

Lecture 5: November 9

Lecturer: Cosma Shalizi

Scribes: Alden Green

Note: *LaTeX template courtesy of UC Berkeley EECS dept.*

Disclaimer: *These notes have not been subjected to the usual scrutiny reserved for formal publications. They may be distributed outside this class only with the permission of the Instructor.*

5.1 Review

We begin by quickly re-defining some concepts from previous lectures. Specify a motif f on k nodes, and a graph g on n nodes.

Definition 5.1 (Homomorphism Density) *The homomorphism density of f into g is*

$$t(f, g) = \frac{\text{Hom}(f, g)}{n^k} \quad (5.1)$$

where $\text{Hom}(f, g)$ counts the number of homomorphisms from f into g .

Recall that for any graph g with corresponding adjacency matrix \mathbf{a} , we can define a function $w_g : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ as follows:

$$w_g(u, v) = a_{\lceil nu \rceil \lceil nv \rceil} \quad (5.2)$$

We can think of w_g as taking the adjacency matrix and squashing it into the unit square, and sampling a graph from w_g is the same as picking nodes from g , then connecting them if there is an edge between them in g . This leads to a second (and equivalent) definition for $t(f, g)$,

$$t(f, g) = \int_{[0, 1]^k} \prod_{(i, j) \in E(f)} w_g(u_i, u_j) du_1 \dots du_k \quad (5.3)$$

Recall the injective homomorphism density $t_{inj}(f, g) = \mathbb{P}(f \preceq G[k])$ where $G[k]$ is the induced subgraph we get by randomly sampling k nodes from g . The following proposition bounds the difference between t and t_{inj} .

Proposition 5.2

$$|t(f, g) - t_{inj}(f, g)| \leq \frac{k^2}{2n} \quad (5.4)$$

Notice that we can define the homomorphism density for a function $w : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ in a similar manner as we did for a graph.

$$t(f, w) = \int_{[0,1]^k} \prod_{(i,j) \in E(f)} w(u_i, u_j) du_1 \dots du_k \quad (5.5)$$

and so $t(f, g) = t(f, w_g)$. This allows us to talk about the convergence of graph sequences to a graphon function.

Definition 5.3 (Convergence of Graph Sequences) *A sequence $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m, \dots$ converges when $\forall f, t(f, g_m)$ converges. The sequence converges to w when $\forall f,$*

$$t(f, g_m) \rightarrow t(f, w) \quad (5.6)$$

Now we are ready to define a graphon.

Definition 5.4 (Graphon) *Two functions w_1 and w_2 are **equivalent** iff $\forall f, t(f, w_1) = t(f, w_2)$. An equivalence class of w s is called a **graphon**.*

*For any graphon function, the **w -random graph** on n nodes, $G_n(w)$, is the Conditionally Independent Dyad model with w as the edge probability function.*

Finally, we come to the main question for today: does $G_n(w) \rightarrow w$ in any useful sense? Recall that we might be interested in any of the four main types of convergence for random quantities: convergence in probability, almost sure convergence, convergence in distribution, and convergence in squared mean. We will focus on the first two today.

5.2 Convergence of w -random graphs

Our first theorem will cover convergence in probability of $G_n(w)$ to w .

Theorem 5.5 *For any motif f and any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$,*

$$Pr(|t(f, G_n(w)) - t(f, w)| > \epsilon) \leq 2e^{-\frac{\epsilon^2 n}{4k^2}} \quad (5.7)$$

Proof: To begin with, recall that one way to generate $G_n(w)$ is to say that (i, j) appears in $G_n(w)$ if $\xi_{ij} > w(U_i, U_j)$ where the ξ_{ij} and U_i are independent draws from $U(0, 1)$. Let $Z_i = \{U_i \text{ and } \xi_{1,i}, \dots, \xi_{i-1,i}\}$. Then, changing Z_i changes the value of $t(f, G_n(w))$ by at most $\frac{k}{n}$. We can therefore use the Bounded Difference Inequality and say

$$\mathbb{P}(|t(f, G_n(w)) - \mathbb{E}[t(f, G_n(w))]| > \epsilon) \leq 2 \exp\left\{-\frac{\epsilon^2 n}{k^2}\right\} \quad (5.8)$$

We then need to bound $\mathbb{E}[t(f, G_n(w))] - t(f, w)$. To begin with, we use the previously stated fact that for any graph g on n nodes,

$$|t(f, g) - t_{inj}(f, g)| \leq \frac{k^2}{2n} \quad (5.9)$$

Also,

$$\mathbb{E}[t_{inj}(f, G_n(w))] = t(f, w) \quad (5.10)$$

Putting these together yields

$$|\mathbb{E}[t(f, G_n(w))] - t(f, w)| \leq \frac{k^2}{2n} \quad (5.11)$$

Moreover, we have that if $2 \exp\{-\frac{\epsilon^2 n}{4k^2}\} \leq 1$ and $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, then

$$\frac{k^2}{2n} \leq \frac{\epsilon^2}{4 \log 2} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \quad (5.12)$$

Thus, we have that $|\mathbb{E}[t(f, G_n(w))] - t(f, w)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, and by extension,

$$\mathbb{P}(|t(f, G_n(w)) - t(f, w)| > \epsilon) \leq \mathbb{P}(|t(f, G_n(w)) - \mathbb{E}[t(f, G_n(w))]| > \frac{\epsilon}{2}) \quad (5.13)$$

$$\leq 2 \exp\{-\frac{\epsilon^2 n}{4k^2}\} \quad (5.14)$$

■

A simple consequence of this theorem is that $\forall f, t(f, G_n(w)) \xrightarrow{P} t(f, w)$. However, we can show something even stronger.

Corollary 5.6 For each $f, t(f, G_n(w)) \xrightarrow{a.s.} t(f, w)$.

Proof: Since the previous deviation inequality decreases exponentially in n , we have that $\forall \epsilon > 0$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|t(f, G_n(w)) - t(f, w)| > \epsilon) < \infty \quad (5.15)$$

so by the Borel-Cantelli Lemma, we have almost sure convergence. In particular, the Borel-Cantelli Lemma gives us

$$\mathbb{P}(\cap_{m=0}^{\infty} \{|t(f, G_n(w)) - t(f, w)| > 2^{-m} f.o.\}) = 1 \quad (5.16)$$

which is equivalent to $\mathbb{P}(t(f, G_n(w)) \rightarrow t(f, w)) = 1$. ■

This leads us to a strong Law of Large Numbers for graphs.

Theorem 5.7 (LLN for graphs) $G_n(w) \xrightarrow{a.s.} w$

Proof: We want to show that $\mathbb{P}(\forall f, t(f, G_n(w)) \rightarrow t(f, w)) = 1$. Let $B_k = \{f : |V(f)| = k, t(f, G_n(w)) \not\rightarrow t(f, w)\}$. Then, $\forall k > 0, \mathbb{P}(B_k) = 0$, and there are countably many B_k , so $\mathbb{P}(\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k) = 0$. ■