

References from C. Genovese, E. Merriam Talk, Sunday 18 April 2004

- Benjamini, Y. and Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B*, 57, 289-300.
- Benjamini, Y. and Hochberg, Y. (2000). On the adaptive control of the false discovery rate in multiple testing with independent statistics. *Journal of Educational Behavior, Statistics*, 25, 60-83.
- Benjamini, Y. and Yekutieli, D. (2001). The control of the false discovery rate in multiple testing under dependency. *Annals of Statistics*, 29, 1165-1188.
- Benjamini, Y., Krieger, A. M., and Yekutieli, D. (2004). Adaptive Linear Step-Up Procedures That Control the False Discovery Rate. Research Paper 01-03 the Department of Statistics and Operations research, Tel Aviv University.
- Cao, J. and Worsley, K.J. (2001). Applications of random fields in human brain mapping. In M. Moore (Ed.) *Spatial Statistics: Methodological Aspects and Applications*, Springer Lecture Notes in Statistics, 159:169-182.
- Chen, F. (2004). A Two-Stage Method for Approximate Spatial Inferences by Combining Independent Site-Specific Analyses. Ph. D. Dissertation, Department of Statistics, Carnegie Mellon University.
- Finner, H. and Roters, M. (2002). Multiple hypotheses testing and expected number of type I errors. *Annals of Statistics*, 30, 220-238.
- Genovese, C. R. and Wasserman, L. (2002). Operating Characteristics and Extensions of the FDR Procedure. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society B*, 64, 499-518.
- Genovese, C. R. and Wasserman, L. (2003). A stochastic process approach to false discovery control. To appear: *The Annals of Statistics*.
- Genovese, C. R. and Wasserman, L. (2004). Exact Tail Control of False Discovery Proportions A stochastic process approach to false discovery control. Technical Report, Department of Statistics, Carnegie Mellon University.
- Liao, C.H., Worsley, K.J., Poline, J-B., Aston, J.A.D., Duncan, G.H., Evans, A.C. (2002). Estimating the delay of the response in fMRI data. *NeuroImage*, 16:593-606. POSTER (PowerPoint)
- Perone Pacifico, M., Genovese, C., Verdienli, I. and Wasserman, L. (2003). False discovery control for random fields. To appear: *Journal of the American Statistical Association*,
- Romano, J.P. and Lehmann, E.L (2004). Generalizations of the Familywise Error Rate. Technical Report number 2003-37, Dept.of Statistics, Stanford University.
<http://www-stat.stanford.edu/research/abstracts/03-37.pdf>
- Sarkar, S. K. (2002). Some results on false discovery rate in stepwise multiple testing procedures. *Annals of Statistics*, 30, 239-257.
- Storey, J. (2003). The positive False Discovery Rate: A Bayesian interpretation and the q-value. To appear, *Annals of Statistics*.
- Taylor, J. 2004. Unpublished work on neighborhood based FDR using random fields.
- van der Laan, M., Dudoit, S., Pollard, K.S. (2004). Multiple Testing Part III. Procedures for Control of Generalized Family-wise Error Rate and Proportion of False Positives.
<http://www.bepress.com/ucbbiostat/paper141>
- Worsley, K.J. (2003). Detecting activation in fMRI data. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research*, 12:401-418.