation (Bkgd)

The <u>Delta Method</u>

We know that if

$$\hat{ heta} - heta \sim N(0, \sigma^2/n)$$

then

$$a(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \sim N(0, a^2 \sigma^2/n)$$

We can extend this to a nonlinear function

$$f(\hat{\theta}) - f(\theta) = f'(\theta)(\hat{\theta} - \theta) + (remainder)$$

so that

$$f(\hat{\theta}) - f(\theta) \approx f'(\theta)(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \sim N(0, [f'(\theta)]^2 \sigma^2/n)$$

Taylor Series Approximation (Bkgd) Univariate Delta Method $\hat{ heta} - heta \sim N(0, \sigma^2/n)$ If then $f(\hat{\theta}) - f(\theta) \sim N(0, [f'(\theta)]^2 \sigma^2/n)$ Multivariate Delta Method If $\begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \hat{\theta}_2 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim N\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{n} \sum \right)$ then $f\left(\frac{\theta_1}{\hat{\theta}_2}\right) - f\left(\frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2}\right)$ $\sim N\left(\begin{pmatrix}0\\0\end{pmatrix}, \frac{1}{n}(\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_1}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_2})\sum \left(\frac{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_1}}{\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta_2}}\right)\right)$

Taylor Series for Ratio Estimator

Now we consider

$$\overline{y}_w = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i} = \frac{\hat{\theta}_1}{\hat{\theta}_2} = f(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2)$$

The gradient of f has components

$$rac{\partial f}{\partial heta_1} = 1/ heta_2 \ , \quad rac{\partial f}{\partial heta_2} = - heta_1/ heta_2^2$$

• The Variance/Covariance Matrix for (θ_1, θ_2) is $\sum = \begin{bmatrix} Var(\sum_i w_i y_i) & Cov(\sum_i w_i y_i, \sum_i w_i) \\ Cov(\sum_i w_i y_i, \sum_i w_i) & Var(\sum_i w_i) \end{bmatrix}$ Taylor Series Variance for Ratio Estimator

Applying the Multivariate Delta Method we get

$$Var_{TS}(\overline{y}_w) \approx \frac{1}{\left(\sum_i w_i\right)^2} \left[Var(\sum_i w_i y_i) - 2\overline{y}_w Cov(\sum_i w_i y_i, \sum_i w_i) + (\overline{y}_w)^2 Var(\sum_i w_i) \right]$$

Need to calculate the variances and covariance above – see next slide... Calculating the Variances for TS Method... If we assume that each pair $(w_i y_i, w_i)$ is independent of every other pair (not quite true but close!) then

$$Var(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Var(w_i) = nVar(w) \approx n \cdot \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (w_i - \overline{w})^2 = n \cdot s_w^2$$

where $\overline{w} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} w_i$. Similarly,

$$Var(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i w_i) \approx n \cdot \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (w_i y_i - \overline{wy})^2 = n \cdot s_{wy}^2$$

where $\overline{wy} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} w_i y_i$, and

$$Cov(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i w_i, \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i) \approx n \cdot \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (w_i y_i - \overline{wy})(w_i - \overline{w}) = n \cdot s_{wy,w}$$

Example: HSS Advising Survey...

	Adv'ing	Samp		Pop		
Post-Strat.	OK	Total	Prop	Total	Prop	Weights
Economics	28	40	0.132	126	0.128	0.97
$\operatorname{English}$	23	39	0.128	115	0.117	0.91
History	10	21	0.069	48	0.049	0.70
ModLang	3	8	0.026	16	0.016	0.62
Philosophy	1	4	0.013	7	0.007	0.54
Psychology	11	37	0.122	104	0.105	0.87
SDS	22	54	0.178	161	0.163	0.92
Statistics	3	6	0.020	8	0.008	0.41
$\operatorname{Interdisc}/\operatorname{IS}$	46	76	0.250	233	0.236	0.95
Undeclared	13	19	0.062	168	0.170	2.73
Total	160	304		986		

weight = (Population Proportion) / (Sample Proportion)

TS Variance Estimate, HSS Advising Data... $y_i = 1 \text{ (yes) or } 0 \text{ (no)}$ $\overline{y}_{w} = 0.5507865$ $\overline{w} = 1.001678$ $\overline{wy} = 0.5517105$ $Var(\sum_{i} w_{i}) = n \cdot s_{w}^{2} = (304)(0.2124) = 64.57$ $Var(\sum_{i} w_{i}y_{i}) = n \cdot s_{wy}^{2} = (304)(0.4127) = 125.47$ $Cov(\sum_{i} w_{i}y_{i}, \sum_{i} w_{i}) = n \cdot s_{wy,w} = (304)(0.1637) = 49.75$ So

 $Var_{TS}(\overline{y}_w) = (125.47 - 2(0.5507)(47.75) + (0.5507)^2 * (64.57)/(304 \cdot 1.0017)^2 = 0.000973$ This is larger (typical!) than the naive variance based on $\hat{p} = \overline{y}$:

$$\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})/n = (0.53)(1-0.53)/(304) = 0.000819$$

We should also multiply by the fpc = 1 - 304/986 = 0.69!

Replication Scheme: Jackknife

- From the original sample we create r=1, 2, ... n <u>Jackknife samples</u> (of size n-1), by deleting one observation at a time from the original data.
- From each jackknife sample
 - Recalculate the weights
 - Recalculate

$$\overline{y}_{w}^{(r)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{(r)} y_{i}^{(r)}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{(r)}}$$

Now calculate

$$\overline{y}_{JK} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} \overline{y}_{w}^{(r)} \qquad \quad Var_{JK}(\overline{y}_{w}) = \frac{n-1}{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n} (\overline{y}_{w}^{(r)} - \overline{y}_{jk})^{2}$$

Example: HSS Advising Data (Again)

	Adv'ing	Samp		Pop		
Post-Strat.	OK	Total	Prop	Total	Prop	Weights
Economics	28	40	0.132	126	0.128	0.97
$\operatorname{English}$	23	39	0.128	115	0.117	0.91
History	10	21	0.069	48	0.049	0.70
ModLang	3	8	0.026	16	0.016	0.62
Philosophy	1	4	0.013	7	0.007	0.54
Psychology	11	37	0.122	104	0.105	0.87
SDS	22	54	0.178	161	0.163	0.92
Statistics	3	6	0.020	8	0.008	0.41
$\operatorname{Interdisc}/\operatorname{IS}$	46	76	0.250	233	0.236	0.95
Undeclared	13	19	0.062	168	0.170	2.73
Total	160	304		986		

weight = (Population Proportion) / (Sample Proportion)

JK Variance Estimate, HSS Advising Data...

- There are 304 Jackknife samples, of size 303 each.
 - 28 jackknife samples omit one of the Econ 'yes' obs's
 - 12 jackknife samples omit one of the Econ 'no' obs's
 - 23 jackknife samples omit one of the English 'yes' obs's
 - 16 jackknife samples omit one of the English 'no' obs's
 - etc., etc. for the other 8 post-strata
- Calculate $\overline{y}_w^{(r)}$'s
 - The first few unique $\overline{y}_w^{(r)}$ are

0.5478, 0.5488, 0.5490, 0.5493, 0.5495 ...

(there are many duplicates!)

JK Variance Estimate, Continued

Now we calculate $\overline{y}_{JK} = \frac{1}{304} \sum_{r=1}^{304} \overline{y}_w^{(r)} = 0.5508 \ (= \overline{y}_w)$

and

$$Var_{JK}(\overline{y}_w) = \frac{304 - 1}{304} \sum_{r=1}^{304} (\overline{y}_w^{(r)} - \overline{y}_{JK})^2 = 0.000963$$

• Very similar to TS Variance estimate: $Var_{TS}(\overline{y}_w) = 0.000973$

Actual Calculations...

 See R handout... (is there someone in every group that knows a little R?)

• My recommendation:

- If you know the formula, <u>*Taylor Series*</u> approx is really easy to carry out. However, for a new statistic, have to reapply Delta Method.
- <u>Jackknife</u> is harder to set up, but once it's done, it works for <u>all</u> possible statistics, not just weighted averages
- □ As sample size grows, TS and JK produce same answers
- a (again, we should multiply by fpc = (1-(samp)/(pop)))

Making a Confidence Interval

Approx 95% confidence interval, based on the Jackknife standard error:

 $\begin{array}{rl}(0.5508-2*\sqrt{(1-304/986)(0.000963)}&,&0.5508+2*\sqrt{(1-304/986)(0.000963)}\,)\\(0.4992&,&0.6024)\end{array}$

In our fictional example we know the true population proportion:

 $p_{pop} = 546/986 = 0.553$

We capture the true mean in this case

Review

- Today: Variance Calculations for Weights
 - Taylor Series
 - Jackknife
- Thu: Review for Exam 2, Tue Apr 12
- Schedule of remaining "events"
 - Apr 19: Second peer-evaluations due
 - Apr 21, 26, 28: In-class presentations
 - Apr 29: Papers due
 - May 4: MoM!