Project 01, Fall 2021

Criteria	Ratings	Pts
Title Clear, Interesting, Focused	Clear, focused title!	5 pts
Author/Contact Info Your name and email addr.	All information included.	0 pts
Abstract Summarizes I,D,M,R,D in 3-6 brief clear sentences.	All sections included in the abstract with brief, clear sentences. I like the brevity in each sentences explaining just enough key information without going into too much detail.	5 pts
Introduction Brief, clear, to the point; context for the problem; What is the problem/aim of the study? What questions will be answered?	A good context for the problem is outlined, it would be better if you also included the list of 4 research questions you will be looking at.	10 pts
Data How was the data generated? By whom? Variable definitions, sample size, quick numerical summaries of the variables and initial EDA. Don't forget to add some sentences/paragraphs explaining everything!	All informations about the data are there. I like how you clearly show the reader where to find the summaries and initial EDA of the variables through links. But I think the first table (table 1) should be included in this section rather than the technical appendix.	5 pts

Project 01, Fall 2021

Criteria	Ratings	Pts
Methods List the methods and/or analyses that will be used to answer each question stated in the Introduction. No data analysis, graphing, model fitting, etc. appears here	The methods used are briefly mentioned throughout the section. Maybe you could also elaborate on what kind of variable selection methods you used?	5 pts
Results Statistical analysis & results in order parallel to Intro & Methods; no new methods or data; no big picture discussion	+I liked how you based your results on a very detailed interpretation of coefficients. + I think you could also show each of the models selected by the variable selection methods you used and outline each of the AIC, BIC in the section (rather than technical appendix). + Also would be better if you divided up the section into each of the 4 research questions and provide results on each.	10 pts
Discussion Recap findings; address main problem/question; strengths & weaknesses; implications, unanswered questions, future research	All findings were well recapped, and issues during analysis were discussed. I liked how you explained and described the limitation (bias), but you could also talk about how you would go about solving it? Future research / improvements were clearly discussed as well.	10 pts
Mechanics Follows C-C-C as much as possible (sentences, paragraphs & sections); Grammatical; Easy to follow	A few grammar errors, but overall very easy to follow!	5 pts

Project 01, Fall 2021

Criteria	Ratings	Pts
Statistical Content Correctly and appropriately uses technical and non-technical material we have learned in class. Easy to follow; Analysis makes sense/not crazy (roughly 10% per research question)	Analysis makes sense! But some analysis results in the technical appendix would be better if placed in the actual report (like the data composition table from Kutner).	40 pts
References & Citations Follow ASA guide, "The Reference List" & "Reference Citations" (be sure to cite all sources!)	References are correct! I really liked the links that connect to the references.	5 pts
Technical Appendix Helps me to understand your paper and give you max points above; Easy to follow. Complete analyses: R code, output, graphs, tables, and comments explaining what you did and why.	Technical Appendix easy to follow!	0 pts
Total Points: 100/100		