**Plan** Martingales cont'd

- 0. Recap and Overview
- 1. More Martingale Examples
- 2. Overview of Results

Reading: 12.1–12.6 Next Time: Martingale Results

Homework 6: G&S 12.1: 1, 2, 4, 5, 6; 12.9: 13, 18. Due next Thursday, 6 April 2006.

# **Example 1.** Sums of Random Variables

The same trick works with more general sums. Suppose that  $(X_n)_{n\geq 0}$  are independent random variables with  $\mathsf{E}|X_n| < \infty$ . Let  $Y_n = X_1 + \cdots + X_n$  for  $n \geq 0$ . Then,  $(Y_n)$  is a martingale by the same argument. (Make it.)

We can generalize this. Let  $(X_n)_{n\geq 0}$  be a sequence of real-valued random variables. Let  $g_k$  and  $h_k$  be functions on  $\mathbb{R}^k$  with the  $|h_k| \leq H_k < \infty$  for some constants  $H_k$ . Let f be a function so that  $\mathsf{E}|f(g_{k+1}(X_0,\ldots,X_k))| < \infty$ . Let  $Z_k = f(g_{k+1}(X_0,\ldots,X_k))$ .

Define  $Y_n$  by

$$Y_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \left( Z_k - \mathsf{E}(Z_k \mid X_0, \dots, X_{k-1}) \right) h_k(X_0, \dots, X_{k-1}), \tag{1}$$

Then,  $(Y_n)_{n\geq 0}$  is a martingale. Why?

This is example is not so interesting by itself, but it is quite a general mechanism for constructing martingales. And it helps you unpack complicated expressions. **Example 2.** The Doob Process

Let X be a random variable with  $\mathsf{E}|X| < \infty$ . Let  $(Z_n)_{n \ge 0}$  be an arbitrary sequence of random variables.

Define  $X_n = \mathsf{E}(X \mid Z_0, \dots, Z_n)$ . Note that  $\mathsf{E}|X_n| \le \mathsf{E}|X|$ . (Why?)

And

$$\mathsf{E}(X_{n+1} \mid Z_0, \dots, Z_n) = \mathsf{E}(\mathsf{E}(X \mid Z_0, \dots, Z_{n+1}) \mid Z_0, \dots, Z_n)$$
(2)

$$=\mathsf{E}(X \mid Z_0, \dots, Z_n) \tag{3}$$

$$=X_n,\tag{4}$$

by the Mighty Conditioning Identity.

**Example 3.** Harmonic Functions on Markov Chains

Let X be a countable-state Markov chain on S with transition probabilities P. Let  $\Delta$  be the drift operator:  $\Delta V = PV - V$ .

Recall that any V for which  $\Delta V = 0$  we called *harmonic*. This is a function for which

$$\mathsf{E}(V(X_{n+1}) \mid X_n) = V(X_n). \tag{5}$$

Hmmm... Define  $Y_n = V(X_n)$  for a harmonic V. As long as  $\mathsf{E}|V(X_n)|$  is finite, we've got a martingale. This will hold, for example, if we choose a bounded harmonic function. This is a useful mechanism for discovering martingales in Markov Chains.

(Similarly, a V with  $\Delta V \ge 0$  or  $\Delta V \le 0$  is called subharmonic or superharmonic respectively. What do you think we get in that case?)

# **Example 4.** Eigenvector Induced Martingales for Markov Chains

A slight generalization. Now, let V be an eigenfunction (eigenvector) of P with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . That is, for all  $s \in S$ ,

$$\sum_{s'\in\mathcal{S}} P(s,s')V(s') = \lambda V(s), \tag{6}$$

or equivalently,

$$\mathsf{E}(V(X_{n+1}) \mid X_n) = \lambda V(X_n). \tag{7}$$

So, define

$$Y_n = \lambda^{-n} V(X_n) \tag{8}$$

for such a V and  $n \ge 0$ . If  $\mathsf{E}[V(X_n)] < \infty$ , we have

$$\mathsf{E}(Y_{n+1} \mid X_0, \dots, X_n) = \mathsf{E}\left(\lambda^{-(n+1)}V(X_{n+1}) \mid X_0, \dots, X_n\right)$$
(9)

$$=\lambda^{-n}\lambda^{-1}\mathsf{E}(V(X_{n+1})\mid X_n) \tag{10}$$

$$=\lambda^{-n}\lambda^{-1}\lambda V(X_n) \tag{11}$$

$$=Y_n,\tag{12}$$

then  $(Y_n)$  is a martingale with respect to X.

This has a direct application to Branching Processes which we'll see in the near future.

**Example 5.** Discretization and Derivatives

Let U be a Uniform (0,1) random variable. Define  $X_n = k2^{-n}$  for the unique k such that  $k2^{-n} \leq U < (k+1)2^{-n}$ . As n increases,  $X_n$  gives finer and finer information about U.

Let f be a bounded function on [0, 1] and define

$$Y_n = 2^n \left( f(X_n + 2^{-n}) - f(X_n) \right).$$
(13)

What is  $Y_n$  approximating here as  $n \to \infty$ ?

What is the distribution of U given  $X_0, \ldots, X_n$ ?

Show that  $Y_n$  is a martingale wrt  $X_n$ .

## Past Example 6. Likelihood Ratios

This is an example from homework but still worth reminiscing about.

Let  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  be known PDFs. Let  $Y_0, \ldots, Y_n$  be an IID sample from a PDF f. We know that f is either  $f_0$  or  $f_1$ , but we don't know which. One way to infer the generating density is through a likelihood ratio test of

$$H_0 : f = f_0$$
$$H_1 : f = f_1$$

Define

$$R_n = \frac{f_0(Y_0) \cdots f_0(Y_n)}{f_1(Y_0) \cdots f_1(Y_n)}.$$
(14)

for  $n \ge 0$ .  $R_n$  is the likelihood ratio statistic computed from data up to index n. (We can assume  $f_0 > 0$  on the support of  $f_1$  to make this well defined, but the notion extends beyond this.)

Suppose the null hypothesis (H<sub>0</sub>) is true. Then expected values of the Ys are taken relative to  $f_0$ , so

$$\mathsf{E}\frac{f_1(Y_{n+1})}{f_0(Y_{n+1})} = \int \frac{f_1(y)}{f_0(y)} f_0(y) \,\mathrm{d}y = \int f_1(y) \,\mathrm{d}y = 1.$$
(15)

Thus,

$$\mathsf{E}(R_{n+1} \mid Y_0, \dots, Y_n) = \mathsf{E}\left(R_n \frac{f_1(Y_{n+1})}{f_0(Y_{n+1})} \mid Y_0, \dots, Y_n\right)$$
(16)

$$= R_n \mathsf{E}\left(\frac{f_1(Y_{n+1})}{f_0(Y_{n+1})} \mid Y_0, \dots, Y_n\right)$$
(17)

$$= R_n \mathsf{E}\left(\frac{f_1(Y_{n+1})}{f_0(Y_{n+1})}\right)$$
(18)

$$=R_n.$$
 (19)

Under the null hypothesis  $R_n$  is a martingale with respect to the Ys.

Under the alternative hypothesis (H<sub>1</sub>), however, if there is a region with high  $f_1$  probability but low  $f_0$  probability, then the  $R_n$ s will tend to grow. If  $\mathsf{E}\left(\frac{f_1(Y_{n+1})}{f_0(Y_{n+1})}\right) > 1$  in this case, the process will be a submartingale. For example, when  $f_0$  is a Normal $\langle 0, 1 \rangle$  and  $f_1$  is a Normal $\langle 1, 1 \rangle$ , the expected ratio is about 2.7 under the alternative.

#### Future Example 7. False Discovery Rates

Suppose we have *m* hypothesis tests and corresponding p-values  $P_1, \ldots, P_m$ . Let  $P_{(0)} \equiv 0$  and  $P_{(1)} < \cdots P_{(m)}$  are the p-values in increasing order. Then, Benjamini and Hochberg (1995) used the following threshold to determine which null hypotheses would be rejected.

$$P^* = \max\left\{P_{(i)}: P_{(i)} \le \alpha \frac{i}{m}\right\}$$
(20)

They showed that if we reject all null hypothesis for which  $P_i \leq P^*$ , we will control the *false discovery rate* at level  $\alpha$ . That is,

$$\mathsf{E}\frac{\text{falsely rejected nulls}}{\text{rejected nulls}} \le \alpha. \tag{21}$$

We will see later how to prove this using a cute martingal argument.

# **Outline 8.** Main Results for (sub and super) martingales

Just to give you a flavor for what we can do with these processes.

- 1. Decomposition into martingale plus predictable process A submartingale  $S_n$  can be written as  $S_n = M_n + K_n$ , where  $M_n$  is a Martingale and  $K_n$  is an increasing "predictable" process, meaning that  $K_n$  is determined by the history up to time n-1 (that is,  $K_n$  is a  $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ -measurable random-variable).
- 2. Strong convergence theorems

If Y is a submartingale with  $\mathsf{E}Y_n^+ \leq M < \infty$  for some M and all n, then there exists a random variable  $Y_\infty$  such that  $Y_n$  converges to  $Y_\infty$  with probability 1. Under some conditions, this can be made even stronger.

3. Crossing Inequalities

Let  $X = (X_n)_{n\geq 0}$  be a submartingale, and let  $U_n(a,b)$  for any a < b be the number of "upcrossings" of [a,b] by the process X, that is, the number of times the process crosses from below a to above b (in a sense to be made precise later).

Then,  $\mathsf{E}U_n(a,b) \leq \mathsf{E}(X_n-a)_+/(b-a).$ 

- 4. Large Deviation Bounds Bounds on the probabilities of large excursions in the process.
- 5. Maximal Inequalities

Example: if X is a submartingale, then

$$\mathsf{P}\left\{\max_{1\le i\le n} X_i > u\right\} \le \frac{\mathsf{E}X_n^+}{u}.$$
(22)

6. Optional Sampling of Process at Stopping Times

If  $T_1 \leq T_2 \leq \cdots$  are stopping times satisfying some conditions (such as being bounded by a sequence of deterministic times), then a submartingale Y sampled at these times remains a submartingale.

7. Optional Stopping Theorem

Let X be a martingale and T a stopping time. Then,  $\mathsf{E}X_T = \mathsf{E}X_0$  if

- A.  $\mathsf{P}\{T < \infty\} = 1$ ,
- B.  $\mathsf{E}|X_T| < \infty$ ,
- C.  $\mathsf{E}(X_n 1\{T > n\}) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$

These conditions, fairly mild, can be simplified in some cases.