

# Solution to Homework #1, 36-754

27 January 2006

## Exercise 1.1 (The product $\sigma$ -field answers countable questions)

Let  $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_S \mathcal{X}^S$ , where the union ranges over all countable subsets  $S$  of the index set  $T$ . For any event  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ , whether or not a sample path  $x \in D$  depends on the value of  $x_t$  at only a countable number of indices  $t$ .

(a) Show that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a  $\sigma$ -field.

(b) Show that if  $A \in \mathcal{X}^T$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{X}^S$  for some countable subset  $S$  of  $T$ .

Cf. the proof of Theorem 29 in the notes.

(a): We must show that (i)  $\Xi^T \in \mathcal{D}$ , (ii)  $A \in \mathcal{D} \Rightarrow \Xi^T \setminus A \in \mathcal{D}$  and (iii)  $A_n \in \mathcal{D} \Rightarrow \bigcup_n A_n \in \mathcal{D}$  for any countable collection of sets  $A_n$ .

(i): Pick  $S = \{t\}$  for any  $t \in T$ , and take the base set to be  $\Xi$ , i.e, the base set is  $\{x \in \Xi^T : x_t \in \Xi_t\}$ . Clearly, this set is  $\Xi^T$ .

(ii): Fix  $S$ . Then for any  $A \in \mathcal{X}^S$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi^T \setminus A &= \Xi^T \setminus \left( A \times \prod_{t \in T \setminus S} \Xi_t \right) \\ &= (\Xi^S \setminus A) \times \prod_{t \in T \setminus S} \Xi_t\end{aligned}$$

which is in  $\mathcal{X}^S$ .

(iii): Take any countable collection of sets  $A_n \in \mathcal{D}$ . For each such set, there is a corresponding finite set of indices,  $S_n$ , for which  $A_n \in \mathcal{X}^{S_n}$ . Let  $S = \bigcup_n S_n$ .

Because this is a countable union of denumerable sets,  $S$  is itself countable. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_n A_n &= \bigcup_n \left( A_n \times \prod_{t \in T \setminus S_n} \Xi_t \right) \\ &= \bigcup_n \left( A_n \times \prod_{t \in S \setminus S_n} \Xi_t \times \prod_{t \in T \setminus S} \Xi_t \right) \\ &= \left( \bigcup_n A_n \times \prod_{t \in S \setminus S_n} \Xi_t \right) \times \prod_{t \in T \setminus S} \Xi_t \end{aligned}$$

which is clearly  $\in \mathcal{X}^S$ . Hence  $\mathcal{D}$  is closed under countable unions.  $\square$

(b):  $\mathcal{X}^T$  is, by definition, the smallest  $\sigma$ -field containing all the finite cylinders. Since every finite cylinder is in  $\mathcal{D}$ , clearly  $\mathcal{X}^T \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ . But, by definition, if  $A \in \mathcal{D}$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{X}^S$  for some countable  $S$ .  $\square$

*Source:* Billingsley, *Probability and Measure*, third edition, Theorem 36.3 (ii), pp. 492–493.

### Exercise 3.1 (Łomnick-Ulam Theorem on infinite product measures)

Let  $T$  be an uncountable index set, and  $(\Xi_t, \mathcal{X}_t, \mu_t)$  a collection of probability spaces. Show that there exist independent random variables  $X_t$  in  $\Xi_t$  with distributions  $\mu_t$ . *Hint:* use the Ionescu Tulcea theorem on countable subsets of  $T$ , and then imitate the proof of the Kolmogorov extension theorem.

Pick any countable collection of indices  $J \subset T$ . Arrange them in any order; there is a 1-1 correspondence between natural numbers and index values. For each  $n > 1$ , let  $\kappa_n$  be a kernel from  $\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \Xi_i$  to  $\Xi_n$ , which always gives the measure  $\mu_n$ . (For  $n = 1$ , set  $\kappa_1$  to be a kernel from the empty set to  $\Xi_1$  which always gives  $\mu_1$ .) Then the Ionescu Tulcea Theorem (33) gives us a measure  $\mu_J$  on  $\Xi_J, \mathcal{X}_J$ . Moreover, this measure does not depend on the ordering we chose of the indices in  $J$ : if we had chosen a different one, we would still get the same finite-dimensional distributions, and consequently (Theorem 23) the same infinite-dimensional distribution.

Now proceed exactly as in the proof of the Kolmogorov Extension Theorem (29), defining a set function on the countable cylinders, and showing that it is countably additive. (You should go through all the steps!)  $\square$

*Source:* Kallenberg, Corollary 6.18, p. 117.