Homework 10 Solutions

(1) Let $\theta = (a, b)$. The density is

$$f(x; \theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{b-a} & \text{if } a < x < b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The likelihood function is

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \prod_{i} f(X_i; \, \theta).$$

If $a < X_i < b$ then the $i^{\rm th}$ observation contributes a factor of 1/(a-b) otherwise it makes the product 0. Hence,

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{b-a}\right)^n & \text{if } a < X_{(1)}, \ X_{(n)} < b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where $X_{(1)}$ is the smallest data point and $X_{(n)}$ is the largest data point. The likelihood is maximized by taking

$$\hat{a} = X_{(1)}, \ \hat{b} = X_{(n)}.$$

(1b) τ is the mean, which, for a Uniform(a, b) is $\tau = (a + b)/2$. The mle is $\hat{\tau} = (X_{(1)} + X_{(n)})/2$.

(1c) The nonparametric plug-in estimator is $\tilde{\tau}=n^{-1}\sum_i X_i$. The MSE is $MSE(\tilde{\tau})=\mathrm{bias}^2+\mathrm{Var}=0+(b-a)^2/(12n)=(b-a)^2/(12n)$. With b=3,a=1,n=10 this is is .0333. By simulation, The mle has MSE about .015, substantially smaller than the nonparametric plug-in.

(2) We need the Fisher information matrix for μ and σ . Now

$$f(x; \mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x - \mu)^2\right\}.$$

And

$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} \frac{\partial^2 \log f}{\partial \mu^2} & \frac{\partial^2 \log f}{\partial \mu \partial \sigma} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \log f}{\partial \sigma \partial \mu} & \frac{\partial^2 \log f}{\partial \sigma^2} \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} & -\frac{2(X-\mu)}{\sigma^3} \\ -\frac{2(X-\mu)}{\sigma^3} & \frac{1}{\sigma^2} -\frac{3(X-\mu)^2}{\sigma^4} \end{array} \right].$$

Since $E(X - \mu) = 0$ and $E(X - \mu)^2 = \sigma^2$, we have

$$I(\mu, \sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The inverse Fisher information is

$$I^{-1}(\mu,\sigma) = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \end{array} \right].$$

(3) $P(X < \tau) = P(Z < (\tau - \mu)/\sigma) = \Phi((\tau - \mu)/\sigma) = .95$. Solving for τ we get $\tau = \mu + \sigma \Phi^{-1}(.05) \equiv g(\mu, \tau)$. The mle is $\hat{\tau} = \hat{\mu} + \hat{\sigma} \Phi^{-1}(.05)$ where $\hat{\mu} = n^{-1} \sum_i X_i$ and $\hat{\sigma^2} = n^{-1} \sum_i (X_i - \hat{\mu})^2$. The gradient of g

$$\nabla g = \left(\begin{array}{c} 1\\ \Phi^{-1}(.05) \end{array}\right).$$

The asymptotic standard error of $\hat{\tau}$ is

$$se = \sqrt{\frac{(\nabla g)^T I^{-1}(\nabla g)}{n}} = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\Phi^{-1}(.05)^2}{2}}.$$

The estimates standard error is

$$\hat{se} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\Phi^{-1}(.05)^2}{2}}.$$

An approximate $1 - \alpha$ confidence interval is

$$\hat{\tau} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \hat{se}$$
.

(4) The mle is $\hat{\theta} = X_{(n)}$, the maximum data point. Note that $\hat{\theta} \leq \theta$. Hence,

$$P(|\hat{\theta} - \theta| > \epsilon) = P(\hat{\theta} < \theta - \epsilon)$$

$$= P(X_1 < \theta - \epsilon)^n$$

$$= \left(\frac{\theta - \epsilon}{\theta}\right)^n$$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{\theta}\right)^n$$

$$\to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$.

(5)
$$f(x; \lambda) = \lambda^x e^{-\lambda}$$
 so

$$\frac{\partial \log f}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{x}{\lambda} - 1$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \lambda^2} = -\frac{x}{\lambda^2}.$$

Thus,

$$I(\lambda) = E\left(\frac{x}{\lambda^2}\right) = \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

(6a)
$$\psi = P(Y_1 = 1) = P(X_1 > 0) = P(X_1 - \theta > -\theta) = P(Z > -\theta) = 1 - P(Z < -\theta) = 1 - \Phi(-\theta)$$
. The mle is $\hat{\psi} = 1 - \Phi(-\hat{\theta}) = 1 - \Phi(-\overline{X})$.

(b) Let $g(\theta) = 1 - \Phi(-\theta) = \Phi(\theta)$. Then, $g'(\theta) = \phi(\theta)$. The estimated standard error of ψ is $\hat{se} = \hat{se}(\hat{\theta})|g'(\hat{\theta})| = \phi(\hat{\theta})/\sqrt{n} = \phi(\overline{X})/\sqrt{n}$. An approximate 95 per cent confidence interval is

$$1 - \Phi(-\overline{X}) \pm 2 \frac{\phi(\overline{X})}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

- (c) $\widetilde{\psi}$ has mean $E(Y_1) = \psi$. Consistency follows from the weak law of large numbers.
- (d) Note that $Y_1 \sim \operatorname{Bernoulli}(\psi)$ so $\operatorname{Var}(Y_1) = \psi(1-\psi)$ and $\operatorname{Var}(\widetilde{\psi}) = \operatorname{Var}(Y_1)/n = \psi(1-\psi)/n$. The ARE is

$$\frac{\psi(1-\psi)}{\phi(\theta)} = \frac{\Phi(\theta)(1-\Phi(\theta))}{\phi(\theta)}.$$

(e) By the law of large numbers, \overline{X} converges in probability to $E(X_1) \equiv \mu$. So $\hat{\psi} = 1 - \Phi(-\overline{X})$ converges in probability to $1 - \Phi(-\mu) = \Phi(\mu)$. The true value of ψ is $P(X>0) = 1 - P(X<0) = 1 - F_X(0)$. For an arbitrary distribution F_X , we have $1 - F_X(0) \neq \Phi(\mu)$ so the mle is inconsistent. On the other hand, $\tilde{\psi}$ is still consistent.