

Lecture 9: Loglinear models

Claudia Czado

TU München



Overview

- Introduction to loglinear models
- Two dimensional loglinear models
- Three dimensional loglinear models

Introduction

Reference: Agresti (1990), chapters 5, 6

Loglinear models are used to determine relationships like

- independence
- conditional independence
- dependence

between **variables**. Particularly, **no variable** is characterized as **response**.

Example: Classification of medical test results

Contingency table, source: Agresti (1990), p.158

Age	Smoking status	Test result	
		normal	not normal
< 40	non-smoker	577	34
	smoker	682	57
40 – 59	non-smoker	164	4
	smoker	245	74

Variables (here: Age, Smoking status, Test result) are called **classification variables**.

Each of them has a certain number of **categories**.

Relationship between variables?

Model frame

d = # of classification variables

L_j = # of categories of classification variable j ($j = 1, \dots, d$)

i = (i_1, \dots, i_d) , with $1 \leq i_j \leq L_j$ **multiple index** for cell identification

I = $\{i = (i_1, \dots, i_d), 1 \leq i_j \leq L_j, j = 1, \dots, d\}$

In our example:

$d = 3, \quad L_1 = \text{Age} \quad L_2 = \text{Smoking status} \quad L_3 = \text{Test result} = 2$

$\Rightarrow i = (1, 2, 1)$ means

Age < 40, Smoker, Normal test result

Distribution of observations

Y_i = # of observations in cell i

$\{Y_i, i \in I\} \sim \text{multinomial } \mathcal{M}_t(m, \mathbf{p})$

$t := \prod_{j=1}^d L_j = \# \text{ of cells}$

$m := \sum_{i \in I} Y_i = \text{total } \# \text{ of observations}$

$p_i := P(\text{observation falls in cell } i), i \in I, \mathbf{p} := (p_i, i \in I)$

$$\Rightarrow P(Y_i = y_i, i \in I) = \frac{m!}{\prod_{i \in I} y_i!} \prod_{i \in I} p_i^{y_i},$$

$$E(Y_i) = mp_i, \text{Var}(Y_i) = mp_i(1 - p_i), \text{Cov}(Y_i, Y_j) = -mp_i p_j$$

General loglinear models

$$\{Y_i, i \in I\} \sim \mathcal{M}_t(m, \mathbf{p})$$

$$l_i := \log(E(Y_i)) = \log(mp_i) = l_{(i_1, \dots, i_d)}$$

$$= \lambda + \sum_{j=1}^d \lambda_{i_j}^j + \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq d} \lambda_{i_j i_k}^{jk} + \sum_{1 \leq j < k < l \leq d} \lambda_{i_j i_k i_l}^{jkl} + \dots + \lambda_{i_1 \dots i_d}^{12 \dots d}$$

ANOVA-like decomposition of expected value

$$\text{Since } \sum_{i \in I} p_i = 1 \Rightarrow \sum_{i \in I} mp_i = m$$

$$\Rightarrow l_i = \log(mp_i) \Rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} l_i = \log \left(\sum_{i \in I} mp_i \right) = \log(m)$$

Two dimensional contingency tables

$d = 2, \quad L_1 = R, \quad L_2 = C, \quad R \times C$ table

	1	2	\dots	C	
1	p_{11}	p_{12}	\dots	p_{1C}	\mathbf{p}_{RC}
2	p_{21}	p_{22}	\dots	p_{2C}	
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots		\vdots	
\vdots	\vdots	\vdots		\vdots	
R	p_{R1}	p_{R2}	\dots	p_{RC}	

$$\Rightarrow l_{ij} = \log(mp_{ij}) = \lambda + \lambda_i^1 + \lambda_j^2 + \lambda_{ij}^{12}$$

Identifiability conditions

$$l_{ij} = \log(mp_{ij}) = \lambda + \lambda_i^1 + \lambda_j^2 + \lambda_{ij}^{12}$$

$\lambda, \lambda_i^1, \lambda_j^2, \lambda_{ij}^{12}, \quad (i = 1, \dots, R, \quad j = 1, \dots, C)$ have to be estimated

$\Rightarrow 1 + R + C + RC$ parameters, but only RC different l_{ij} 's

Need **identifiability conditions**, e. g.

$$\sum_{i=1}^R \lambda_i^1 = 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^C \lambda_j^2 = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^R \lambda_{ij}^{12} = 0 \quad , (i = 1, \dots, C)$$
$$\sum_{j=1}^L \lambda_{ij}^{12} = 0 \quad , (j = 1, \dots, L)$$

$R + C + 2$ identifiability conditions, RC different l_{ij} 's with $\prod_{i=1}^R \prod_{j=1}^R l_{ij} = \log(m)$

\Rightarrow parameters can be identified

Parameter specification

The previous identifiability conditions are satisfied for

$$\lambda := l_{\bullet\bullet} := \frac{1}{RC} \sum_{i=1}^R \sum_{j=1}^C l_{ij}$$

$$\lambda_i^1 := l_{i\bullet} - \lambda$$

$$l_{i\bullet} := \frac{1}{C} \sum_{j=1}^C l_{ij} \quad (i = 1, \dots, R)$$

$$\lambda_j^2 := l_{\bullet j} - \lambda$$

$$l_{\bullet j} := \frac{1}{R} \sum_{i=1}^R l_{ij} \quad (j = 1, \dots, C)$$

$$\lambda_{ij}^{12} := l_{ij} - l_{i\bullet} - l_{\bullet j} + l_{\bullet\bullet}$$

In the following, all possible model specifications will be interpreted

Model 1: $l_{ij} = \lambda$

$$l_{ij} = \lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow l_{ij} = \log(mp_{ij}) = \lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow p_{ij} = p \text{ and } 1 = \sum_{i=1}^R \sum_{j=1}^C p_{ij} = RCp$$

W^1 := rv of row classification (i. e. W^1 takes values in $1, \dots, R$)

W^2 := rv of column classification (i. e. W^2 takes values in $1, \dots, C$)

$$\Rightarrow p_{ij} = P(W^1 = i, W^2 = j)$$

- $p_{ij} = P(W^1 = i, W^2 = j)$

$$\Rightarrow P(W^1 = i) = \sum_{j=1}^C p_{ij} = Cp = \frac{1}{R} \quad \text{uniform distribution}$$

$$\Rightarrow P(W^2 = j) = \sum_{i=1}^R p_{ij} = Rp = \frac{1}{C} \quad \text{uniform distribution}$$

- W^1 and W^2 are independent:

$$P(W^1 = i, W^2 = j) = p_{ij} = p = \underbrace{(RCp)}_{=1} p = (Cp)(Rp) = P(W^1 = i)P(W^2 = j)$$

$\Rightarrow (W^1, W^2)$ are independent and uniform

References

Agresti, A. (1990). *Categorical Data Analysis*. New York: Wiley & Sons.