Homework 7

Advanced Methods for Data Analysis (36-402/36-608)

Due Tues April 8, 2014 at 11:59pm

Instructions: this homework has no programming part, so you only have to submit solutions to the following exercises. As usual, you must submit a PDF; any other file type will not be accepted.

1 Principal component analysis problems

1.1 Sample means

(a) Let $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector. Show that a has sample mean (i.e., the components of a have sample mean) equal to

$$\bar{a} = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{1}^T a,$$

where 1 is the $n \times 1$ vector of all 1s.

(b) Suppose that $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ is a matrix whose columns are centered, i.e., have sample mean zero. Show that

$$\mathbb{1}^T X = 0,$$

where in the above, the right-hand side denotes the $1 \times p$ vector of all 0s.

(c) Now let $v \in \mathbb{R}^p$ be an arbitrary vector, and $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ be a matrix as above whose columns are centered. Show that the vector Xv has sample mean zero. Hint: use parts (a) and (b).

1.2 Orthogonality and directions

(a) Suppose that $v_1, \ldots v_k \in \mathbb{R}^p$ are orthogonal, meaning that $v_i^T v_j = 0$ whenever $i \neq j$. Show that $v_1, \ldots v_k$ are linearly independent vectors.

(b) Use part (a) to argue that there cannot exist more than p orthogonal vectors in \mathbb{R}^p .

(c) Use part (b) to argue that there cannot exist more than p principal component directions for a given data matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$.

1.3 Total sample variance

(a) Suppose that $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ is a data matrix with centered columns. Note that the sample variance of the data points (rows) in X, along the *j*th dimension, is given by

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n X_{ij}^2,$$

for j = 1, ... p. Define the *total sample variance* of X to be the sum of the sample variances along each of the p dimensions, i.e.,

$$\operatorname{TotVar}(X) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{p} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{ij}^{2}.$$

Show that the total sample variance can be written as $\text{TotVar}(X) = \text{tr}(\frac{1}{n}X^TX)$, where recall that tr(A) denotes the trace of a matrix A, i.e., the sum of its diagonal elements.

(b) Let X have singular value decomposition $X = UDV^T$, where $U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$ has orthonormal columns, $D \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ is diagonal with diagonal elements $d_1 \geq \ldots \geq d_p \geq 0$, and $V \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ has orthonormal columns. Prove that the total sample variance of X is

$$\operatorname{TotVar}(X) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{p} d_j^2.$$

Hint: start with the result from part (a). Also, use the fact that you can commute the product of matrices under the trace operation, i.e., tr(AB) = tr(BA).

2 General review problems

2.1 Orthonormal linear regression

(a) Suppose that we are given an outcome vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and predictor matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$, where X has orthonormal predictors (i.e., orthonormal columns). Prove that the linear regression coefficients of y on X are given simply by taking the inner product of each predictor with y (i.e., each column with y).

(b) Write the columns of X as $X_1, \ldots, X_p \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\hat{\beta}$ denote the coefficient vector from regressing y on X. Use part (a) to show that $\hat{\beta}_j$ is the same as the coefficient from regressing y on X_j , the output of a *univariate linear regression*, for each $j = 1, \ldots p$. Note that here we mean univariate linear regression without intercept.

(c) What does this tell you about dropping variables, say, when looking at p-values, from a linear regression of y on orthonormal predictors?

2.2 Variance estimation in nonparametric regression

Consider the nonparametric model

$$y_i = r(x_i) + \epsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \dots n,$$

where $x_1, \ldots x_n$ are considered fixed, and $\epsilon_1, \ldots \epsilon_n$ are i.i.d. with mean 0 and variance σ^2 .

(a) Suppose that we observe an additional copy of this data set

$$y'_i = r(x_i) + \epsilon'_i, \quad i = 1, \dots n,$$

where now all errors $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n, \epsilon'_1, \ldots, \epsilon'_n$ are i.i.d. with mean 0 and variance σ^2 . Consider the following variance estimator:

$$T = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - y'_i)^2.$$

Prove that $\mathbb{E}(T) = \sigma^2$. Hint: consider just one term at a time in the sum. Now, add and subtract a key quantity in each term.

(b) Explain in words (but still, concretely) why you would prefer the estimator T in part (a) over the simpler estimator $\frac{1}{2}(y_1 - y'_1)^2$.

(c) Now suppose that we didn't have an extra copy of our data set. One alternative idea, assuming that $x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_n$, is to use the estimator

$$U = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (y_i - y_{i+1})^2.$$

Derive an expression for $\mathbb{E}(U)$, simplifying as much as possible.

(d) Under what circumstances would you think U is a good estimator, i.e., would $\mathbb{E}(U)$ be close to σ^2 ?

2.3 True or false

You only have to answer true or false for each of the following questions. (As practice, you can try answering these without consulting your notes.)

- 1. The kernel smoothing estimate with infinite bandwidth is simply a linear regression fit to the data samples.
- 2. The smoothing spline estimate with infinite smoothing parameter is simply a linear regression fit to the data samples.
- 3. Lower training error generally means a better method.
- 4. If we run K-fold cross-validation for some regression method, writing $CV_1, \ldots CV_K$ to denote the errors from the K folds, then the cross-validation error estimate

$$CVErr = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} CV_k$$

is exactly an unbiased estimate of expected test error.

- 5. An appropriate estimate for the standard deviation of CVErr is given by the sample standard deviation of $CV_1, \ldots CV_K$.
- 6. If two methods A and B have the same degrees of freedom, but method A has a higher training error than method B, then we have good reason to believe that method A will also have a higher test error than B.
- 7. Additive models typically suffer from poor variance, but have very low bias.
- 8. The decision boundary for a logistic regression classifier is defined by the set of all $x \in \mathbb{R}^p$ for which the predicted probability of Y = 1, conditional on X = x, is equal to 1/2.
- 9. Generalized linear models are designed for cases in which the outcome Y isn't exactly a linear function of the predictors X, but rather, Y is a linear function of some transformation of the predictors g(X).
- 10. If Y is distributed according to an exponential family with natural parameter θ and dispersion parameter ϕ , then the mean $\mu = \mathbb{E}(Y)$ can depend on both θ, ϕ .