Plan Limits and Examples (Countable-state case)

- 0. Feedback
- 1. Summary
- 2. Invariant Distributions and Limit Theorems
- 3. Extended Examples

Next Time: More Examples and Applications

Homework 4 now on-line, due in two weeks.

Correction 1. "Yanko" in previous handout. The Strong Markov Property reads:

Let T be a stopping time, X be a (discrete-time) Markov chain, and let h be a bounded, measurable function on sample paths. Then,

$$\mathsf{E}(h(X_{T+1}, X_{T+2}, \dots) \mid \mathcal{F}_T) \, 1\{T < \infty\} = \mathsf{E}_{X_T}(h(X_1, X_2, \dots) 1\{T < \infty\}, \tag{1}$$

where $E_{X_T}h(X_1, X_2, ...)$ corresponds to a chain whose initial distribution on S is the distribution of X_T . (Specifically, if $g(s) = \mathsf{E}_s h(X_1, X_2, ...)$, then that random variable is $g(X_T)$.) The indicator says that this equality only applies if $T < \infty$. (Otherwise, for example, X_T does not make sense.)

Note the connection with the standard Markov property for stopping time T and deterministic time n:

$$\mathsf{E}(h(X_{T+1}, X_{T+2}, \dots) \mid \mathcal{F}_T) \, 1\{T < \infty\} = \mathsf{E}_{X_T}(h(X_1, X_2, \dots) 1\{T < \infty\}$$
(2)

$$\mathsf{E}(h(X_{n+1}, X_{n+2}, \dots) \mid \mathcal{F}_n) \qquad \qquad = \mathsf{E}_{X_n}(h(X_1, X_2, \dots) \qquad . \tag{3}$$

Summary 2.	Markov Chains:	Notation	(short form)
We are working	in a probability	space $(\Omega,$	\mathcal{F},E).

Concept	Notation
Markov Chain	$X = (X_n)_{n \ge 0}$. X_n is state at time n . X is random function (sample path). " X " is default but not exclusive.
State Space	${\mathcal S}$ set of possible values of the chain at any given time. ${\mathcal S}$ is the default but
Initial Distribution	not exclusive. μ , a probability distribution on S . In the countable-state case, this is often used as a row vector. μ is the default but not exclusive.
Transition Probabilities	P , either as a transition probability kernel $P(s, A)$ or as a transition probability matrix $P(s, s') \equiv P_{ss'}$. In either case, P^n for $n \geq 0$ is the corresponding n -step transition probabilities. P is typical and default but not exclusive.
Probabilities	Let μ be a distribution on S and $s \in S$. E_{μ} represents the expected value operator using μ for the initial distribution of the chain and E_s represents the expected value operator using a point mass at s for the initial distribution of the chain. Similarly, P_{μ} and P_s represent the corresponding probability measures (on Ω).
Return Times etc.	$T_A = \inf \{n \ge 1 : X_n \in A\}$ is the first return time to A . Note that $T_A = \infty$ if X never returns to A . We write T_s when $A = \{s\}$. for $s \in S$. The distribution of T_A for different sets A is informative about the behavior of the chain. Define for $s \in S$ and $A \subset S$
	$R(s,A) = P_s\{T_A < \infty\}$

the probability of return to A (starting at s) and the expected return time. Note that T_A is a stopping time.

 $M(s, A) = \mathsf{E}_s T_A,$

 $O_A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1\{X_n \in A\}$ is called the *occupation time* of A. Define for $s \in S$ and $A \subset S$

$$O(s,A) = \mathsf{E}_s O_A$$

the expected occupation time of A starting at s.

We write R(s, s'), M(s, s'), O(s, s'), and so forth when $A = \{s'\}$ is a singleton. $P_{!A}^n(s, B) = \mathsf{P}_s\{X_n \in B, T_A \ge n\}$. The ! stands for "not".

The history of the process up to time n is the σ -field $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_0, \ldots, X_n)$. For a stopping time T, the history of the process "up to time T" is the σ -field \mathcal{F}_T given by

$$\mathcal{F}_T = \{A \in \mathcal{F}: A \cap \{T = n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\oplus}\}.$$

This contains all events for which, when T = n, we have can determine whether they occurred using the history up to time n.

Note that $\mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}_T \subset \mathcal{F}$. These are collections of events.

 π is a non-negative mesaure on S satisfying $\pi = \pi \cdot P$. If it is a probability measure, we call it an *invariant* or *stationary* distribution. π is default but not exclusive.

Taboo Probabilities Past History

Invariant Measures

23 Feb 2006

Summary 3. Countable-State Markov Chains: What We Know So Far (short form) X is a countable-state Markov chain on S with initial distribution μ and transition probabilities P.

<u>Idea</u> Markov Property	<u>Result</u> Behavior of the chain is determined by initial distribution μ and transition probabilities <i>P</i> .
	1. $P\{X_0 = s_0, \dots, X_n = s_n\} = \mu(s_0)P(s_0, s_1)\cdots P(s_{n-1}, s_n).$ 2. $P\{X_n = s_n \mid X_{n-1} = s_{n-1}, \dots, X_0 = s_0\} = P(s_{n-1}, s_n).$ 3. $E_{\mu}(h(X_{n+1}, X_{n+2}, \dots) \mid X_0, \dots, X_n = s) = E_s h(X_1, X_2, \dots).$
Strong Markov Property	$E(h(X_{T+1}, X_{T+2}, \ldots) \mathcal{F}_T) 1\{T < \infty\} = E_{X_T}(h(X_1, X_2, \ldots)) 1\{T < \infty\}, \text{ for a stopping time } T \text{ and bounded, measurable function } h.$
Chapman-Kolmogorov	$P^{n+m} = P^n \cdot P^m$ for any $n, m \ge 0$.
Irreducibility	The chain is irreducible if there is only one communicating class.
Periodicity	For a state $s \in S$, the period of s is $d(s) = \gcd\{n \ge 1: P^n(s, s) > 0\}$. This funciton is constant on communicating classes.
State Decompositions	The relation \leftrightarrow is an equivalence relation, so S is a disjoint union of communicating classes. But we can go further.
Recurrence & Transience	 S = D ∪ ∪_iC_i, where each C_i is an absorbing, communicating class with period d_i and where D is a union of non-absorbing communicating classes. C_i = ∪_{k=0}U_{ik} where P(s, U_{i,k+1} mod d_i) = 1 for s ∈ U_{ik}, k = 0,, d_i - 1. This means we can study the behavior of the chain by understanding the irreducible, aperiodic case and the "transient" case. A set of state A ⊂ S is called <i>recurrent</i> if O(s, A) = ∞ for all s ∈ A. A set of state A ⊂ S is called <i>transient</i> if O(s, A) < ∞ for all s ∈ A. We have the following: For any s ∈ S, O(s, s) = ∞ ⇔ R(s, s) = 1. If X is irreducible, either O(s, s') < ∞ for all s, s' or O(s, s') = ∞ for all s, s'. If X is irreducible, either R(s, s') = 1 for all s, s' or R(s, s) < 1 for all s. Thus, an irreducible chain is either transient or recurrent.

 $\mathrm{continued.}\,.\,.$

Summary 3 cont'd

Invariant Measures	If X is an irreducible, recurrent, countable-state Markov chain, then there exists a measure ρ on S that satisfies the following:		
	 ρ is invariant; that is, ρ = ρ · P. ρ is everywhere positive; that is, ρ(s) > 0 for all s. ρ is unique up to constant multiples. 		
	The chain is called positive recurrent if $\rho(S) = \sum_{s} \rho(s) < \infty$ and null recurrent if $\rho(S) = \sum_{s} \rho(s) = \infty$.		
Stationary Distribution I	Let X be irreducible.		
	X is positive recurrent if and only if $M(s,s) < \infty$ for all $s \in S$. Then, there exists a (positive) invariant measure ρ on S with $\rho(S) < \infty$. Define $\pi(s) = \rho(s)/\rho(S)$ to get a probability distribution on S .		
	Thus, X has a stationary distribution π if and only if $M(s,s) < \infty$ for all s. The stationary distribution satisfies the following:		
	1. It is unique.		
	2. For any $s \in \mathcal{S}$, $\pi(s) = \frac{1}{M(s,s)}$.		
Stationary Distribution II	Let X be irreducible and aperiodic. Then for any $s_0, s \in \mathcal{S}$,		
	$\lim_{n \to \infty} P^n(s_0, s) = \frac{1}{M(s, s)}.$	(4)	
	Hence, if X is positive recurrent with stationary distribution π ,		
	$\lim_{n \to \infty} P^n(s_0, s) = \pi(s),$	(5)	
	and if X is transient or null-recurrent, $M(s,s) = \infty$, so $\lim_{n \to \infty} P^n(s_0,s) = 0$.		
	If X is positive recurrent, then for any initial distribution μ :		
	$P_{\mu}\{X_n = s\} \to \pi(s),$	(6)	
	In particular, if the chain is started with distribution π , it is stationar $P_{\pi}\{X_n = s\} = \pi(s)$.	ry:	

For the rest of this class, let X be an irreducible, countable-state Markov chain on S with initial distribution μ and transition probabilities P.

Definition 4. An irreducible, aperiodic, positive recurrent Markov chain is called *ergodic*. An irreducible, aperiodic, null recurrent Markov chain is sometimes called *weakly ergodic*.

Proof of Selected Claims 5.

Claim 1. Either $O(s, s') < \infty$ for all s, s' or $O(s, s') = \infty$ for all s, s'.

Then since for any $u, v, u \to s$ and $s' \to v$, we can find an ℓ, m such that $P^{\ell}(u, s) > 0$ and $P^{m}(s', v) > 0$. Hence,

$$\sum_{n} P^{\ell+m+n}(u,v) > P^{\ell}(u,s) \left[\sum_{n} P^{n}(s,s')\right] P^{m}(s',v).$$
(7)

It follows that $O(s,s') = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P^n(s,s') = \infty$ implies $O(u,v) = \infty$ and $O(u,v) < \infty$ implies $O(s,s') < \infty$. But both pairs of states were arbitrary, so the claim is proved.

 $Claim \ 2. \ O(s,s) = \infty \iff R(s,s) = 1.$

We can use the same trick we used for random walks early in the class. Notice that for any $s \in S$,

$$P^{n}(s,s) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathsf{P}_{s} \Big\{ T_{\{s\}} = k \Big\} P^{n-k}(s,s) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathsf{P}_{s} \Big\{ T_{\{s\}} = k \Big\} P^{n-k}(s,s), \quad n \ge 1, \tag{8}$$

$$P^{0}(s,s) = 1, (9)$$

where we've used $P_s\{T_s = 0\} = 0$. This is called the first-entrance decomposition.

Define generating functions for the sequences $P^n(s,s)$ and $\mathsf{P}_s\{T_s = n\}$.

$$G_s(z) = \sum_n P^n(s, s) z^n \tag{10}$$

$$R_s(z) = \sum_n \mathsf{P}_s\{T_s = n\} \, z^n.$$
(11)

The above recurrence yields

$$G_s(z) = 1 + G_s(z)R_s(z)$$
(12)

which implies that

$$G_s(z) = \frac{1}{1 - R_s(z)}.$$
(13)

This last is a version of the "renewal equation."

Because $R_s(1) = \mathsf{P}_s \{ T_{\{s\}} < \infty \}$ (or letting $z \to 1$ to be careful about convergence), we get that $R(s,s) = 1 \iff O(s,s) = \infty$.

Actually, we can get more out of this equation. Suppose for now that $R_s(z)$ has a radius of convergence > 1.

Assume that $R_s(1) = 1$ (that is, R(s,s) = 1) and $0 < R'_s(1) < \infty$ (that is, $M(s,s) < \infty$). (The second assumption follows from the stronger assumption on radius of convergence.)

Then $G_s(z)$ has a pole (an isolated, polynomial-like singularity in the complex plane) at z = 1. Notice also that $(1-z)G_s(z) \to 1/R'_s(1) = 1/M(s,s)$ as $z \to 1$. (L'Hopital's rule, for instance.) Take

$$\overline{G}(z) = \frac{R'_s(z)}{(M(s,s))^2(1-z)},$$
(14)

which has $(1-z)\overline{G}(z) \to -1/M(s,s)$ as $z \to 1$. Then,

$$U(z) = G_s(z) - \overline{G}(z) = \frac{1}{1 - R_s(z)} - \left(\frac{1}{M(s,s)}\right)^2 \frac{R'_s(z)}{1 - z}.$$
(15)

satisfies $(1-z)U(z) \to 0$ as $z \to 1$. As a result, we can take U(z) to be analytic in a neighborhood of z = 1. (The apparent singularity at z = 1 is "removable".) That is,

$$G_s(z) = \overline{G}(z) + U(z). \tag{16}$$

If $R_s(z) = \sum_{n>1} f_n z^n$, then

$$[z^n]\frac{R'_s(z)}{1-z} = \sum_{k=1}^n k f_k \to M(s,s) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$
(17)

(Why are both these facts true?) Because U(z) is analytic, $[z^n]U(z) \to 0$. Hence,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P^n(s,s) = \lim_{n \to \infty} [z^n] G_s(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} [z^n] \overline{G}(z) = \left(\frac{1}{M(s,s)}\right)^2 M(s,s) = \frac{1}{M(s,s)}.$$
 (18)

Claim 3. Either R(s,s') = 1 for all $s, s' \in S$ or R(s,s) < 1 for all $s \in S$.

Because of the relation with O(s,s), either R(s,s) < 1 for all s or R(s,s) = 1 for all s. If the latter is true and R(s,s') < 1, then by irreducibility, we have O(s',s) > 0 and thus, for some n, $P_{s'}^n(s',s) > 0$. This implies R(s',s') < 1, and the result follows by contradiction.

Claim 4. If X admits a positive stationary distribution π , then every state is recurrent.

Suppose $s \in \mathcal{S}$ is transient. This implies that $O(s,s) < \infty$, so $P^n(s,s) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. It follows then that

$$\pi_{s} = \sum_{s'} \pi_{s'} P(s', s)$$
(19)

$$\leq \sum_{s' \in S_0} \pi_{s'} P(s', s) + \sum_{s' \notin S_0} \pi_{s'}$$
(20)

$$\rightarrow \sum_{s' \notin S_0} \pi_{s'} \tag{21}$$

$$\rightarrow 0,$$
 (22)

for any finite $S_0 \subset \mathcal{S}$ with the last limit being as $S_0 \uparrow S$. This is a contradiction, so X must be recurrent.

Claim 5. Results on Stationary Distribution from Summary 3.

See the proofs in G&S section 6.4.

To translate between our notation and G&S notation, define $q(s,s') = \sum_{n} P_{!s}^{n}(s,s')$ for the taboo probabilies $P_{!s}^n(s,s')$. Notice that $M(s,s) = \sum_{s'} q(s,s')$.

G&S use $\rho_{s'}(s) \equiv q(s,s')$ and $f_{s,s'}(n) \equiv \mathsf{P}_s\{T_{s'}=n\}.$

Example 6. Binomial Runs

Consider a sequence Ξ_1, Ξ_2, \ldots of Bernoulli $\langle p \rangle$ random variables.

Let $Z_0 = 0$ and define Z_n to be the length of the run of 1s looking back from time n. That is, for sequence 00110111010001111, we get for instance $Z_1 = 0$, $Z_2 = 0$, $Z_3 = 1$, $Z_4 = 2$, $Z_5 = 0$, $Z_8 = 3$, $Z_{13} = 0$, and $Z_{17} = 4$. In general, $Z_n = \max\{0 < k \le n : \Xi_n \cdots \Xi_{n-k+1} = 1\}$, with $Z_n = 0$ if the set is empty (i.e., $\Xi_n = 0$).

Is Z a Markov chain?

Yes. The Markov Property follows because the flips are independent as can be calculated directly. What is the state space of Z?

 $\mathcal{S} = \mathbb{Z}_{\oplus}$

What are the initial distribution μ and transition probabilities P?

By definition, $\mu(j) = \delta_{j0}$. For $j \ge 0$,

$$P(j, j+1) = p \tag{23}$$

$$P(j,0) = 1 - p \equiv q. \tag{24}$$

What are the communicating classes? Is Z irreducible?

Z is irreducible, meaning there is only one communicating class.

To see that $P^n(i,j) > 0$, it suffices to find an *n*-step path with non-zero probability. If j > i, choose the path is $i \to i + 1 \to \cdots \to j$, which has probability $p^{j-i} > 0$. If j < i, choose the path $i \to 0 \to 1 \cdots \to j$, which has probability $qp^j > 0$. It follows that $i \leftrightarrow j$ for every $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\oplus}$. What are the periods of the communicating classes?

Because Z is irreducible, it suffices to find the period for one state. But P(0,0) = q, so the period is 1. Note that for a state i > 0, $P^n(i,i) > 0$ for all n > i. Since two primes are present in that list, d(i) = 1 as well.

Is Z recurrent?

For $i \geq 0$,

$$O(i,0) = \sum_{n} P^{n}(i,0) \ge \sum_{n} P^{n-1}(i,\mathcal{S})q = \sum_{n} q = \infty.$$
(25)

It follows that Z is recurrent.

Is Z positive recurrent?

There are several approaches here. One is to compute M(i,i) for each $i \ge 0$. This will, at the same time, give us the stationary distribution if one exists. Another is to solve for an invariant measure.

Let's try the second one. If $\rho = \rho P$, then we have for j > 0,

$$\rho(0) = \sum_{i>0} \rho(i)q = q \tag{26}$$

$$\rho(j) = \sum_{i \ge 0} \rho(i) P(i, j) = p \rho(j - 1).$$
(27)

It follows that we can take $\rho(j) = p^j(1-p)$. So Z is positive recurrent.

What is it's stationary distribution?

From the last calculation, we have $\pi = \rho$ since ρ is a probability measure. Hence, $\pi(j) = p^j(1-p)$ is the stationary distribution.

For "free," we get that $M(j, j) = p^{-j}(1-p)^{-1}$.

Example 7. Walk on a Finite, Bidirectional Graph

A graph is a collection of vertices joined by edges. Let $\mathcal{V} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ be a collection of vertices. Let $\mathcal{E}(v, v')$ be 1 if there is an edge in the graph between v and v' and 0 otherwise. Assume $\mathcal{E}(v, v') = \mathcal{E}(v', v)$.

Let μ be a probability distribution on \mathcal{V} . And suppose that Y_0 has distribution μ .

Let P be a transition probability matrix on \mathcal{V} that satisfies P(v, v') = 0 if and only if $\mathcal{E}(v, v') = 0$. Given $Y_{n-1} = v$, let Y_n have conditional distribution $P(v, \cdot)$. Then, Y is a Markov chain.

What is the state space of Y? S = V

What are the communicating classes? Which classes are absorbing? Under what conditions is Y irreducible?

On each connected component of the graph, there is a path in the graph between v and v'. If it is $v \to u_1 \to \cdots \to u_{k-1} \to v'$, then, $P^k(v,v') \ge P(v,u_1)P(u_1,u_2)\cdots P(u_{k-1},v') > 0$. Across components there is no such path, so P(v,v') = 0 by construction. Hence, each connected component is a communicating class. Since P(v, V - C) = 0 for every class C, every class is absorbing. Y is irreducible if and only if the graph is connected.

Suppose $P(v, v') = \mathcal{E}(v, v') / \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{E}(v, v_i)$. Describe the long-run behavior of the chain.

The definition of P shows that for vertices in one connected component, all non-zero transition probabilities out of that vertex are equal.

If C_1, \ldots, C_r are the connected components of the graph, then $X_1 \in C_i$ with probability $\mu(C_i)$, and henceforth, it proceeds as an ireducible chain restricted to C_i .

Without knowing more about the edges, we cannot know the periodicity, but define d_i to be the period of C_i .

Now, restrict our attention to a single communicating class, which is equivalent to considering a connected graph.

So for the moment, treat \mathcal{V} as connected. Define

$$\pi(v) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{E}(v, v_i)}{\sum_{i,j=1}^{m} \mathcal{E}(v_j, v_i)},\tag{28}$$

for all v in the component. Then,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \pi(v_j) P(v_j, v') = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{E}(v_j, v_i)}{\sum_{i,k=1}^{m} \mathcal{E}(v_k, v_i)} \frac{\mathcal{E}(v_j, v')}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{E}(v_j, v_i)}$$
(29)

$$=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m}\mathcal{E}(v_j, v')}{\sum_{i,j=1}^{m}\mathcal{E}(v_j, v_i)}$$
(30)

$$=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathcal{E}(v', v_j)}{\sum_{i,j=1}^{m} \mathcal{E}(v_j, v_i)}$$
(31)

$$=\pi(v'),\tag{32}$$

where the second-to-last step follows from the bi-directionality of the graph. This is a stationary distribution, so the chain is positive recurrent. What's the intuition for this stationary distribution?

This gives us the long-term behavior of the chain for a general, bidirectional graph.

Example 8. Walk on a Finite, Directional Graph

As before, let $\mathcal{V} = \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ be a collection of vertices. Let $\mathcal{E}(v, v')$ be 1 if there is an edge in the graph between v and v' and 0 otherwise. Unlike the last case, we do not assume $\mathcal{E}(v, v') = \mathcal{E}(v', v)$.

Let μ be an initial distribution on \mathcal{V} . Let P be a transition probability matrix on \mathcal{V} that satisfies P(v, v') = 0 if and only if $\mathcal{E}(v, v') = 0$. Define $Y = (Y_n)_{n \ge 0}$ as before.

One approach to understanding the chain is to do the conditioning trick that we've used before and apply generating functions. Let μ_n be the distribution of Y_n .

$$\mu_n(v) = \mu(v)\mathbf{1}_{(n=0)} + \sum_{v'} \mu_{n-1}(v')P(v',v)\mathbf{1}_{(n>0)}.$$
(33)

Define $G(z) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \mu_n z^n$ be the vector-valued generating function. Then, from the above recursion

$$\sum_{n} \mu_n z^n = \mu + \sum_{v'} \sum_{n} \mu_{n-1}(v') z^n P(v', \cdot)$$
(34)

$$\boldsymbol{G}(z) = \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{z}\boldsymbol{G}(z) \cdot \boldsymbol{P}.$$
(35)

So,

$$\boldsymbol{G}(z)(I-zP) = \mu \tag{36}$$

$$G(z) = \mu (I - zP)^{-1} = \sum_{n \ge 0} z^n \mu P^n,$$
(37)

with the last assuming the inverse matrix exists. We can use this to understand both the short and long-term behavior of the chain.

If Y is irreducible, then $O(s, S) = \infty$ implies that the chain is recurrent because the sum $O(s, S) = \sum_{s'} O(s, s')$ is finite, implying at least one (and thus all) terms must be infinite. Because any invariant measure on the finite state space will consequently be finite and hence normalizable, Y is positive recurrent as well.

The search for a stationary distribution π corresponds to a search for eigenvectors of P with eigenvalue 1, vectors π such that $\pi(I - P) = 0$.

Example 9. Finite, Doubly Stochastic Chain

Suppose $U = (U_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is an irreducible Markov chain on a finite state-space S such that $\sum_u P(u, u') = 1$. Because $\sum_{u'} P(u, u') = 1$, this implies that both the rows and columns sum to 1. Such a matrix is called *doubly stochastic*.

What is the stationary distribution of the chain?

Note that for any constant c, $\sum_{u} cP(u, u') = c$. Hence, this is an invariant measure. The unique invariant distribution π is then just a uniform distribution on S.

Example 10. Renewal Process and Forward Recurrence Time Chain

Consider a process $Z = (Z_n)_{n>0}$ given by

$$Z_n = Z_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n \Xi_k$$
 (38)

for IID random variables Ξ_i and arbitrary Z_0 . This is called a *renewal process*.

As an example, consider a critical part in a system that operates for some time and then fails. When it fails it is replaced. Think of Ξ as the lifetime of the replacement parts and Z_0 as the lifetime of the original part. Then, Z_n is the time of the *n*th replacement – a "renewal" of the system.

Given a renewal process, we can define $N_t = \sup\{n \ge 0: Z_n \le t\}$. Then, N_t is a counting process that counts the renewals up to time t.

Suppose now that Z_0 and Ξ_1 take values in \mathbb{Z}_+ and have PMFs $\mu \equiv \mathsf{p}_{Z_0}$ and $\mathsf{p}_{\Xi} \equiv \mathsf{p}_{\Xi_1}$. Z_n is thus a countable-state Markov chain, but not a terribly interesting one as it marches inexorably toward ∞ .

But we can define two related processes that will turn out to be very interesting in general. Define V^+ and V^- to be, respectively, the forward and backward recurrence time chains, as follows for $n \ge 0$:

$$V_n^+ = \inf\{Z_m - n: Z_m > n\}$$
(39)

$$V_n^- = \inf\{n - Z_m \colon Z_m \le n\}.$$
 (40)

Then V_n^+ represents the time until the next renewal, and V_n^- represents the time since the last renewal. These are sometimes also called the *residual lifetime* and *age* processes.

Are these Markov chains? What are the state spaces?

We can check the Markov Property explicitly. But the regeneration of the system at each renewal gives us a simple way of seeing it. When $V_n^+ > 1$, for instance, the next time is determined. When $V_n^+ = 1$, a renewal ensues and the next time is an independent waiting time. The state space of V^+ is \mathbb{Z}_+ ; the state space of V^- is \mathbb{Z}_{\oplus} .

What are the transition probabilities?

If $V_n^+ = k > 1$, then $V_{n+1}^+ = k - 1$ by construction. If $V_n^+ = 1$, then a renewal occurs at time n+1, so the time until the following renewal has distribution ξ . Hence,

$$P(k, k-1) = 1$$
 for $k > 1$, (41)

$$P(1,k) = \xi(k) \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

$$\tag{42}$$

For V^- , we can reason similarly. Let S_{Ξ} be the survival function of Ξ_1 . Then,

$$P(k, k+1) = \mathsf{P}\{\Xi > k+1 \mid \Xi > k\} = \frac{\mathsf{S}_{\Xi}(k+1)}{\mathsf{S}_{\Xi}(k)}$$
(43)

$$P(k,0) = \mathsf{P}\{\Xi = k+1 \mid \Xi > k\} = \frac{\mathsf{P}_{\Xi}(k+1)}{\mathsf{S}_{\Xi}(k)}.$$
(44)

Is V^+ irreducible? Is it recurrent?

If there exists an $M \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $S_{\Xi}(M) = 0$ and $p_{\Xi}(M) > 0$, then all states j > M are transient, and all states $\{1, \ldots, M\}$ communicate and are recurrent since there is only a finite number. (To see the latter, note that we can find a positive probability path between each pair of states in this set.)

If no such M exists, then V^+ is irreducible. Note that for all states n > 1, $P_{!1}^{n-1}(n,1) = 1$. Hence,

$$R(1,1) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \mathsf{p}_{\Xi}(n) P_{!1}^{n-1}(n,1) = 1.$$
(45)

So the chain is recurrent in this case as well.

What is the long-run behavior of the chain?

Let $\rho(j) = \sum_{n \ge 1} P_{!1}^n(1,j)$. Because $P_{!1}^n(1,j) = \mathbf{p}_{\Xi}(j+n-1)$ for $n \ge 1$, we can write

$$\rho(j) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \mathsf{p}_{\Xi}(j+n-1) = \sum_{n \ge j} \mathsf{p}_{\Xi}(n) = \mathsf{S}_{\Xi}(j-1).$$
(46)

Notice that

$$\sum_{j\ge 1} \rho(j) P(j,k) = \rho(1) \mathbf{p}_{\Xi}(k) + \rho(k+1)$$
(47)

$$= \mathsf{p}_{\Xi}(k) + \mathsf{S}_{\Xi}(k) \tag{48}$$

$$=\rho(k).\tag{49}$$

This invariant measure is positive (on its support) and is finite if and only if

$$\sum_{n \ge 1} \rho(n) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \mathsf{S}_{\Xi}(n-1) = \sum_{n \ge 1} n\mathsf{p}_{\Xi}(n) = \mathsf{E}\Xi_1 < \infty.$$
(50)

In this case, $\pi(k) = \rho(k) / \mathsf{E}\Xi_1$ is a stationary distribution.

Idea 11. The above argument leads to an interesting idea for the countable case.

Suppose that X is recurrent, Pick a state $s_0 \in S$ such that it is easy to compute $P_{!s_0}^n(s_0, s)$ for any $s \in S$. Define

$$\rho(s) = \sum_{n \ge 1} P_{!s_0}^n(s_0, s).$$
(51)

Note that $\rho(s_0) = 1$ because the chain is recurrent (i.e., $R(s_0, s_0) = 1$). Then, mimicking the above argument, we get

$$\sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \rho(s) P(s, s') = \rho(s_0) P(s_0, s') + \sum_{s \neq s_0} \sum_{n \ge 1} P_{!s_0}^n(s_0, s) P(s, s')$$
(52)

$$= P(s_0, s') + \sum_{n \ge 2} P_{!s_0}^n(s_0, s')$$
(53)

$$= P_{!s_0}(s_0, s') + \sum_{n \ge 2} P_{!s_0}^n(s_0, s')$$
(54)

$$=\rho(s'). \tag{55}$$

23 Feb 2006

This is finite only if

$$\rho(\mathcal{S}) = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} \sum_{n \ge 1} P_{!s_0}^n(s_0, s) \tag{56}$$

$$= \sum_{n \ge 1} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} P^n_{!s_0}(s_0, s)$$
(57)

$$=\sum_{n\geq 1}P_{!s_0}^n(s_0,\mathcal{S})\tag{58}$$

$$=\sum_{n\geq 1}\mathsf{P}_{s_0}\{T_{s_0}\geq n\}$$
(59)

$$=\mathsf{E}_{s_0}T_{s_0} \tag{60}$$

$$<\infty,$$
 (61)

which is just the positive recurrence condition.

Hence,

$$\pi(s) = \frac{\sum_{n \ge 1} P_{!s_0}^n(s_0, s)}{\sum_{n \ge 1} P_{!s_0}^n(s_0, \mathcal{S})} = \frac{1}{\mathsf{E}_{s_0} T_{s_0}}.$$
(62)

We already knew the last equality, but this gives a new way of finding a stationary distribution, using equation (51).