

Appendix: Analysis log and Splus documentation

Data input and cleaning

Read in the data.

```
> micro <- read.table("prdata.dat")
> names(micro) <- c("age", "sex", "smokstat", "quetelet", "vituse",
  "calories", "fat", "fiber", "alcohol", "cholesterol",
  "betadiet", "retdiet", "betaplasma", "retplasma")
> dim(micro)
[1] 315 14
```

Check for missing values; there are none.

```
> sum(is.na(micro))
[1] 0
```

Do some recoding.

```
> micro$sex <- micro$sex-1 # Now M=0, F=1
> micro$sex <- as.factor(micro$sex)
> micro$smokstat <- as.factor(micro$smokstat)
> micro$vituse <- as.factor(micro$vituse)
> micro$obesity <- rep(0,315)
> micro$obesity <- ifelse(micro$sex==0&micro$quetelet>28, 1, 0)
> micro$obesity <- ifelse(micro$sex==1&micro$quetelet>27, 1, micro$obesity)
> micro$obesity <- as.factor(micro$obesity)
> micro$percentfat <- 9*micro$fat/micro$calories
```

Check for outliers and extreme observations.

```
> boxplot(micro$age) # No problems
> summary(micro$sex) # No apparent miscodings
> summary(micro$smokstat) # No apparent miscodings
> boxplot(micro$quetelet) # Lots of high outliers, nothing unreasonable
> summary(micro$vituse) # No apparent miscodings
> boxplot(micro$calories) # One really high outlier; who is this?
> micro[micro$calories==max(micro$calories),]
  age sex smokstat quetelet vituse calories fat fiber alcohol cholesterol
62  65  0          3 23.37617    3  6662.2 164.3  11.3    203          603
  betadiet retdiet betaplasma retplasma obesity percentfat
62   2893   1364          96    317    0  0.2219537
> summary(micro$percentfat) # Find normal percent fat in diet
  Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
 0.1630 0.3295  0.3848 0.3823 0.4325  0.6303
> micro$percentfat[62] # 62's percent fat is low
[1] 0.2219537
> rank(micro$quetelet)[62]/315 # And he's in the lower 50% of BMI
[1] 0.3936508
```

It looks like 62's recorded calories was a mistake; keep an eye on this case.
Continuing...

```
> boxplot(micro$fat) # Some more high outliers
> rank(micro$percentfat)[152]/315 # Highest (152) is also high in percent fat
[1] 0.9301587
> boxplot(micro$percentfat) # Few outliers, nothing extreme
> boxplot(micro$fiber) # Lots of high outliers, nothing unreasonable
> boxplot(micro$alcohol) # One huge outlier -- 203 drinks (29 a day!)
> micro[micro$alcohol==max(micro$alcohol),] # It's 62 again
> boxplot(micro$cholesterol) # Lots of high outliers, nothing unreasonable
> boxplot(micro$betadiet) # Lots of high outliers, nothing unreasonable
> boxplot(micro$retdiet) # One very high outlier
> micro[micro$retdiet==max(micro$retdiet),] # This is subject 171; watch her
> boxplot(micro$betaplasma) # Right-skewed, one subject is ZERO
> boxplot(micro$retplasma) # Right-skewed, no obvious extreme cases
```

Since subject 62 was an extreme outlier for both calories and alcohol, and because it is difficult to determine which other variables are reliable given this, I dropped him from these analyses. Later I went back and reran the models with and without him (see end of Appendix).

```
micro2 <- micro[-62,]
```

Univariate analyses

- Demographics:

- Age: fairly symmetric, no outliers

```
> summary(micro2$age)
  Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
 19.0  39.0    47.5  50.1  62.0   83.0
> stem(micro2$age)
N = 314    Median = 47.5
Quartiles = 39, 62
```

Decimal point is 1 place to the right of the colon

```
1 : 9
2 : 2234
2 : 556677789999
3 : 011111222222333333333344444
3 : 555555666666666677777777778888888899999999
4 : 00000001111111111111222222233333334444444444
4 : 55555555666666666666667777888888899999999999
5 : 0000001112222333334444
5 : 55555566666666667777888999
```

```

6 : 00000112222233444444
6 : 5555556666666667778999999
7 : 000000011111222233333334444444
7 : 55555677788
8 : 2333

```

- Sex: 41 (13.1%) males and 273 (86.9%) females

```

> summary(micro2$sex)
 0    1
41 273

```

- Health related:

- Smoking: 157 (50%) never smoked, 115 (36.6%) are former smokers, and 42 (13.4%) are current smokers

```

> summary(micro2$smokstat)
 1    2    3
157 115 42

```

- Quetelet index: right skewed, with 6 high outliers (subjects 25, 190, 226, 236, 249, and 278); there is a mound of data below 27 or 28 (the cutoff for obesity), but many subjects are higher than this normal range

```

> summary(micro2$quetelet)
  Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu.  Max.
16.33 21.79   24.74 26.17 28.90   50.40
> stem(micro2$quetelet)
N = 314   Median = 24.73935
Quartiles = 21.78854, 28.9498

```

Decimal point is at the colon

```

16 : 36
17 :
18 : 34666899
19 : 02444677889
20 : 001111222223444445566677777889
21 : 00001111222233355556677777888889
22 : 00000224555555566677799
23 : 001111112233333445555677889999999
24 : 001112333345567777899
25 : 0011111222224456667777899999999
26 : 113334444556788999
27 : 0233335555889
28 : 0003344446789
29 : 000011222236678

```

High: 44.20619 44.91065 45.85808 46.73763 49.12033 50.40333

- Obesity: 213 (67.8%) are not considered obese, 101 (32.2%) are

```
> summary(micro2$obesity)
  0    1
213 101
```

- Vitamin use: 122 (38.9%) use them fairly often, 82 (26.1%) do, but not often, and 110 (35%) do not use them

```
> summary(micro2$vituse)
  1    2    3
122  82 110
```

- ```
- Calories: slightly right skewed with 2 high outliers
> summary(micro2$calories)
 Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
 445.2 1336.0 1665.0 1781.0 2093.0 4374.0
> stem(micro2$calories)
N = 314 Median = 1665.05
Quartiles = 1333.8, 2094.5
```

```
0 : 4
0 : 6777
0 : 88888888999
1 : 000000000111111111111111
1 : 22222222222222222223333333333333
1 : 44444444444444444444555555555555555
1 : 6666666666666666666666666777777777777777
```

```

1 : 8888888888888888888888889999999999999999
2 : 000000000000000000001111111111111111
2 : 22222222222333333333333333333333
2 : 444444455555555
2 : 6677777777
2 : 8888889999
3 : 0011111
3 : 22233
3 : 455

```

High: 3711.0 4373.6

– Fat: slightly right skewed with 3 high outliers

```

> summary(micro2$fat)
 Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
 14.40 53.93 72.90 76.76 95.18 235.90
> stem(micro2$fat)
N = 314 Median = 72.9
Quartiles = 53.9, 95.2

```

Decimal point is 1 place to the right of the colon

```

1 : 4
2 : 02455699
3 : 001113333344455556677889
4 : 0122333444455556677788899
5 : 00000111122222333344444555556666777778888899999999
6 : 00011111222223333344445555667889
7 : 0111222333334444455555666667777788899999
8 : 001111122222334444445555678999
9 : 22223344444455556677788899999
10 : 1134556679
11 : 000111223333455689
12 : 0011112345566689
13 : 023569
14 : 145
15 : 5
16 : 0366
17 : 13

```

High: 199.0 202.7 235.9

– Percent calories from fat: fairly symmetric, with no outliers

```

> summary(micro2$percentfat)
 Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
0.1630 0.3304 0.3849 0.3828 0.4327 0.6303

```

```
> stem(micro2$percentfat)
N = 314 Median = 0.384949
Quartiles = 0.330244, 0.43288
```

Decimal point is 1 place to the left of the colon

```
1 : 67
1 : 9
2 : 11
2 : 23
2 : 4555
2 : 6666677777
2 : 8888889999999
3 : 00000011111111111111
3 : 22222222222223333333
3 : 4444444445555555555555
3 : 666666666666666666677777777777
3 : 8888888888888888889999999999999999
4 : 00000000000001111111111111
4 : 222222222222222333333333333333
4 : 44444444444444444445555555
4 : 666666666666677777777777
4 : 8899999
5 : 0000011
5 : 22333
5 : 5
5 : 667
5 :
6 : 1
6 : 3
```

– Fiber: right skewed with 5 high outliers

```
> summary(micro2$fiber)
 Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
 3.100 9.125 12.100 12.790 15.600 36.800
> stem(micro2$fiber)
N = 314 Median = 12.1
Quartiles = 9.1, 15.6
```

Decimal point is at the colon

```
3 : 17
4 : 7799
5 : 012233345669999
6 : 000112333356678899
7 : 0011344566778999
```



```

7 : 0000011222223
7 :
8 : 00034
8 : 5
9 : 0

```

```

High: 10.0 10.0 10.5 10.5 11.0 11.0 11.0 14.0 14.0 14.1 14.1 14.1 14.2 15.0 15.0
High: 15.5 17.0 18.0 18.0 18.2 20.0 21.0 22.0 35.0 35.0

```

– Cholesterol: right skewed with 5 high outliers

```

> summary(micro2$cholesterol)
 Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
 37.7 155.0 206.2 241.3 308.2 900.7
> stem(micro2$cholesterol)
N = 314 Median = 206.2
Quartiles = 154.9, 308.8

```

Decimal point is 2 places to the right of the colon

```

0 : 4
0 : 566677778888889999999
1 : 00000000000011111122222223333344444444444
1 : 555555555556666666666677777777777788888888888888889999999999999
2 : 0000000000000111111111222222233333333334444444
2 : 55555555555666666666667777777777778888888899
3 : 00011111123333333333444444
3 : 555566666666778888889
4 : 00122223333334444
4 : 55667779
5 : 01122
5 : 557

```

```

High: 689.4 718.8 747.5 814.7 900.7

```

– Dietary beta-carotene: right skewed with 8 high outliers

```

> summary(micro2$betadiet)
 Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
 214 1115 1795 2183 2804 9642
> stem(micro2$betadiet)
N = 314 Median = 1795
Quartiles = 1114, 2809

```

Decimal point is 3 places to the right of the colon

```

0 : 223344
0 : 555556666666666666777777888888888899999999999

```





High: 2167 2263 2571 3061 4041 6901

- Outcomes:

- Plasma beta-carotene: very right skewed with 10 high outliers

```
> summary(micro2$betaplasma)
 Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
 0.0 89.5 140.0 190.2 230.5 1415.0
> stem(micro2$betaplasma)
N = 314 Median = 140
Quartiles = 89, 231
```

Decimal point is 2 places to the right of the colon

```
0 : z1
0 : 2222223333
0 : 444444444455555555
0 : 66666677777777777777
0 : 888888888888888888999999999999999999
1 : 0000000000000000000111111111111111
1 : 2222222222222222222333333333333333
1 : 444444444445555555555555555555
1 : 66666666666666666677777777777777
1 : 888888899999
2 : 000001111111
2 : 222222333333
2 : 44445555
2 : 6677777
2 : 8889999999
3 : 00000
3 : 2222233333
3 : 45
3 : 6777
3 : 889
4 : 011
4 : 222333
4 : 5
4 : 67
4 : 999
```

High: 613 751 786 800 858 990 1010 1212 1391 1415

- Plasma retinol: fairly symmetric except for 5 high outliers

```
> summary(micro2$retplasma)
 Min. 1st Qu. Median Mean 3rd Qu. Max.
```

```

 179.0 467.0 566.0 603.7 717.5 1727.0
> stem(micro2$retplasma)
N = 314 Median = 566
Quartiles = 466, 719

```

Decimal point is 2 places to the right of the colon

```

 1 : 899
 2 : 23
 2 : 556899
 3 : 0022334
 3 : 56667777888899999
 4 : 000000011111222222233333333344444
 4 : 556666677777777788888999999
 5 : 0000000011111222222222223333333444444
 5 : 55555666666666666667777778889999999
 6 : 000000111122222222233333444
 6 : 5555566667778888889999
 7 : 000001111222233333444
 7 : 5556666778888999
 8 : 000001122222233344
 8 : 55556788
 9 : 0012333
 9 : 55999
 10 : 033
 10 :
 11 : 04
 11 : 9

```

High: 1249 1262 1443 1517 1727

Check for normality on continuous variables.

```

> par(mfrow=c(4,3))
> f.qqenv(micro2$age)
> f.qqenv(micro2$quetelet)
> f.qqenv(micro2$calories)
> f.qqenv(micro2$fat)
> f.qqenv(micro2$percentfat)
> f.qqenv(micro2$fiber)
> f.qqenv(micro2$alcohol)
> f.qqenv(micro2$cholesterol)
> f.qqenv(micro2$betadiet)
> f.qqenv(micro2$retdiet)
> f.qqenv(micro2$betaplasma)
> f.qqenv(micro2$retplasma)

```

## Bivariate analyses

Take a quick look at bivariate distributions; use as a reference later.

```
f.mypairs(micro2)
```

Both outcome variables are right skewed; further analyses will work with the log transformed variables.

```
> par(mfrow=c(2,2), mai=c(.7,.7,.7,.2))
> f.qqenv(micro2$retplasma, ylab="Plasma Retinol", cex=1.2)
> f.qqenv(log(micro2$retplasma), ylab="Log Plasma Retinol", cex=1.2)
> f.qqenv(micro2$betaplasma, ylab="Plasma Beta-carotene", cex=1.2)
> f.qqenv(log(micro2$betaplasma), ylab="Log Plasma Beta-carotene", cex=1.2)
> micro2$logretplasma <- log(micro2$retplasma)
> micro2$logbetaplasma <- log(micro2$betaplasma)
```

## Retinol

It was suggested that alcohol is related to plasma retinol levels. Is this true?

```
> summary(lm(logretplasma~alcohol, data=micro2))
```

```
...
```

Coefficients:

|             | Value  | Std. Error | t value  | Pr(> t ) |
|-------------|--------|------------|----------|----------|
| (Intercept) | 6.3097 | 0.0213     | 296.5836 | 0.0000   |
| alcohol     | 0.0140 | 0.0038     | 3.6999   | 0.0003   |

Residual standard error: 0.3324 on 312 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-Squared: 0.04203

F-statistic: 13.69 on 1 and 312 degrees of freedom, the p-value is 0.0002549

```
...
```

```
> plot(micro2$alcohol, micro2$logretplasma)
> abline(lm(logretplasma~alcohol, data=micro2))
> par(mfrow=c(2,3))
> plot(lm(logretplasma~alcohol, data=micro2))
```

Residual plots show major violation of the assumption of constant variance. Since so many subjects do not drink at all, taking the log would not be appropriate. Instead, I categorize their drinking as none, medium, or high. This is effectively throwing away some of the data, but I think it's more clinically relevant anyway.

```
> micro2$alcCat <- rep("00",314) # No drinks gets category '00'
> micro2$alcCat <- ifelse(micro2$alcohol>0 & micro2$alcohol<=10,
 "Lo",micro2$alcCat) # 0-10 gets 'Lo'
> micro2$alcCat <- ifelse(micro2$alcohol>10,"Hi",micro2$alcCat)# >10 gets 'Hi'
> micro2$alcCat <- as.factor(micro2$alcCat)
> #Creation of dummy variables
```

```

> alc00 <- ifelse(micro2$alcCat=="00", 1, 0)
> alcLo <- ifelse(micro2$alcCat=="Lo", 1, 0)
> alcHi <- ifelse(micro2$alcCat=="Hi", 1, 0)
> summary(lm(logretplasma~alcLo+alcHi, data=micro2))
...
Coefficients:
 Value Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 6.2855 0.0318 197.7680 0.0000
 alcLo 0.0776 0.0404 1.9199 0.0558
 alcHi 0.2298 0.0767 2.9955 0.0030

Residual standard error: 0.3348 on 311 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-Squared: 0.03106
F-statistic: 4.985 on 2 and 311 degrees of freedom, the p-value is 0.007397
...
> micro2$alcCat <- ordered(micro2$alcCat, levels=c("00", "Lo", "Hi"),
 labels=c("None", "Moderate", "High"))
> par(mai=c(.7,.7,.7,.2))
> boxplot(split(micro2$logretplasma,micro2$alcCat), bxp.style="old",
 xlab="Alcohol Consumption", ylab="log Plasma Retinol",
 cex=1.4)

```

Drinking heavily actually increases the average log Plasma Retinol levels.

### Beta-carotene

It was suggested that BMI, cholesterol, calories, vitamin use, and fiber are related to plasma beta-carotene. Is this true?

- BMI

```

> summary(lm(logbetaplasma~quetelet, data=micro2))
Problem in dimnames(rinv) <- list(cnames, cnames): Component 1 of dimnames has length 2
#Problem is that 264 had 0 for betaplasma: omit
> micro3 <- micro2[-256,]
> summary(lm(micro3$logbetaplasma~micro3$quetelet))
...
Coefficients:
 Value Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 5.8737 0.1815 32.3692 0.0000
micro3$quetelet -0.0349 0.0068 -5.1622 0.0000

Residual standard error: 0.7196 on 311 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-Squared: 0.07892
F-statistic: 26.65 on 1 and 311 degrees of freedom, the p-value is 4.362e-07
...
> plot(micro3$quetelet,micro3$logbetaplasma)

```

```

> abline(lm(micro3$logbetaplasma~micro3$quetelet))
> plot(micro3$quetelet, micro3$betaplasma)
> lines(sort(micro3$quetelet),
 exp(fitted(lm(micro3$logbetaplasma~micro3$quetelet)))
 [order(micro3$quetelet)])
> par(mfrow=c(2,3))
> plot(lm(micro3$logbetaplasma~micro3$quetelet))

```

The residual plots show problems with non-constant variance. Does it improve the model to take the log(BMI)?

```

> par(mfrow=c(1,2))
> f.qqenv(micro3$quetelet)
> f.qqenv(log(micro3$quetelet))
> summary(lm(micro3$logbetaplasma~log(micro3$quetelet)))
...

```

Coefficients:

|                       | Value   | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t ) |
|-----------------------|---------|------------|---------|----------|
| (Intercept)           | 8.2508  | 0.6290     | 13.1166 | 0.0000   |
| log(micro3\$quetelet) | -1.0152 | 0.1937     | -5.2412 | 0.0000   |

Residual standard error: 0.7187 on 311 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-Squared: 0.08116

F-statistic: 27.47 on 1 and 311 degrees of freedom, the p-value is 2.95e-07

```

...
> plot(log(micro3$quetelet),micro3$logbetaplasma)
> abline(lm(micro3$logbetaplasma~log(micro3$quetelet)))
> par(mai=c(.7,.7,.7,.2))
> plot(micro3$quetelet, micro3$betaplasma, xlab="Quetelet Index",
 ylab="Plasma Beta-carotene (ng/ml)")
> lines(sort(micro3$quetelet), exp(fitted(lm(micro3$logbetaplasma~log(micro3$quetelet)))
 [order(log(micro3$quetelet))]), cex=1.4)
> par(mfrow=c(2,3))
> plot(lm(micro3$logbetaplasma~log(micro3$quetelet)))

```

Now the variance in the residual plots looks more homogeneous.

- Cholesterol

```

> summary(lm(micro3$logbetaplasma~micro3$cholesterol))
...

```

Coefficients:

|                     | Value   | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t ) |
|---------------------|---------|------------|---------|----------|
| (Intercept)         | 5.1831  | 0.0903     | 57.4029 | 0.0000   |
| micro3\$cholesterol | -0.0009 | 0.0003     | -2.7781 | 0.0058   |

Residual standard error: 0.7406 on 311 degrees of freedom  
 Multiple R-Squared: 0.02421  
 F-statistic: 7.718 on 1 and 311 degrees of freedom, the p-value is 0.005801

```
...
> plot(micro3$cholesterol,micro3$logbetaplasma)
> abline(lm(micro3$logbetaplasma~micro3$cholesterol))
> plot(micro3$cholesterol, micro3$betaplasma)
> lines(sort(micro3$cholesterol),
 exp(fitted(lm(micro3$logbetaplasma~micro3$cholesterol)))
 [order(micro3$cholesterol)]))
> par(mfrow=c(2,3))
> plot(lm(micro3$logbetaplasma~micro3$cholesterol))
```

Again, problems with non-constant variance in the residuals. Try taking the log transformation of cholesterol.

```
> par(mfrow=c(1,2))
> f.qqenv(micro3$cholesterol)
> f.qqenv(log(micro3$cholesterol))
> summary(lm(micro3$logbetaplasma~log(micro3$cholesterol)))
```

```
...
Coefficients:
 Value Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 5.7863 0.4329 13.3666 0.0000
log(micro3$cholesterol) -0.1544 0.0806 -1.9161 0.0563
```

Residual standard error: 0.7454 on 311 degrees of freedom  
 Multiple R-Squared: 0.01167  
 F-statistic: 3.671 on 1 and 311 degrees of freedom, the p-value is 0.05627

```
...
> plot(log(micro3$cholesterol),micro3$logbetaplasma)
> abline(lm(micro3$logbetaplasma~log(micro3$cholesterol)))
> par(mai=c(.7,.7,.7,.2))
> plot(micro3$cholesterol, micro3$betaplasma,
 xlab="Cholesterol consumed (mg/day)",
 ylab="Plasma Beta-carotene (ng/ml)", cex=1.4)
> lines(sort(micro3$cholesterol),
 exp(fitted(lm(micro3$logbetaplasma~log(micro3$cholesterol))))
 [order(log(micro3$cholesterol))])
```

Fewer problems with heteroscedasticity, but R-squared goes down.

- Calories

```

> summary(lm(logbetaplasma~calories, data=micro3))
...
Coefficients:
 Value Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 5.0729 0.1286 39.4468 0.0000
micro3$calories -0.0001 0.0001 -0.9232 0.3566

Residual standard error: 0.7487 on 311 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-Squared: 0.002733
F-statistic: 0.8522 on 1 and 311 degrees of freedom, the p-value is
0.3566
...
> plot(micro3$calories,micro3$logbetaplasma)
> abline(lm(logbetaplasma~calories, data=micro3))

```

There doesn't seem to be a relationship here. Maybe it's different for men and women?

```

> summary(lm(logbetaplasma~sex*calories, data=micro3))
...
Coefficients:
 Value Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 5.2229 0.2238 23.3419 0.0000
sex -0.2349 0.2238 -1.0499 0.2946
calories -0.0002 0.0001 -1.6893 0.0922
sex:calories 0.0002 0.0001 1.7498 0.0811

Residual standard error: 0.7416 on 309 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-Squared: 0.02806
F-statistic: 2.973 on 3 and 309 degrees of freedom, the p-value is
0.03197
...
> par(mai=c(.7,.7,.7,.2))
> plot(micro3$calories,micro3$logbetaplasma, type="n",
 xlab="Calories consumed per day",
 ylab="Log Plasma Beta-carotene (log ng/ml)", cex=1.4)
> text(micro3$calories,micro3$logbetaplasma, labels=as.vector(micro3$sex))
> abline(5.2229,-0.0002,lty=1)
> abline(5.2227,0,lty=2)
> legend(locator(1), c("0=Males", "1=Females"), lty=1:2)
> par(mfrow=c(2,3))
> plot(lm(micro3$logbetaplasma~micro3$calories*micro3$sex))

```

The effects are only significant at about .1, but there is a suggestion that calories might matter for males but not females. This would be something to look at in future studies.



- Vitamin use

```
> VitHi <- ifelse(micro3$vituse==1, 1, 0)
> VitMed <- ifelse(micro3$vituse==2, 1, 0)
> VitNo <- ifelse(micro3$vituse==3, 1, 0)
> summary(lm(micro3$logbetaplasma~VitHi+VitMed))
...
Coefficients:
 Value Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 4.7178 0.0693 68.0570 0.0000
 VitHi 0.4308 0.0958 4.4978 0.0000
 VitMed 0.2920 0.1061 2.7526 0.0063

Residual standard error: 0.727 on 310 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-Squared: 0.06269
F-statistic: 10.37 on 2 and 310 degrees of freedom, the p-value is
4.384e-05
...
> boxplot(split(micro3$logbetaplasma,micro3$vituse),
 bxp.style="old", xlab="Vitamin Use",
 ylab="log Plasma Beta Carotene")
> par(mfrow=c(2,3))
> plot(lm(micro3$logbetaplasma~VitHi+VitMed))
```

Taking vitamins is associated with a small but significant raising of log plasma beta-carotene levels.

- Fiber

```
> summary(lm(logbetaplasma~fiber, data=micro3))
...
Coefficients:
 Value Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 4.5314 0.1068 42.4214 0.0000
 fiber 0.0336 0.0077 4.3564 0.0000

Residual standard error: 0.7279 on 311 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-Squared: 0.05751
F-statistic: 18.98 on 1 and 311 degrees of freedom, the p-value is
1.798e-05
...
> plot(micro3$fiber,micro3$logbetaplasma)
> abline(lm(logbetaplasma~fiber, data=micro3))
> par(mai=c(.7,.7,.7,.2))
> plot(micro3$fiber, micro3$betaplasma,
 xlab="Fiber consumed (g/day)", ylab="Plasma Beta-carotene (ng/ml)",
```

```

 cex=1.4)
> lines(sort(micro3$fiber),
 exp(fitted(lm(logbetaplasma~fiber, data=micro3)))
 [order(micro3$fiber)])
> par(mfrow=c(2,3))
> plot(lm(logbetaplasma~fiber, data=micro3))

```

Fiber is also a significant predictor by itself, tending to increase log beta-carotene.

## Model selection

Create data subsets, one for model building and one for model testing.

```

> sample <- sample(314,200)
> modeldata <- micro2[sample,]
> testdata <- micro2[-sample,]

```

## Retinol

Begin with what we know, which is that alcohol has an effect, and build from there.

```

> alc00 <- ifelse(modeldata$alcCat=="00", 1, 0)
> alcLo <- ifelse(modeldata$alcCat=="Lo", 1, 0)
> alcHi <- ifelse(modeldata$alcCat=="Hi", 1, 0)
> summary(lm(logretplasma~alcLo+alcHi, data=modeldata))
...

```

Coefficients:

|             | Value  | Std. Error | t value  | Pr(> t ) |
|-------------|--------|------------|----------|----------|
| (Intercept) | 6.2505 | 0.0415     | 150.4989 | 0.0000   |
| alcLo       | 0.1038 | 0.0532     | 1.9505   | 0.0525   |
| alcHi       | 0.2139 | 0.1068     | 2.0021   | 0.0466   |

Residual standard error: 0.3548 on 197 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-Squared: 0.02953

F-statistic: 2.997 on 2 and 197 degrees of freedom, the p-value is 0.05221

...

We will go through variables which might plausibly be related to plasma retinol (based on prior knowledge and the pairs plot) and try adding these terms to the model, testing with nested F-tests.

- Age - add it to the model

```

> anova(lm(logretplasma~alcLo+alcHi+age, data=modeldata),
 lm(logretplasma~alcLo+alcHi, data=modeldata))
 Terms Resid. Df RSS Test Df Sum of Sq F Value

```

```

1 alcLo + alcHi + age 196 22.93300
2 alcLo + alcHi 197 24.80587 -age -1 -1.87287 16.00674

```

Pr(F)

```

1
2 8.942857e-05
> summary(lm(logretplasma~alcLo+alcHi+age, data=modeldata))
...

```

Coefficients:

|             | Value  | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t ) |
|-------------|--------|------------|---------|----------|
| (Intercept) | 5.8990 | 0.0966     | 61.0898 | 0.0000   |
| alcLo       | 0.1357 | 0.0519     | 2.6155  | 0.0096   |
| alcHi       | 0.2371 | 0.1031     | 2.2989  | 0.0226   |
| age         | 0.0067 | 0.0017     | 4.0008  | 0.0001   |

Residual standard error: 0.3421 on 196 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-Squared: 0.1028

F-statistic: 7.486 on 3 and 196 degrees of freedom, the p-value is 9.053e-05

...

- Sex - don't add

```

> anova(lm(logretplasma~alcLo+alcHi+age, data=modeldata),
 lm(logretplasma~alcLo+alcHi+age+sex, data=modeldata))
 Terms Resid. Df RSS Test Df Sum of Sq F Value
1 alcLo + alcHi + age 196 22.93300
2 alcLo + alcHi + age + sex 195 22.83534 +sex 1 0.09765896 0.8339485

```

Pr(F)

```

1
2 0.3622609

```

- Smoking - don't add

```

> anova(lm(logretplasma~alcLo+alcHi+age, data=modeldata),
 lm(logretplasma~alcLo+alcHi+age+smokstat, data=modeldata))
 Terms Resid. Df RSS Test Df Sum of Sq
1 alcLo + alcHi + age 196 22.93300
2 alcLo + alcHi + age + smokstat 194 22.60996 +smokstat 2 0.3230357

```

|   | F Value | Pr(F)    |
|---|---------|----------|
| 1 |         |          |
| 2 | 1.38587 | 0.252571 |

- BMI - don't add

```
> anova(lm(logretplasma~alcLo+alcHi+age, data=modeldata),
 lm(logretplasma~alcLo+alcHi+age+quetelet, data=modeldata))
```

|   | Terms                          | Resid. Df | RSS      | Test Df     | Sum of Sq |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------|----------|-------------|-----------|
| 1 | alcLo + alcHi + age            | 196       | 22.93300 |             |           |
| 2 | alcLo + alcHi + age + quetelet | 195       | 22.86551 | +quetelet 1 | 0.0674856 |

  

|   | F Value   | Pr(F)     |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| 1 |           |           |
| 2 | 0.5755258 | 0.4489874 |

- Vitamin use - don't add

```
> anova(lm(logretplasma~alcLo+alcHi+age, data=modeldata),
 lm(logretplasma~alcLo+alcHi+age+vituse, data=modeldata))
```

|   | Terms                        | Resid. Df | RSS      | Test Df   | Sum of Sq  |
|---|------------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|------------|
| 1 | alcLo + alcHi + age          | 196       | 22.93300 |           |            |
| 2 | alcLo + alcHi + age + vituse | 194       | 22.89306 | +vituse 2 | 0.03993893 |

  

|   | F Value   | Pr(F)     |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| 1 |           |           |
| 2 | 0.1692249 | 0.8444435 |

- Calories - don't add

```
> anova(lm(logretplasma~alcLo+alcHi+age, data=modeldata),
 lm(logretplasma~alcLo+alcHi+age+calories, data=modeldata))
```

|   | Terms                          | Resid. Df | RSS      | Test Df     | Sum of Sq  |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------|----------|-------------|------------|
| 1 | alcLo + alcHi + age            | 196       | 22.93300 |             |            |
| 2 | alcLo + alcHi + age + calories | 195       | 22.89754 | +calories 1 | 0.03545454 |

  

|   | F Value   | Pr(F)     |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| 1 |           |           |
| 2 | 0.3019378 | 0.5832989 |

- Fiber - don't add

```
> anova(lm(logretplasma~alcLo+alcHi+age, data=modeldata),
 lm(logretplasma~alcLo+alcHi+age+fiber, data=modeldata))
```

|   | Terms                       | Resid. Df | RSS      | Test Df  | Sum of Sq | F Value   |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| 1 | alcLo + alcHi + age         | 196       | 22.93300 |          |           |           |
| 2 | alcLo + alcHi + age + fiber | 195       | 22.82896 | +fiber 1 | 0.1040338 | 0.8886339 |

  

|   | Pr(F)     |
|---|-----------|
| 1 |           |
| 2 | 0.3470142 |

- Cholesterol - don't add

```
> anova(lm(logretplasma~alcLo+alcHi+age, data=modeldata),
 lm(logretplasma~alcLo+alcHi+age+cholesterol, data=modeldata))
```

|   | Terms                             | Resid. Df | RSS     | Test Df        |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------|----------------|
| 1 | alcLo + alcHi + age               | 196       | 22.9330 |                |
| 2 | alcLo + alcHi + age + cholesterol | 195       | 22.8978 | +cholesterol 1 |

  

|   | Sum of Sq  | F Value   | Pr(F)     |
|---|------------|-----------|-----------|
| 1 |            |           |           |
| 2 | 0.03519562 | 0.2997295 | 0.5846781 |

- Percent fat - don't add

```
> anova(lm(logretplasma~alcLo+alcHi+age, data=modeldata),
 lm(logretplasma~alcLo+alcHi+age+percentfat, data=modeldata))
```

|   | Terms                            | Resid. Df | RSS      | Test Df       |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------|----------|---------------|
| 1 | alcLo + alcHi + age              | 196       | 22.93300 |               |
| 2 | alcLo + alcHi + age + percentfat | 195       | 22.85317 | +percentfat 1 |

  

|   | Sum of Sq  | F Value   | Pr(F)     |
|---|------------|-----------|-----------|
| 1 |            |           |           |
| 2 | 0.07983222 | 0.6811871 | 0.4101868 |

- Dietary retinol - don't add

```
> anova(lm(logretplasma~alcLo+alcHi+age, data=modeldata),
 lm(logretplasma~alcLo+alcHi+age+retdiet, data=modeldata))
```

|   | Terms                         | Resid. Df | RSS      | Test Df    | Sum of Sq  |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------|----------|------------|------------|
| 1 | alcLo + alcHi + age           | 196       | 22.93300 |            |            |
| 2 | alcLo + alcHi + age + retdiet | 195       | 22.88782 | +retdiet 1 | 0.04518367 |

  

|   | F Value   | Pr(F)    |
|---|-----------|----------|
| 1 |           |          |
| 2 | 0.3849566 | 0.535686 |

Check the age and alcohol model against the results of stepwise regression.

```
> modeldata$alcCat <- as.factor(modeldata$alcCat)
> f.sum.step(stepwise(modeldata[,c(1:6,8,10,16,19)],
 modeldata$logretplasma),
 data=modeldata, y=modeldata$logretplasma)
```

|        | BIC   | R2a   | age | sex | smokstat | quetelet | vituse | calories | fiber | cholesterol |
|--------|-------|-------|-----|-----|----------|----------|--------|----------|-------|-------------|
| 1(+ 1) | -77.2 | 0.055 | 1   | 0   | 0        | 0        | 0      | 0        | 0     | 0           |
| 2(+10) | -77.8 | 0.089 | 1   | 0   | 0        | 0        | 0      | 0        | 0     | 0           |

|        | percentfat | alcCat |
|--------|------------|--------|
| 1(+ 1) | 0          | 0      |
| 2(+10) | 0          | 1      |

The two variable model performs well; on the test data, see how this compares to a model that doesn't include alcCat, only age.

### Beta-carotene

Begin with a model based on the bivariate predictors: log BMI, log cholesterol, vitamin use, fiber.

```
> modeldata2 <- modeldata[-47,] #get rid of infinite value
> modeldata2$logquetelet <- log(modeldata2$quetelet)
> modeldata2$logcholesterol <- log(modeldata2$cholesterol)
> summary(lm(logbetaplasma~logquetelet+logcholesterol+vituse+fiber,
 data=modeldata2))
```

...

Coefficients:

|                | Value   | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t ) |
|----------------|---------|------------|---------|----------|
| (Intercept)    | 8.4287  | 0.8674     | 9.7170  | 0.0000   |
| logquetelet    | -0.9457 | 0.2314     | -4.0865 | 0.0001   |
| logcholesterol | -0.1615 | 0.0961     | -1.6808 | 0.0944   |
| vituse1        | -0.0101 | 0.0611     | -0.1646 | 0.8694   |
| vituse2        | -0.0962 | 0.0351     | -2.7416 | 0.0067   |
| fiber          | 0.0309  | 0.0094     | 3.2925  | 0.0012   |

Residual standard error: 0.6871 on 193 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-Squared: 0.1875

F-statistic: 8.906 on 5 and 193 degrees of freedom, the p-value is 1.257e-07

...

Now try adding terms to the model

- Age - don't add

```
> anova(lm(logbetaplasma~logquetelet+logcholesterol+vituse+fiber,
 data=modeldata2),
 lm(logbetaplasma~logquetelet+logcholesterol+vituse+fiber+age,
 data=modeldata2))
```

|   | Terms                                               | Resid. | Df       | RSS  | Test |
|---|-----------------------------------------------------|--------|----------|------|------|
| 1 | logquetelet + logcholesterol + vituse + fiber       | 193    | 91.10786 |      |      |
| 2 | logquetelet + logcholesterol + vituse + fiber + age | 192    | 90.04722 | +age |      |

|     | Df | Sum of Sq | F Value | Pr(F)     |
|-----|----|-----------|---------|-----------|
| 1   |    |           |         |           |
| 2 1 | 1  | 1.060639  | 2.26151 | 0.1342678 |

- Smoking - add to model

```
> anova(lm(logbetaplasma~logquetelet+logcholesterol++vituse+fiber,
 data=modeldata2),
 lm(logbetaplasma~logquetelet+logcholesterol+vituse+fiber+smokstat,
 data=modeldata2))
```

|   | Terms                                                    | Resid. Df | RSS      |
|---|----------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| 1 | logquetelet + logcholesterol + + vituse + fiber          | 193       | 91.10786 |
| 2 | logquetelet + logcholesterol + vituse + fiber + smokstat | 191       | 87.62320 |

  

|             | Test Df | Sum of Sq | F Value  | Pr(F)      |
|-------------|---------|-----------|----------|------------|
| 1           |         |           |          |            |
| 2 +smokstat | 2       | 3.484659  | 3.797909 | 0.02412915 |

- Percent fat - don't add

```
> anova(lm(logbetaplasma~logquetelet+logcholesterol+vituse+fiber+smokstat,
 data=modeldata2),
 lm(logbetaplasma~logquetelet+logcholesterol+vituse+fiber+smokstat+percentfat,
 data=modeldata2))
```

|   | Terms                                                                 | Resid. Df | RSS      | Test Df | Sum of Sq   | F Value    | Pr(F)     |
|---|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------|---------|-------------|------------|-----------|
| 1 | logquetelet + logcholesterol + vituse + fiber + smokstat              |           |          |         |             |            |           |
| 2 | logquetelet + logcholesterol + vituse + fiber + smokstat + percentfat |           |          |         |             |            |           |
| 1 |                                                                       | 191       | 87.62320 |         |             |            |           |
| 2 | +percentfat                                                           | 190       | 87.61369 | 1       | 0.009509494 | 0.02062239 | 0.8859646 |

- Dietary Beta-carotene - don't add

```
> anova(lm(logbetaplasma~logquetelet+logcholesterol+vituse+fiber+smokstat,
 data=modeldata2),
 lm(logbetaplasma~logquetelet+logcholesterol+vituse+fiber+smokstat+betadiet,
 data=modeldata2))
```

|   | Terms                                                               | Resid. Df | RSS      | Test Df | Sum of Sq  | F Value   | Pr(F)     |
|---|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------|---------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| 1 | logquetelet + logcholesterol + vituse + fiber + smokstat            |           |          |         |            |           |           |
| 2 | logquetelet + logcholesterol + vituse + fiber + smokstat + betadiet |           |          |         |            |           |           |
| 1 |                                                                     | 191       | 87.62320 |         |            |           |           |
| 2 | +betadiet                                                           | 190       | 87.52895 | 1       | 0.09425375 | 0.2045976 | 0.6515511 |

- Alcohol category - don't add

```
> modeldata2$alcCat <- as.factor(modeldata2$alcCat)
> anova(lm(logbetaplasma~logquetelet+logcholesterol+vituse+fiber+smokstat,
```

```

data=modeldata2),
lm(logbetaplasma~logquetelet+logcholesterol+vituse+fiber+smokstat+alcCat,
data=modeldata2))

```

|   |  | Terms                                                             | Resid. | Df  |
|---|--|-------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|-----|
| 1 |  | logquetelet + logcholesterol + vituse + fiber + smokstat          |        | 191 |
| 2 |  | logquetelet + logcholesterol + vituse + fiber + smokstat + alcCat |        | 189 |

  

|   | RSS      | Test    | Df | Sum of Sq | F Value  | Pr(F)    |
|---|----------|---------|----|-----------|----------|----------|
| 1 | 87.62320 |         |    |           |          |          |
| 2 | 85.94156 | +alcCat | 2  | 1.681644  | 1.849109 | 0.160213 |

So we now have a model that includes log BMI, log cholesterol, vitamin use, fiber, and smoking status. Let's check this against the results of stepwise again. We'll look at the top ten models in terms of BIC.

```

> f.sum.step(stepwise(modeldata2[,c(1:3,20,5,6,8,21,11,16,19)],
modeldata2$logbetaplasma, method="exhaustive"),
data=modeldata2, y=modeldata2$logbetaplasma)

```

|       | BIC    | R2a   | age | sex | smokstat | logquetelet | vituse | calories | fiber |
|-------|--------|-------|-----|-----|----------|-------------|--------|----------|-------|
| 2(#2) | -218.1 | 0.132 | 0   | 0   | 0        | 1           | 0      | 0        | 1     |
| 4(#2) | -218.3 | 0.185 | 0   | 0   | 0        | 1           | 1      | 1        | 1     |
| 3(#1) | -218.6 | 0.165 | 0   | 0   | 1        | 1           | 0      | 0        | 1     |
| 4(#3) | -218.9 | 0.180 | 0   | 0   | 1        | 1           | 0      | 1        | 1     |
| 2(#1) | -219.1 | 0.142 | 0   | 0   | 1        | 1           | 0      | 0        | 0     |
| 4(#1) | -220.3 | 0.186 | 0   | 0   | 1        | 1           | 1      | 0        | 1     |
| 1(#1) | -220.3 | 0.093 | 0   | 0   | 0        | 1           | 0      | 0        | 0     |
| 5(#1) | -220.5 | 0.202 | 0   | 0   | 1        | 1           | 1      | 1        | 1     |
| 3(#2) | -220.7 | 0.166 | 0   | 0   | 1        | 1           | 1      | 0        | 0     |
| 5(#2) | -221.2 | 0.197 | 0   | 0   | 0        | 1           | 1      | 1        | 1     |

...

|       | logcholesterol | betadiet | percentfat | alcCat |
|-------|----------------|----------|------------|--------|
| 2(#2) | 0              | 0        | 0          | 0      |
| 4(#2) | 0              | 0        | 0          | 0      |
| 3(#1) | 0              | 0        | 0          | 0      |
| 4(#3) | 0              | 0        | 0          | 0      |
| 2(#1) | 0              | 0        | 0          | 0      |
| 4(#1) | 0              | 0        | 0          | 0      |
| 1(#1) | 0              | 0        | 0          | 0      |
| 5(#1) | 0              | 0        | 0          | 0      |
| 3(#2) | 0              | 0        | 0          | 0      |
| 5(#2) | 0              | 0        | 0          | 1      |

...

- log BMI - shows up in all the models; keep it
- log cholesterol - doesn't show up in any of the models; drop it???
- vitamin use - shows up in half the models; keep it



- fiber - shows up in 7 of the models; keep it
- smoking status - shows up in 6 of the models; keep it

So the only thing stepwise causes us to reconsider is log cholesterol, which wasn't a very good predictor to begin with. Let's examine it again.

```
> anova(lm(logbetaplasma~logquetelet+vituse+fiber+smokstat,
 data=modeldata2),
 lm(logbetaplasma~logquetelet+vituse+fiber+smokstat+cholesterol,
 data=modeldata2))
```

|   |  | Terms                                                 | Resid. | Df       | RSS |
|---|--|-------------------------------------------------------|--------|----------|-----|
| 1 |  | logquetelet + vituse + fiber + smokstat               | 192    | 88.46467 |     |
| 2 |  | logquetelet + vituse + fiber + smokstat + cholesterol | 191    | 86.57923 |     |

```

 Test Df Sum of Sq F Value Pr(F)
1
2 +cholesterol 1 1.885436 4.159408 0.04278121
> anova(lm(logbetaplasma~logquetelet+vituse+fiber+smokstat,
 data=modeldata2),
 lm(logbetaplasma~logquetelet+vituse+fiber+smokstat+logcholesterol,
 data=modeldata2))
```

|   |  | Terms                                                    | Resid. | Df       | RSS |
|---|--|----------------------------------------------------------|--------|----------|-----|
| 1 |  | logquetelet + vituse + fiber + smokstat                  | 192    | 88.46467 |     |
| 2 |  | logquetelet + vituse + fiber + smokstat + logcholesterol | 191    | 87.62320 |     |

```

 Test Df Sum of Sq F Value Pr(F)
1
2 +logcholesterol 1 0.8414687 1.834223 0.1772296
```

This is the same issue that came up in the bivariate analyses. Cholesterol is a significant predictor, but log cholesterol is not. Let's look at some partial regression plots.

```
> y.lm <- lm(logbetaplasma~logquetelet+vituse+fiber+smokstat, data=modeldata2)
> x.lm <- lm(cholesterol~logquetelet+vituse+fiber+smokstat, data=modeldata2)
> plot(x.lm$residuals,y.lm$residuals)
> abline(lm(y.lm$residuals~x.lm$residuals))
> y.lm <- lm(logbetaplasma~logquetelet+vituse+fiber+smokstat, data=modeldata2)
> x.lm <- lm(logcholesterol~logquetelet+vituse+fiber+smokstat, data=modeldata2)
> plot(x.lm$residuals,y.lm$residuals,
 ylab="Residuals from logbetaplasma~logquetelet+vituse+fiber+smokstat",
 xlab="Residuals from logcholesterol~logquetelet+vituse+fiber+smokstat")
> abline(lm(y.lm$residuals~x.lm$residuals))
```

Neither one looks like it is adding very much information. My inclination based on this test is to drop it from the model, but I will wait and see how a model including it compares against the baseline model (log BMI, vitamin use, fiber, smoking status) when predicting the test data.

## Model validation

### Retinol

We return to the question of whether a model including both age and alcohol consumption category is better than a model including age only.

```
> alc00 <- ifelse(modeldata$alcCat=="00", 1, 0)
> alcLo <- ifelse(modeldata$alcCat=="Lo", 1, 0)
> alcHi <- ifelse(modeldata$alcCat=="Hi", 1, 0)
>
> ret1.lm <- lm(logretplasma~alcLo+alcHi+age, data=modeldata)
> ret2.lm <- lm(logretplasma~age, data=modeldata)
>
> rm(alc00,alcLo,alcHi)
>
> alc00 <- ifelse(testdata$alcCat=="00", 1, 0)
> alcLo <- ifelse(testdata$alcCat=="Lo", 1, 0)
> alcHi <- ifelse(testdata$alcCat=="Hi", 1, 0)
>
> ret.newdata <- as.data.frame(cbind(alcLo,alcHi,testdata$age))
> names(ret.newdata) <- c("alcLo","alcHi","age")
>
> ret1.fitted <- predict(ret1.lm, newdata=ret1.newdata)
> ret2.fitted <- predict(ret2.lm, newdata=ret1.newdata)
>
> par(mfrow=c(1,2), mai=c(.7,.7,.7,.2))
> plot(testdata$logretplasma, ret1.fitted, xlim=c(5.5,7.5),
 ylim=c(5.5,7.5), xlab="Actual Log Plasma Retinol",
 ylab="Predicted Log Plasma Retinol", cex=1.4)
> abline(0,1)
> title(main="Model with age and alcohol")
> plot(testdata$logretplasma, ret2.fitted, xlim=c(5.5,7.5),
 ylim=c(5.5,7.5), xlab="Actual Log Plasma Retinol",
 ylab="Predicted Log Plasma Retinol", cex=1.4)
> abline(0,1)
> title(main="Model with age only")
> par(mfrow=c(1,1))
> legend(locator(1), "Perfect Prediction", lty=1, cex=1.4)
```

Looking at this plot, including alcohol category seems to improve the prediction slightly. Let's look at the residuals from the prediction.

```
> ret1.resid <- ret1.fitted - testdata$logretplasma
> ret2.resid <- ret2.fitted - testdata$logretplasma
>
> par(mfrow=c(2,2))
> boxplot(ret1.resid, bxp.style="old")
```

```

> f.qqenv(ret1.resid)
> plot(ret1.fitted, ret1.resid)
> abline(0,0)
> plot(testdata$logretplasma, ret1.fitted, xlim=c(5.5,7.5), ylim=c(5.5,7.5))
> abline(0,1)
> par(mfrow=c(1,1))
> title(main="Model with age and alcohol")
>
> par(mfrow=c(2,2))
> boxplot(ret2.resid, bxp.style="old")
> f.qqenv(ret2.resid)
> plot(ret2.fitted, ret2.resid)
> abline(0,0)
> plot(testdata$logretplasma, ret2.fitted, xlim=c(5.5,7.5), ylim=c(5.5,7.5))
> abline(0,1)
> par(mfrow=c(1,1))
> title(main="Model with age only")

```

The residuals look ok in both cases; including alcohol category decreases the range. Now we summarize the final model for retinol.

```

> summary(ret1.lm)
...
Coefficients:
 Value Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 5.8990 0.0966 61.0898 0.0000
 alcLo 0.1357 0.0519 2.6155 0.0096
 alcHi 0.2371 0.1031 2.2989 0.0226
 age 0.0067 0.0017 4.0008 0.0001

Residual standard error: 0.3421 on 196 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-Squared: 0.1028
F-statistic: 7.486 on 3 and 196 degrees of freedom, the p-value is 9.053e-05
...
> par(mfrow=c(2,3), mai=c(.7,.7,.7,.2))
> plot(ret1.lm)

```

### Beta-carotene

Here the question is whether to include cholesterol as a predictor, or to use a model that includes only log BMI, vitamin use, fiber, and smoking status. We will compare the predictions of these two models.

```

> beta1.lm <- lm(logbetaplasma~logquetelet+vituse+fiber+smokstat,
 data=modeldata2)
> beta2.lm <- lm(logbetaplasma~logquetelet+vituse+fiber+smokstat+cholesterol,
 data=modeldata2)

```

```

>
> testdata$logquetelet <- log(testdata$quetelet)
> beta1.fitted <- predict(beta1.lm, newdata=testdata)
> beta2.fitted <- predict(beta2.lm, newdata=testdata)
>
> par(mfrow=c(1,2), mai=c(.7,.7,.7,.2))
> plot(testdata$logbetaplasma, beta1.fitted, xlim=c(3.5,7.5),
 ylim=c(3.5,7.5), xlab="Actual Log Plasma Beta-carotene",
 ylab="Predicted Log Plasma Beta-carotene", cex=1.4)
> abline(0,1)
> title(main="Model 1: log BMI, vituse, fiber, smoking")
> plot(testdata$logbetaplasma, beta2.fitted, xlim=c(3.5,7.5),
 ylim=c(3.5,7.5), xlab="Actual Log Plasma Beta-carotene",
 ylab="Predicted Log Plasma Beta-carotene", cex=1.4)
> abline(0,1)
> title(main="Model 1 + cholesterol")
> par(mfrow=c(1,1))
> legend(locator(1), "Perfect Prediction", lty=1, cex=1.4)

```

The predictions are virtually indistinguishable. Let's look at the residuals.

```

> beta1.resid <- beta1.fitted - testdata$logbetaplasma
> beta2.resid <- beta2.fitted - testdata$logbetaplasma
>
> par(mfrow=c(2,2))
> boxplot(beta1.resid, bxp.style="old")
> f.qqenv(beta1.resid)
> plot(beta1.fitted, beta1.resid)
> abline(0,0)
> plot(testdata$logbetaplasma, beta1.fitted, xlim=c(3.5,7.5), ylim=c(3.5,7.5))
> abline(0,1)
> par(mfrow=c(1,1))
> title(main="Model with BMI, smoking, fiber")
>
> par(mfrow=c(2,2))
> boxplot(beta2.resid, bxp.style="old")
> f.qqenv(beta2.resid)
> plot(beta2.fitted, beta2.resid)
> abline(0,0)
> plot(testdata$logbetaplasma, beta2.fitted, xlim=c(3.5,7.5), ylim=c(3.5,7.5))
> abline(0,1)
> par(mfrow=c(1,1))
> title(main="Model with BMI, smoking, fiber, vitamins, and cholesterol")

```

The range of the residuals is very slightly less when we include cholesterol, but I don't think this is a convincing reason to include it in the model. So we take a look at the final model.

```

> vitMod <- ifelse(modeldata2$vituse==2, 1, 0)
> vitHi <- ifelse(modeldata2$vituse==1, 1, 0)
> smokeFormer <- ifelse(modeldata2$smokstat==2, 1, 0)
> smokeCurrent <- ifelse(modeldata2$smokstat==3, 1, 0)
>
> summary(lm(logbetaplasma~logquetelet+vitMod+vitHi+fiber+smokeFormer+
 smokeCurrent, data=modeldata2))
...
Coefficients:
 Value Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 8.0089 0.7788 10.2837 0.0000
logquetelet -1.0670 0.2286 -4.6664 0.0000
 vitMod 0.2760 0.1256 2.1969 0.0292
 vitHi 0.2756 0.1149 2.3978 0.0175
 fiber 0.0228 0.0094 2.4339 0.0159
smokeFormer -0.1825 0.1041 -1.7535 0.0811
smokeCurrent -0.4366 0.1563 -2.7932 0.0057

Residual standard error: 0.6788 on 192 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-Squared: 0.211
F-statistic: 8.56 on 6 and 192 degrees of freedom, the p-value is 2.996e-08
...
> par(mfrow=c(2,3), mai=c(.7,.7,.7,.2))
> plot(beta1.lm)

```

The same model analyses were run using a dataset that included subject number 62, who was an outlier in terms of both drinks per week and calories per day. This subject had considerable influence when alcohol was one of the independent variables, but did not change the significance of the other findings. The models without this subject are presented in this report because they are easier to interpret.

# Bibliography

- [1] Junker, Brian. *Determinants of Plasma Retinol and Beta-Carotene Levels*. Available at:

<http://stat.cmu.edu/~brian/707>