Lecture 10: Linear Mixed Models (Linear Models with Random Effects)

Claudia Czado

TU München



Overview

West, Welch, and Galecki (2007) Fahrmeir, Kneib, and Lang (2007) (Kapitel 6)

- Introduction
- Likelihood Inference for Linear Mixed Models
 - Parameter Estimation for known Covariance Structure
 - Parameter Estimation for unknown Covariance Structure
 - Confidence Intervals and Hypothesis Tests

Introduction

So far: independent response variables, but often

- Clustered Data
 - response is measured for each subject
 - each subject belongs to a group of subjects (cluster)

Ex.:

- math scores of student grouped by classrooms (class room forms cluster)
- birth weigths of rats grouped by litter (litter forms cluster)

• Longitudinal Data

- response is measured at several time points
- number of time points is not too large (in contrast to time series)

Ex.: sales of a product at each month in a year (12 measurements)

Fixed and Random Factors/Effects

How can we extend the linear model to allow for such dependent data structures?

fixed factor = qualitative covariate (e.g. gender, agegroup)

fixed effect = **quantitative** covariate (e.g. age)

random factor = qualitative variable whose levels are randomly sampled from a population of levels being studied

Ex.: 20 supermarkets were selected and their number of cashiers were reported 10 supermarkets with 2 cashiers 5 supermarkets with 1 cashier 5 supermarkets with 5 cashiers
A supermarkets with 2 cashiers
B observed levels of random factor "number of cashiers"

random effect = quantitative variable whose levels are randomly sampled from a population of levels being studied Ex.: 20 supermarkets were selected and their size reported. These size values are random samples from the population of size values of all supermarkets.

Modeling Clustered Data

- Y_{ij} = response of j-th member of cluster i, $i = 1, \ldots, m, j = 1, \ldots, n_i$
- m = number of clusters
- n_i = size of cluster i
- x_{ij} = covariate vector of j-th member of cluster i for fixed effects, $\in \mathbb{R}^p$
- $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ = fixed effects parameter, $\in \mathbb{R}^p$
- u_{ij} = covariate vector of j-th member of cluster i for random effects, $\in \mathbb{R}^q$
- γ_i = random effect parameter, $\in \mathbb{R}^q$

Model:

$$Y_{ij} = \underbrace{\mathbf{x_{ij}}^{t} \boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\text{fixed}} + \underbrace{\mathbf{u_{ij}}^{t} \boldsymbol{\gamma_{i}}}_{\text{random}} + \underbrace{\boldsymbol{\epsilon_{ij}}}_{\text{random}}$$
$$i = 1, \dots, m; j = 1, \dots, n_{i}$$

Mixed Linear Model (LMM) I

Assumptions:

$$egin{aligned} m{\gamma_i} &\sim N_q(\mathbf{0}, D), & D \in \mathbb{R}^{q imes q} \ & m{\epsilon_i} &\coloneqq egin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{i1} \ dots \ \epsilon_{in_i} \end{pmatrix} &\sim N_{n_i}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma_i), & \Sigma_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i imes n_i} \end{aligned}$$

 $\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_m,\epsilon_1,\ldots,\epsilon_m$ independent

D = covariance matrix of random effects γ_i

 $\Sigma_i = \text{ covariance matrix of error vector } \epsilon_i$ in cluster i

Mixed Linear Model (LMM) II

Matrix Notation:

$$egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egi$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{Y_i} = X_i \boldsymbol{\beta} + U_i \boldsymbol{\gamma_i} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon_i} \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ \Rightarrow \begin{array}{l} \boldsymbol{\gamma_i} \sim N_q(\mathbf{0}, D) & \boldsymbol{\gamma_1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\gamma_m}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon_1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\epsilon_m} \text{ independent} \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon_i} \sim N_{n_i}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma_i) \end{array}$$
(1)

Modeling Longitudinal Data

Y_{ij}	=	response of	subject	i at j-th	measurement,	$i=1,\ldots$	$\dots, m, j = 1, \dots, n_i$
----------	---	-------------	---------	-----------	--------------	--------------	-------------------------------

- number of measurements for subject i = n_i
- = number of objects m
- = covariate vector of i-th subject at j-th measurement x_{ij} for fixed effects $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$
- = covariate vector of i-th subject at j-th measurement u_{ii} for random effects $\boldsymbol{\gamma_i} \in \mathbb{R}^q$

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{matrix notation} \\ \Rightarrow \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{Y_i} = X_i \boldsymbol{\beta} + U_i \boldsymbol{\gamma_i} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon_i} \\ \boldsymbol{\gamma_i} \sim N_q(\boldsymbol{0}, D) \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon_i} \sim N_{n_i}(\boldsymbol{0}, \Sigma_i) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{\gamma_1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\gamma_m}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon_1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\epsilon_m} \text{ independent} \end{array}$

Remark: The general form of the mixed linear model is the same for clustered and longitudinal observations.

Matrix Formulation of the Linear Mixed Model

©(Claudia Czado, TU Munich)

Linear Mixed Model (LMM) in matrix formulation

With this, the linear mixed model (1) can be rewritten as

$$Y = X\beta + U\gamma + \epsilon$$
(2)
where $\begin{pmatrix} \gamma \\ \epsilon \end{pmatrix} \sim N_{mq+n} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G} & 0_{mq \times n} \\ 0_{n \times mq} & R \end{pmatrix} \right)$

Remarks:

• LMM (2) can be rewritten as two level hierarchical model

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Y} | \mathbf{\gamma} &\sim N_n (X \boldsymbol{\beta} + U \boldsymbol{\gamma}, R) \quad (3) \\ \mathbf{\gamma} &\sim N_{mq} (\mathbf{0}, R) \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

• Let
$$\mathbf{Y} = X\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^*$$
, where $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^* := U\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon} = \underbrace{\left(U \quad I_{n \times n} \right)}_{A} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \end{pmatrix}$
 $\stackrel{(2)}{\Rightarrow} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^* \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, V)$, where

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{V} \\ \mathbf{V} \end{bmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & R \end{pmatrix} A^{t} = \begin{pmatrix} U & I_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U^{t} \\ I_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} U\mathcal{G} & R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U^{t} \\ I_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{U}\mathcal{G}\mathbf{U}^{t} + R \end{bmatrix}$$

Therefore (2) implies $\begin{cases} \mathbf{Y} = X\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^* \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^* \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, V) \end{cases}$ (5) marginal model

(2) or (3)+(4) implies (5), however (5) does not imply (3)+(4)
 ⇒ If one is only interested in estimating β one can use the ordinary linear model (5)
 If one is interested in estimating β and γ one has to use model (3)+(4)

Likelihood Inference for LMM: 1) Estimation of β and γ for known \mathcal{G} and R

Estimation of β : Using (5), we have as MLE or weighted LSE of β

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} := \left(X^t V^{-1} X \right)^{-1} X^t V^{-1} \boldsymbol{Y}$$
 (6)

Recall: $\mathbf{Y} = X\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \quad \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma), \quad \Sigma \text{ known}, \quad \Sigma = \Sigma^{1/2} \left(\Sigma^{1/2}\right)^t$ $\Rightarrow \Sigma^{-1/2} \mathbf{Y} = \Sigma^{-1/2} X \boldsymbol{\beta} + \underbrace{\Sigma^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{=I_n} (7)$ $\Rightarrow \text{ LSE of } \boldsymbol{\beta} \text{ in } (7): \qquad \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \left(X^t \Sigma^{-1/2^t} \Sigma^{-1/2} X\right)^{-1} X \Sigma^{-1/2^t} \Sigma^{-1/2} \mathbf{Y}$ $= \left(X^t \Sigma^{-1/2^t} \Sigma^{-1/2} X\right)^{-1} X^t \Sigma^{-1/2^t} \Sigma^{-1/2} \mathbf{Y}$ (8)

This estimate is called the weighted LSE Exercise: Show that (8) is the MLE in $\mathbf{Y} = X\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$

Estimation of γ : From (3) and (4) it follows that $\boldsymbol{Y} \sim N_n(X\boldsymbol{\beta}, V) \qquad \boldsymbol{\gamma} \sim N_{mq}(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}})$

$$Cov(\mathbf{Y}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) = Cov(X\boldsymbol{\beta} + U\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})$$

=
$$\underbrace{Cov(X\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})}_{=0} + U\underbrace{Var(\boldsymbol{\gamma}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})}_{\mathcal{G}} + \underbrace{Cov(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})}_{=0} = U\mathcal{G}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Y} \\ \boldsymbol{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} \sim N_{n+mq} \left(\begin{pmatrix} X\boldsymbol{\beta} \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} V & U\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}} \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}U^t & \boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}} \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

Recall:
$$X = \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix} \sim N_p \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mu_Y \\ \mu_Z \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_Y & \Sigma_{YZ} \\ \Sigma ZY & \Sigma_Z \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

 $\Rightarrow Z|Y \sim N \left(\mu_{Z|Y}, \Sigma_{Y|Z} \right)$ with
 $\mu_{Z|Y} = \mu_Z + \Sigma_{ZY} \Sigma_Y^{-1} \left(Y - \mu_Y \right), \Sigma_{Z|Y} = \Sigma_Z - \Sigma_{ZY} \Sigma_Y^{-1} \Sigma_{YZ}$

$$E(\boldsymbol{\gamma}|\boldsymbol{Y}) = \boldsymbol{0} + \mathcal{G}U^{t}V^{-10}(\boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathcal{G}U^{t}V^{-1}(\boldsymbol{Y} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})$$
(9)
is the best linear unbiased predictor of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ (BLUP)

Therefore $\tilde{\gamma} := \mathcal{G}U^t V^{-1} (\boldsymbol{Y} - X \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$ is the empirical BLUP (EBLUP)

©(Claudia Czado, TU Munich)

Joint maximization of log likelihood of $(Y^t, \gamma^t)^t$ with respect to (β, γ)

$$\begin{split} f(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\gamma}) &= f(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{\gamma}) \cdot f(\boldsymbol{\gamma}) \\ &\stackrel{(3)+(4)}{\propto} & \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{U}\boldsymbol{\gamma})^{t}\boldsymbol{R}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{U}\boldsymbol{\gamma})\} \\ & \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{t}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\gamma}\} \\ &\Rightarrow \ln f(\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{\gamma}) &= -\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{U}\boldsymbol{\gamma})^{t}\boldsymbol{R}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} - \boldsymbol{U}\boldsymbol{\gamma}) \\ & -\frac{1}{2}\underbrace{\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{t}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\gamma}}_{\text{penalty term for }\boldsymbol{\gamma}} + \text{constants ind. of } (\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\gamma}) \end{split}$$

So it is enough to minimize

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\gamma}) := (\boldsymbol{y} - X\boldsymbol{\beta} - U\boldsymbol{\gamma})^{t}R^{-1}(\boldsymbol{y} - X\boldsymbol{\beta} - U\boldsymbol{\gamma}) - \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{t}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\gamma} = \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{t}R^{-1}\boldsymbol{\gamma} - 2\boldsymbol{\beta}^{t}X^{t}R^{-1}\boldsymbol{y} + 2\boldsymbol{\beta}^{t}X^{t}R^{-1}U\boldsymbol{\gamma} - 2\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{t}U^{t}R^{-1}\boldsymbol{y} + \boldsymbol{\beta}^{t}X^{t}R^{-1}X\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{t}U^{t}R^{-1}U\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{t}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}\boldsymbol{\gamma}$$

Recall:

$$f(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) := \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{t} \boldsymbol{b} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{j} b_{j}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_{i}} f(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = b_{j},$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}} f(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \boldsymbol{b}$$

$$g(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) := \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{t} A \boldsymbol{\alpha} = \sum_{i} \sum_{j} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} a_{ij}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha_i} g(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = 2\alpha_i a_{ii} + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \alpha_j a_{ij} + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \alpha_j a_{ji} = 2\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j a_{ij} = 2A_i^t \boldsymbol{\alpha}$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\alpha}} g(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = 2\left(\begin{pmatrix} A_1^t \\ \vdots \\ A_n^t \end{pmatrix} \right) = 2A\boldsymbol{\alpha} \qquad A_i^t \text{ is ith row of } \mathbf{A}$$

©(Claudia Czado, TU Munich)

Mixed Model Equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} Q(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) = -2X^{t} R^{-1} \boldsymbol{y} + 2X^{t} R^{-1} U \boldsymbol{\gamma} + 2X^{t} R^{-1} X \boldsymbol{\beta} \stackrel{\text{Set}}{=} 0$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\gamma}} Q(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) = -2U^{t} R^{-1} X \boldsymbol{\beta} - 2U^{t} R^{-1} \boldsymbol{y} + 2U^{t} R^{-1} U \boldsymbol{\gamma} + 2\mathcal{G}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \stackrel{\text{Set}}{=} 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow X^{t}R^{-1}X\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + X^{t}R^{-1}U\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} = X^{t}R^{-1}\boldsymbol{y}$$
$$U^{t}R^{-1}X\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} + (U^{t}R^{-1}U + \mathcal{G}^{-1})\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} = U^{t}R^{-1}\boldsymbol{y}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \left| \begin{pmatrix} X^{t}R^{-1}X & X^{t}R^{-1}U \\ U^{t}R^{-1}U & U^{t}R^{-1}R + \mathcal{G}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \\ \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X^{t}R^{-1}\boldsymbol{y} \\ U^{t}R^{-1}\boldsymbol{y} \end{pmatrix} \right| \qquad (10)$$

©(Claudia Czado, TU Munich)

Exercise: Show that $\widetilde{\beta}, \widetilde{\gamma}$ defined by (8) and (9) respectively solve (10).

Define
$$C := \begin{pmatrix} X & U \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{B} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{G}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow C^{t}R^{-1}C = \begin{pmatrix} X^{t} \\ U^{t} \end{pmatrix} R^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} X & U \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X^{t}R^{-1} \\ U^{t}R^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X & U \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} X^{t}R^{-1}X & X^{t}R^{-1}U \\ U^{t}R^{-1}X & U^{t}R^{-1}U \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow (10) \qquad \Leftrightarrow \quad (C^{t}R^{-1}C + B) \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \\ \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \end{pmatrix} = C^{t}R^{-1}\boldsymbol{y}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad \begin{pmatrix} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \\ \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \end{pmatrix} = (C^{t}R^{-1}C + B)^{-1}C^{t}R^{-1}\boldsymbol{y}$$

2) Estimation for unknown covariance structure

We assume now in the marginal model (5)

$$Y = X\beta + \epsilon^*, \epsilon^* \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, V)$$

with $V = U\mathcal{G}U^t + R$, that \mathcal{G} and R are only known up to the variance parameter ϑ , i.e. we write

 $V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = U\mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})U^t + R(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})$

ML Estimation in extended marginal model

 $Y = X\beta + \epsilon^*, \epsilon^* \sim N_n(\mathbf{0}, V(\vartheta))$ with $V(\vartheta) = U\mathcal{G}(\vartheta)U^t + R(\vartheta)$ loglikelihood for (β, ϑ) :

$$l(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = -\frac{1}{2} \{ \ln |V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})| + (\boldsymbol{y} - X\boldsymbol{\beta})^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} (\boldsymbol{y} - X\boldsymbol{\beta}) \} + \text{ const. ind. of } \boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}$$
(11)

If we maximize (11) for fixed ϑ with regard to β , we get

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) := (X^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} X)^{-1} X^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} \boldsymbol{y}$$

Then the profile log likelihood is

$$l_{p}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) := l(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}), \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \\ = -\frac{1}{2} \{ \ln |V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})| + (\boldsymbol{y} - X\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))^{t} V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} (\boldsymbol{y} - X\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})) \}$$

Maximizing $l_p(\vartheta)$ wrt to ϑ gives MLE $\hat{\vartheta}_{ML}$. $\hat{\vartheta}_{ML}$ is however biased; this is why one uses often restricted ML estimation (REML)

Restricted ML Estimation in extended marginal model

Here we use for the estimation of ϑ the marginal log likelihood:

$$l_{R}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) := \ln(\int L(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\beta})$$

$$\int L(\boldsymbol{\beta},\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \int \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} |V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})|^{-1/2} + \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^{t} V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})\} \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\beta}$$

Consider:

$$(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})^{t}V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \boldsymbol{\beta}^{t} \underbrace{\boldsymbol{X}^{t}V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}}_{A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})} \boldsymbol{\beta} - 2\boldsymbol{y}^{t}V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{y}^{t}V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}\boldsymbol{y}$$
$$= (\boldsymbol{\beta} - B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\boldsymbol{y})^{t}A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})(\boldsymbol{\beta} - B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\boldsymbol{y}) + \boldsymbol{y}^{t}V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} - \boldsymbol{y}^{t}B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{t}A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\boldsymbol{y}$$

where $B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) := A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} X^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}$

(Note that
$$B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^t A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} X A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} X$$
)

Therefore we have

$$\int L(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) d\boldsymbol{\beta} = \frac{|V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})|^{-1/2}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{y}^{\boldsymbol{t}}[V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} + B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{\boldsymbol{t}}A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})]\boldsymbol{y}\}$$
$$\cdot \underbrace{\int \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\beta} - B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\boldsymbol{y})^{\boldsymbol{t}}A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})(\boldsymbol{\beta} - B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\boldsymbol{y})\}d\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\frac{(2\pi)^{p/2}}{|A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}|^{-1/2}} \quad (\text{Variance is } A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}!)}$$
(12)

Now
$$\underbrace{(\boldsymbol{y} - X\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))^{t}V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}(\boldsymbol{y} - X\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))}_{= \boldsymbol{y}^{t}V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}\boldsymbol{y} - 2\boldsymbol{y}^{t}V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}X\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) + \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{t}\underbrace{X^{t}V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}X}_{A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})}_{= \boldsymbol{y}^{t}V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}\boldsymbol{y} - 2\boldsymbol{y}^{t}V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}XB(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\boldsymbol{y} + \boldsymbol{y}^{t}B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{t}A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\boldsymbol{y}}_{= \boldsymbol{y}^{t}V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{y}^{t}B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{t}A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})\boldsymbol{y}}$$

Here we used:

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (X^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} X)^{-1} X^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} \boldsymbol{y} = A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} X^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} \boldsymbol{y} = B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \boldsymbol{y}$$

 and

 $B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{t}A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}XA(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})B(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}XB(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})$

Therefore we can rewrite (12) as

$$\int L(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) d\boldsymbol{\beta} = \frac{|V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})|^{-1/2}}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{y} - X\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))^{t}V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}(\boldsymbol{y} - X\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))\}$$
$$\cdot \frac{(2\pi)^{n/2}}{|A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}|^{-1/2}} \qquad |A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1}| = \frac{1}{|A|}$$

$$\Rightarrow l_R(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \ln(\int L(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \boldsymbol{\vartheta}) d\boldsymbol{\beta})$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \{\ln|V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})| + (\boldsymbol{y} - X\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} (\boldsymbol{y} - X\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))\}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \ln|A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})| + C$$

$$= l_p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) - \frac{1}{2} \ln|A(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})| + C$$

Therefore the restricted ML (REML) of ϑ is given by $\hat{\vartheta}_{REML}$ which maximizes

$$l_R(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = l_p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) - \frac{1}{2} \ln |X^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} X|$$

Summary: Estimation in LMM with unknown cov.

For the linear mixed model

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{Y} &= \boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + U\boldsymbol{\gamma} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}, \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \end{pmatrix} \sim N_{mq+n} \left(\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) & \boldsymbol{0}_{mq\times n} \\ \boldsymbol{0}_{n\times mq} & R(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ \text{with } V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) &= U\mathcal{G}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})U^t + R(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) \end{split}$$

the covariance parameter vector $\boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ is estimated by either $\hat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}_{\boldsymbol{ML}}$ which maximizes $l_p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = -\frac{1}{2} \{ \ln |V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})| + (\boldsymbol{y} - X\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} (\boldsymbol{y} - X\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})) \}$ where $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (X^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} X)^{-1} X^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} Y$ or by $\hat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}_{\boldsymbol{REML}}$ which maximizes $l_R(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) = l_p(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}) - \frac{1}{2} \ln |X^t V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta})^{-1} X|$

The fixed effects eta and random effects γ are estimated by

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \left(X^t \widehat{V}^{-1} X\right)^{-1} X^t \widehat{V}^{-1} \boldsymbol{Y}$$

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} = \widehat{\mathcal{G}} U^t \widehat{V}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{Y} - X \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) \qquad \text{where } \widehat{V} = V(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}_{\boldsymbol{ML}}) \text{ or } V(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}_{\boldsymbol{REML}})$$

Special Case

(Dependence on ϑ is ignored to ease notation)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G} &= \begin{pmatrix} D \\ & \ddots \\ & D \end{pmatrix}, U = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 \\ & \ddots \\ & U_m \end{pmatrix}, R = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_1 \\ & \ddots \\ & \Sigma_m \end{pmatrix}, \\ X &= \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_m \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{Y} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Y}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{Y}_m \end{pmatrix} \\ \Rightarrow V &= U\mathcal{G}U^t + R = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 DU_1^t + \Sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots \\ & U_m DU_m^t + \Sigma_m \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(blockdiagonal} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} V_1 \\ & \ddots \\ & & V_m \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where } V_i := U_i DU_i^t + \Sigma_i \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{split} \widehat{V}_{i} &:= U_{i}D(\widehat{\vartheta})U_{i}^{t} + \Sigma_{i}(\widehat{\vartheta}), \text{ where } \widehat{\vartheta} = \widehat{\vartheta}_{ML} \text{ or } \widehat{\vartheta}_{REML} \\ \Rightarrow \boxed{\widehat{\beta}} = (X^{t}\widehat{V}^{-1}X)^{-1}X^{t}\widehat{V}^{-1}Y \\ &= \boxed{\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{i}^{t}\widehat{V}_{i}^{-1}X_{i})^{-1}(\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{i}^{t}\widehat{V}_{i}^{-1}Y_{i})} \end{split}$$

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$

$$\widehat{m{\gamma}} = \widehat{\mathcal{G}} U^t \widehat{V}^{-1} (m{Y} - X \widehat{m{eta}})$$
 has components

$$\widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}_{\boldsymbol{i}} = D(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}) U_{\boldsymbol{i}} \widehat{V}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{i}} - X_{\boldsymbol{i}} \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})$$

3) Confidence intervals and hypothesis tests

Since $\mathbf{Y} \sim N(X\boldsymbol{\beta}, V(\boldsymbol{\vartheta}))$ holds, an approximation to the covariance of $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \left(X^t V^{-1}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}})X\right)^{-1} X^t V^{-1}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}) \mathbf{Y}$ is given by

 $A(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}) := (X^t V^{-1}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}})X)^{-1}$

Note: here one assumes that $V(\widehat{\vartheta})$ is fixed and does not depend on Y. Therefore $\widehat{\sigma}_j := (X^t V^{-1}(\widehat{\vartheta})X)_{jj}^{-1}$ are considered as estimates of $Var(\widehat{\beta}_j)$. Therefore

$$\widehat{\beta}_j \pm z_{1-\alpha/2} \sqrt{(X^t V^{-1}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}})X)_{jj}^{-1}}$$

gives an approximate $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ CI for β_j .

It is expected that $(X^t V^{-1}(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}})X)_{jj}^{-1}$ underestimates $Var(\widehat{\beta}_j)$ since the variation in $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\vartheta}}$ is not taken into account.

A full Bayesian analysis using MCMC methods is preferable to these approximations.

Under the assumption that $\hat{\beta}$ is asymptotically normal with mean β and covariance matrix $A(\vartheta)$, then the usal hypothesis tests can be done; i.e. for

• $H_0: \beta_j = 0$ versus $H_1: \beta_j \neq 0$

Reject
$$H_0 \Leftrightarrow |t_j| = |\frac{\widehat{\beta}_j}{\widehat{\sigma}_j}| > z_{1-\alpha/2}$$

• $H_0: C\beta = d$ versus $H_1: C\beta \neq d$ rank(C) = r

Reject $H_0 \Leftrightarrow W := (C\widehat{\beta} - d)^t (C^t A(\widehat{\vartheta})C)^{-1} (C\widehat{\beta} - d) > \chi^2_{1-\alpha,r}$ (Wald-Test)

or

Reject
$$H_0 \Leftrightarrow -2[l(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}) - l(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\boldsymbol{R}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}_{\boldsymbol{R}})] > \chi^2_{1-\alpha,r}$$

where $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}$ estimates in unrestricted model $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{\boldsymbol{R}}, \widehat{\boldsymbol{\gamma}}_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ estimates in restricted model $(C\boldsymbol{\beta} = d)$
(Likelihood Ratio Test)

References

- Fahrmeir, L., T. Kneib, and S. Lang (2007). *Regression: Modelle, Methoden und Anwendungen*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- West, B., K. E. Welch, and A. T. Galecki (2007). *Linear Mixed Models: a practical guide using statistical software*. Boca Raton: Chapman-Hall/CRC.