

LECTURE NOTES 8

1 Statistical Inference

A central concern of statistics and machine learning is to estimate things about some underlying population on the basis of samples. Formally, given a sample,

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \sim F,$$

what can we infer about F ?

To make meaningful inferences about F from samples we typically restrict F in some natural way. A *statistical model* is a set of distributions $\mathcal{F}$. Broadly, there are two possibilities:

1. **Parametric model:** In a parametric model, the set of possible distributions $\mathcal{F}$ can be described by a finite number of parameters. Here are a few examples:

(a) A Gaussian model: This is a simple two parameter model. Here we suppose that:

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ f(x; \mu, \sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(x - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\}, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma > 0 \right\}.$$

(b) The Bernoulli model: This is a one parameter model where:

$$\mathcal{F} = \{ p_\theta(x) = \theta^x(1 - \theta)^{1-x} : 0 \leq \theta \leq 1 \}.$$

2. **Non-parametric model:** A non-parametric model is one which where $\mathcal{F}$ cannot be parameterized by a finite number of parameters. Here are a few popular examples:

(a) Estimating the CDF: Here the model consists of any valid CDF, i.e. a function that is between 0 and 1, is monotonically increasing, right-continuous and equal to 0 at $-\infty$ and 1 at ∞ . We are given samples $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim F$ and the goal is to estimate F .

(b) Density estimation: In density estimation, we are given samples $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim f_X$, where f_X is an unknown density that we would like to estimate. It turns out that the class of all possible densities is too big for this problem to be well posed so we need to assume some smoothness on the density. A typical assumption is that the model is given by:

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ f : \int (f''(x))^2 dx < \infty, \int f(x) dx = 1, f(x) \geq 0 \right\}.$$

2 Point Estimation

Point estimation in statistics refers to calculating a single “best guess” of the value of an unknown quantity of interest. The quantity of interest could be a parameter or, for instance, a density function. Typically, we will use $\hat{\theta}$ or $\hat{\theta}_n$ to denote a point estimator. A point estimator is a function of the data $X_1, \dots, X_n$:

$$\hat{\theta}_n = g(X_1, \dots, X_n),$$

so that $\hat{\theta}_n$ is a random variable. The bias of an estimator is written as:

$$b(\hat{\theta}_n) = \mathbb{E}_\theta(\hat{\theta}_n) - \theta.$$

Similarly, the variance of an estimator is given by:

$$v(\hat{\theta}_n) = \mathbb{E}_\theta(\hat{\theta}_n - \bar{\theta}_n)^2$$

where $\bar{\theta}_n = \mathbb{E}_\theta(\hat{\theta}_n)$. The *standard error* is defined to be $se = \sqrt{v(\hat{\theta}_n)}$.

In the olden days, there was a lot of emphasis on *unbiased* estimators, and we wanted to find the unbiased estimators with small (or minimal variance). In modern statistics, we often use biased estimators because the reduction in variance often justifies the bias.

We call an estimator of a parameter *consistent* if the estimator converges to the true parameter in probability, i.e. for any ϵ :

$$\mathbb{P}_\theta(|\hat{\theta}_n - \theta| \geq \epsilon) \rightarrow 0,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In other words, $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{P} \theta$ or $\hat{\theta}_n - \theta = o_P(1)$.

3 The Bias-Variance decomposition

One way to compute the quality of an estimator is via its mean squared error:

$$\text{MSE} = \mathbb{E}_\theta(\theta - \hat{\theta}_n)^2.$$

The MSE can be decomposed as the sum of the squared bias and variance, i.e.:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MSE} &= \mathbb{E}_\theta(\theta - \hat{\theta}_n)^2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}_\theta(\theta - \bar{\theta}_n + \bar{\theta}_n - \hat{\theta}_n)^2 \\ &= b(\hat{\theta}_n)^2 + v(\hat{\theta}_n). \end{aligned}$$

A simple consequence of this decomposition is: $b(\hat{\theta}_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $v(\hat{\theta}_n) \rightarrow 0$ then $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{qm} \theta$ and hence $\hat{\theta}_n \xrightarrow{P} \theta$.

Example: Suppose $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Ber}(p)$, and our estimator:

$$\hat{p}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

What is the bias of this estimator? What is its variance? Is the estimator consistent?

4 Asymptotic Normality

Often estimators that we study will have an asymptotically normal distribution. This means that: $(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)/\text{se} \rightsquigarrow N(0, 1)$. We will refer to this property as asymptotic normality.

5 Confidence Sets

In general, for a parameter θ we define a $1 - \alpha$ confidence set C_n to be any random set which has the property that:

$$\mathbb{P}_\theta(\theta \in C_n) \geq 1 - \alpha$$

for all θ . We refer to $\mathbb{P}_\theta(\theta \in C_n)$ as the coverage of the confidence set C_n . The confidence set C_n is a random set (and θ is a fixed parameter).

One can think about the coverage guarantee in the following way:

You repeat the experiment many times, each time constructing a different confidence interval C_n . Then $1 - \alpha$ of these different sets will contain the corresponding true parameter. Notice, that the true parameter does not have to be fixed, so in some sense the experiment you conduct can be different each time.

We already saw a way to construct confidence intervals for a Bernoulli parameter using Hoeffding's inequality. More generally, we can always use concentration inequalities to construct confidence intervals. These confidence intervals are often loose and we instead resort to approximate (asymptotic) confidence intervals.

It is often the case that:

$$\frac{\hat{\theta}_n - \theta}{\sqrt{v(\hat{\theta}_n)}}$$

is asymptotically $N(0, 1)$. In these cases we have that $\hat{\theta}_n \approx N(\theta, v(\hat{\theta}_n))$. Define, $z_{\alpha/2} = \Phi^{-1}(1 - \alpha/2)$. Then we would construct a confidence interval:

$$C_n = \left(\hat{\theta}_n - z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{v(\hat{\theta}_n)}, \hat{\theta}_n + z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{v(\hat{\theta}_n)} \right).$$

We now need to verify that:

$$\mathbb{P}_\theta(\theta \in C_n) \rightarrow 1 - \alpha,$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which is what it means to be an asymptotic confidence interval.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_\theta(\theta \in C_n) &= \mathbb{P}(\hat{\theta}_n - z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{v(\hat{\theta}_n)} \leq \theta \leq \hat{\theta}_n + z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{v(\hat{\theta}_n)}) \\ &= \mathbb{P}_\theta \left(-z_{\alpha/2} \leq \frac{\hat{\theta}_n - \theta}{\sqrt{v(\hat{\theta}_n)}} \leq z_{\alpha/2} \right) \\ &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}(-z_{\alpha/2} \leq Z \leq z_{\alpha/2}) = 1 - \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Example: Bernoulli confidence sets:

1. We previously constructed confidence sets using Hoeffding's inequality. They took the form:

$$C_n = \left(\hat{p}_n - \sqrt{\frac{\log(2/\alpha)}{2n}}, \hat{p}_n + \sqrt{\frac{\log(2/\alpha)}{2n}} \right).$$

2. If we instead use the normal approximation: we first note that the variance of our estimator is:

$$v(\hat{\theta}_n) = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}.$$

However, we cannot use this variance to create our confidence set, so we instead estimate the variance as:

$$\hat{v}(\hat{\theta}_n) = \frac{\hat{p}_n(1 - \hat{p}_n)}{n}.$$

With this we would use the confidence interval:

$$C_n = \left(\hat{p}_n - z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\hat{v}(\hat{\theta}_n)}, \hat{p}_n + z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\hat{v}(\hat{\theta}_n)} \right).$$

It is easy to verify that this interval is always shorter than the Hoeffding interval but it is only asymptotically correct.

6 Hypothesis testing

Typically, the way that statistical hypothesis testing proceeds is by defining a so-called **null hypothesis**. We then collect data, and typically the question we ask is whether the data provides enough evidence to *reject* the null hypothesis.

Example: Suppose $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Ber}(p)$, and we want to test if the coin is fair. In this case the null hypothesis would be:

$$H_0 : p = 1/2.$$

We typically also specify a **alternate hypothesis**. In this case, the alternative hypothesis is:

$$H_1 : p \neq 1/2.$$

Typically, hypothesis testing proceeds by defining a **test statistic**. In this case, a natural statistic might be:

$$T = \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - p \right|.$$

It might make sense to reject the null hypothesis if T is large. We will be more precise about this later on particularly by defining the different types of errors, and how to set the threshold for T .