

# Chaos, Complexity, and Inference (36-462)

## Lecture 23

Cosma Shalizi

10 April 2008

## Common Elements of Agent-Based Models

Stigmergy

Mutual adjustment

Frustration

History dependence

Adaptation

Mostly, variations on themes from Herbert Simon (1996)  
Axelrod and Cohen (1999) is also very worth reading for  
general orientation

## Stigmergy

*stigma*, “sign” + *ergon* “work”

the traces left by previous work become the signs directing future work

Classic examples: social insects (Camazine *et al.*, 2001)

pheromone trails

nest-building

inspires “ant-colony optimization”

Not just social insects; lots of human stigmergy (e.g., footpaths)

read [http:](http://whimsley.typepad.com/whimsley/2008/03/mr-googles-guid.html)

[//whimsley.typepad.com/whimsley/2008/03/mr-googles-guid.html](http://whimsley.typepad.com/whimsley/2008/03/mr-googles-guid.html) on footpaths

## Cumulative Action

Previous actions by some agents create the conditions under which other agents act, and create those conditions in turn  
Not *just* stigmergy

*Men make their own history, but they do not make it as they please; they do not make it under self-selected circumstances, but under circumstances existing already, given and transmitted from the past. The tradition of all dead generations weighs like a nightmare on the brains of the living.*

[prize for the first student to identify the quoted author]

## The Mind Is Not Just in the Head

People extend cognition into the physical environment

“give me pen and paper, I need to think!”

and into the social environment

conversation, law, bureaucracy, science, markets, government, ...

though of course none of it works without the stuff *in* the head

(Clark 2003; Frawley 1997; Hutchins 1995; Mercer 2000; Simon 1956;

Stinchcombe 2001; Vygotsky 1934/1986,...)

## Mutual Adjustment

Everybody ends up making a *best response* move to what everybody else is doing (Young, 1998)

“Best move under the circumstances”  $\neq$  “what your actions show you really want” (Slee, 2006)

Mutual adjustment (often) tends to create fairly stable equilibria (Hayek, 1937, 1945; Lindblom, 1965; Young, 1998; Borkar, 2002; Foster and Young, 2003)

Mutual responsiveness can create the illusion of central control...

Failure to grasp this leads to conspiracy theories, Intelligent Design, etc.  
... which is not to say that no one ever has control!

## Equilibrium Traps and Frustration

Equilibria are not necessarily good for anyone involved  
(Slee, 2006; Schelling, 1978)

Schelling's segregation model: free choice leads to *everyone* being worse off  
though they are often better for some than for others

Loury (2002) model of self-reinforcing racial discrimination

Elvin (1973) argues that the failure of China to launch its own industrial  
revolution under the Song dynasty was partly due to a *high-level* equilibrium  
trap; cf. McNeill (1982)

## History-Dependence

Following Brian Arthur (1994), the idea that history of the assemblage alters its current dynamics has come to be called “path dependence”

Classic examples of locking-in historical accidents:

**QWERTY keyboard** disputed by some paid apologists for Microsoft

**Microsoft Windows**

**VHS vs. Beta**

**New York vs. Philadelphia** Erie canal: faster and cheaper than the Pennsylvania turnpike

Shapiro and Varian (1998) is a guide to creating and exploiting lock-in for profit

Look back to lectures on heavy tails for examples of *highly* skewed outcomes which don't reflect any intrinsic differences



Following Scott Page (2006), can usefully distinguish 3 varieties

**State dependence** Current state matters, but not the route to it;  
all paths to the same end-point equivalent  
(Markov)

**Path dependence** The *exact* sequence of states taken to reach  
the present matters

**Phat dependence** *Which* states mattered, but their order can  
be scrambled without effect

## A Statistical Issue with Path Dependence

Path dependence and phat dependence both imply that the number of statistical parameters *grows* over time!

faster growth for path than for phat

Responses:

**Denial** Everything people claim is phat/path dependent is really just state dependent

**Acceptance** Sounds funny at first, but it's consistent and you get used to it (Walker, 2007)

**Bargaining** Microlevel process is state-dependent, but aggregate variables give imperfect information about it, and different bits of information depending on historical context

## Fatalism or Contingency?

The two unsettling possibilities:

“We are locked in to our path and unable to swerve from it; powerful forces compel us to continue in this direction”

*versus*

“We chose this path because of some tiny chance events; nothing particularly motivated or forced us to do this”

Why take sides?

EXERCISE: Read Jorge Luis Borges's “The Garden of Forking Paths”

# Adaptation

Huge topic, can only hint

*ad-* “to, toward, on top of, on outside of” + *aptare* “to make fit”

Making things fit together; making agents fit their environment

Basic strategy: do more of what works; do less of what didn't work; try something new

External adaptation: have lots of agents, copy the ones which did well

Internal adaptation: have lots of options, reinforce the ones which did well

Cultural transmission blurs distinction

Exploitation/exploration trade-off

How do you learn about what you haven't tried?

- Arthur, W. Brian (1994). *Increasing Returns and Path Dependence in the Economy*. Economics, Cognition and Society. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Axelrod, Robert and Michael D. Cohen (1999). *Harnessing Complexity: Organizational Implications of a Scientific Frontier*. New York: Free Press.
- Borkar, Vivek S. (2002). "Reinforcement Learning in Markovian Evolutionary Games." *Advances in Complex Systems*, **5**: 55–72. doi:10.1142/S0219525902000535.
- Camazine, Scott, Jean-Louis Deneubourg, Nigel R. Franks, James Sneyd, Guy Theraulaz and Eric Bonabeau (2001). *Self-Organization in Biological Systems*. Princeton, New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- Clark, Andy (2003). *Natural-Born Cyborgs: Minds, Technologies, and the Future of Human Intelligence*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Elvin, Mark (1973). *The Pattern of the Chinese Past*. Stanford, California: Stanford University Press.

- Foster, Dean P. and H. Peyton Young (2003). "Learning, Hypothesis Testing and Nash Equilibrium." *Games and Economic Behavior*, **45**: 73–96. URL <http://www.econ.jhu.edu/people/young/nash.pdf>.
- Frawley, William D. (1997). *Vygotsky and Cognitive Science: Language and the Unification of the Social and Computational Mind*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.
- Hayek, Friedrich A. (1937). "Economics and Knowledge." *Economica*, **4**: 33–54. URL <http://www.econlib.org/Library/NPDBooks/Thirlby/bcthLS3.html>. Reprinted in (Hayek, 1948, pp. 33–56).
- (1945). "The Use of Knowledge in Society." *American Economic Review*, **35**: 519–530. URL <http://www.econlib.org/Library/Essays/hykKw1.html>. Reprinted in (Hayek, 1948, pp. 77–91).
- (1948). *Individualism and Economic Order*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

- Hutchins, Edwin (1995). *Cognition in the Wild*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press.
- Lindblom, Charles E. (1965). *The Intelligence of Democracy: Decision Making through Mutual Adjustment*. New York: Free Press.
- Loury, Glenn C. (2002). *The Anatomy of Racial Inequality*. The W. E. B. DuBois Lectures. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.
- McNeill, William H. (1982). *The Pursuit of Power: Technology, Armed Force and Society since A.D. 1000*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Mercer, Neil (2000). *Words and Minds: How We Use Language to Think Together*. London: Routledge.
- Page, Scott E. (2006). "Path Dependence." *Quarterly Journal of Political Science*, 1: 87–115. URL <http://www.cscs.umich.edu/~spage/pathdepend.pdf>. doi:10.1561/100.000000006.

- Schelling, Thomas C. (1978). *Micromotives and Macrobehavior*. New York: W. W. Norton.
- Shapiro, Carl and Hal R. Varian (1998). *Information Rules: A Strategic Guide to the Network Economy*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press, 1st edn.
- Simon, Herbert A. (1956). "Rational Choice and the Structure of the Environment." *Psychological Review*, **63**: 129–138. Reprinted in Simon (1982).
- (1982). *Models of Bounded Rationality*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press.
- (1996). *The Sciences of the Artificial*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press, 3rd edn. First edition 1969.
- Slee, Tom (2006). *No One Makes You Shop at Wal-Mart: The Surprising Deceptions of Individual Choice*. Toronto: Between the Lines.
- Stinchcombe, Arthur L. (2001). *When Formality Works: Authority and Abstraction in Law and Organizations*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.



Vygotsky, L. S. (1934/1986). *Thought and Language*.  
Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press.

Walker, Robert (2007). "Path, Phat, and State Dependence in  
Observation-driven Markov models." E-print, Society for  
Political Methodology archive. URL  
<http://polmeth.wustl.edu/retrieve.php?id=714>.

Young, H. Peyton (1998). *Individual Strategy and Social  
Structure: An Evolutionary Theory of Institutions*. Princeton:  
Princeton University Press.