

Lecture 5: January 28

Lecturer: Siva Balakrishnan

Scribe: Zhili Feng

5.1 Recap

1. Sub-gaussian process:

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{t(X_\theta - X_{\theta'})}] \leq \exp\left(\frac{t^2 \rho^2(\theta, \theta')}{2}\right)$$

For the canonical Gaussian process we discussed so far $\rho(x, y) = \|x - y\|_2$.

2. One-step discretization bound:

$$\mathbb{E}[\sup_{\theta} X_{\theta}] \leq \mathbb{E} \sup_{\theta} (X_{\theta} - X_{\theta'}) \leq c \mathbb{E}_{\rho(\theta, \theta') \leq \delta} (X_{\theta} - X_{\theta'}) + \sqrt{D^2 \log \mathcal{N}(\delta, \mathbb{T}, \rho)}$$

where $D = \sup_{\theta, \theta' \in \mathbb{T}} \rho(\theta, \theta')$

3. Application: $\mathbb{E}\|W\|_2 \leq C(\sqrt{n} + d)$, where $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ with W_{ij} is a zero mean, one subgaussian RV.

5.2 Apply Naive Discretization Bound to Regression

Recall the setup, we observe $(x_i, y_i)_{i=1}^n$, where $x_i \sim P_X[0, 1]$,

$$y_i = f^*(x_i) + \epsilon_i, \quad \epsilon_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

The goal is to estimate f^* . We restrict $\mathcal{F} = \{f : f(0) = 0, \text{supp}(f) = [0, 1], L\text{-Lipschitz}\}$.

A naive estimator is $\hat{f} = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_i (y_i - f(x_i))^2$. Using the basic inequality, we have:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_i (\hat{f}(x_i) - f^*(x_i))^2 \leq -\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \langle \epsilon, \frac{\hat{f} - f^*}{\sqrt{n}} \rangle$$

Note that:

$$\langle \epsilon, \frac{f_1 - f^*}{\sqrt{n}} \rangle - \langle \epsilon, \frac{f_2 - f^*}{\sqrt{n}} \rangle = \langle \epsilon, \frac{\hat{f}_1 - f_2}{\sqrt{n}} \rangle \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{n} \sum_i (f_1(x_i) - f_2(x_i))^2)$$

which gives a natural metric

$$\rho(f_1, f_2) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i (f_1(x_i) - f_2(x_i))^2 \triangleq \|f_1 - f_2\|_n \leq \|f_1 - f_2\|_\infty.$$

Since the natural metric is data-dependent, which is not-ideal, it suffices to cover the space with $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ for upper bounds. The naive discretization bound then gives:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{\|f_1 - f_2\|_n \leq \delta} \left\langle \epsilon, \frac{f_1 - f_2}{\sqrt{n}} \right\rangle + \sqrt{L^2 \log \mathcal{N}(\delta, \mathcal{F}, \|\cdot\|_\infty)} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \left(\mathbb{E} \|\epsilon\|_2 \left\| \frac{f_1 - f_2}{\sqrt{n}} \right\|_2 + \sqrt{L^2 \times L/\delta} \right) \\ & \lesssim n^{-1/3} \quad \text{by picking } \delta^3 = L^2/3. \end{aligned}$$

5.3 Dudley's Bound

This section gives a tighter upper bound than the naive discretization bound.

Definition 5.1 *Dudley's entropy integral*

$$\mathcal{J}(\delta) = \int_\delta^D \sqrt{\log \mathcal{N}(u, \mathbb{T}, \rho)} du$$

Lemma 5.2

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_\theta X_\theta \leq c \left(\mathbb{E} \sup_{\rho(\theta, \theta') < \delta} (X_\theta - X_{\theta'}) + \mathcal{J}(\delta) \right)$$

Under mild regularity conditions we can take $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_\theta X_\theta \leq c \mathcal{J}(0).$$

Remark 5.3 $\mathcal{J}(\delta) \leq \sqrt{\mathcal{N}(\delta)}(D - \delta)$ since \mathcal{N} is non-decreasing.

Example 5.4 *We use Dudley's bound for non-parametric regression with Lipschitz functions:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbb{E} \sup_f \left\langle \epsilon, \frac{f - f^*}{\sqrt{n}} \right\rangle \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^L \sqrt{L/u} du \\ & \lesssim n^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Note this gives a better bound than the naive discretization.

Example 5.5 Let \mathcal{A} be a collection of sets with VC-dimension $d < \infty$. We want to bound $\mathbb{E} \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \mathbb{1}_{x_i \in A} - P(A) \right|$.

Write $\mathcal{F} = \{f = \mathbb{1}_A, A \in \mathcal{A}\}$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \mathbb{1}_{x_i \in A} - P(A) \right| \\ &= \mathbb{E} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_i f(x_i) - \mathbb{E} f \right| \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, x_1^n) \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{d \log n}{n}} \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{R} is the Rademacher complexity, $x_1^n = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Here the last step follows from Massart's lemma.

If instead we use Dudley's bound, and use Hassler's bound that

$$\mathcal{N}(\delta, \mathcal{F}, \|\cdot\|) \leq Cd \times 2^d \times (1/\delta)^d,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{F}, x_1^n) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n} \int_0^C \sqrt{\log(cd \times 2^d \times \log(1/\delta))} d\delta \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n} \int_0^C \sqrt{\log(d \log(1/\delta))} d\delta \\ &\leq C \sqrt{\frac{d}{n}} \end{aligned}$$

which gets rid of the $\log d$ factor of Massart's lemma.

As an application of this we can now recover something closer to the DKW inequality for CDF functions. The DKW inequality states that:

$$P(\sup_x |\hat{F}(x) - F(X)| \geq t) \leq 2e^{-nt^2}.$$

Since this corresponds to uniform convergence over the class of left intervals (i.e. intervals of the form $(-\infty, t]$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$) which has VC dimension 1, the above result together with the Azuma-Hoeffding bound for concentration yield,

$$P(\sup_x |\hat{F}(x) - F(X)| \geq t) \leq Ce^{-cnt^2},$$

for some constants $c, C > 0$.

5.3.1 Useful Inequalities

Theorem 5.6 Sudakov-Fernique Inequality

Given two sequences of random variables $\{X_1, \dots\}$ and $\{Y_1, \dots\}$ and $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $\mathbb{E}(X_i - X_j)^2 \leq \mathbb{E}(Y_i - Y_j)^2$ for all $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, then

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_i X_i \leq \mathbb{E} \sup_i Y_i.$$

Lemma 5.7 Gaussian Contraction Inequality:

Let $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)$, $\theta \in \Theta^d$, $\psi = \{\psi_1, \dots, \psi_d\}$ where each $\psi_i : \Theta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\|\psi\| \leq 1$, then:

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{\theta} \langle \epsilon, \theta \rangle \geq \mathbb{E} \sup_{\theta} \langle \epsilon, \psi(\theta) \rangle,$$

where $\psi(\theta) = \{\psi_1(\theta_1), \dots, \psi_d(\theta_d)\}$.

Proof: Since ψ is a contraction, we have $\mathbb{E}(\theta_i - \theta_j)^2 \geq \mathbb{E}(\psi(\theta_i) - \psi(\theta_j))^2$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. This allows us to use Sudakov-Fernique. ■

Example 5.8 Let $\mathcal{F}^2(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \{f^2(x_1), \dots, f^2(x_n), f \in \mathcal{F}\}$. We want $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}^2) \leq 2b\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F})$ if $\|f\|_{\infty} \leq b$.

Let $\psi(t) = t^2/(2b)$, then if we can show that ψ is contraction we obtain by the Gaussian contraction inequality that

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}^2) = \mathbb{E} \sup_f \langle \epsilon, f^2 \rangle = 2b \mathbb{E} \sup_f \langle \epsilon, \psi(f) \rangle \leq 2b \mathbb{E} \sup_f \langle \epsilon, f \rangle = 2b\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{F}).$$

We are left to show that ψ is a contraction:

$$|\psi(f_1) - \psi(f_2)| \leq \left| \frac{f_1^2}{2b} - \frac{f_2^2}{2b} \right| \leq \left| \frac{(f_1 + f_2)(f_1 - f_2)}{2b} \right| \leq |f_1 - f_2|.$$

5.3.2 Tightness of Dudley's Bound

Theorem 5.9 Sudakov Minoration

Let $\{X_{\theta}\}$ be a Gaussian process, $\rho(\theta_1, \theta_2) = \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(X_{\theta_1} - X_{\theta_2})^2}$ (usually called the “intrinsic metric” of X_{θ}), then

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{\theta} X_{\theta} \geq \sup_{\delta > 0} \left(\frac{\delta}{2} \sqrt{\log \mathcal{M}(\delta, \mathbb{T}, \rho)} \right)$$

Proof: Let $\{\theta^1, \dots, \theta^M\}$ be a packing wrt ρ . Since it's a packing we have $\mathbb{E}(X_\theta - X_{\theta'})^2 \geq \delta^2$. Now let $Y_{\theta^1}, \dots, Y_{\theta^M} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \delta^2/2)$ be i.i.d, hence $\mathbb{E}(Y_\theta - Y_{\theta'})^2 \leq \mathbb{E}(X_\theta - X_{\theta'})^2$. Now we can apply Sudakov-Fernique:

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{\theta} X_{\theta} \geq \mathbb{E} \max_{i \in [\mathcal{M}]} X_{\theta^i} \geq \mathbb{E} \max_{i \in [\mathcal{M}]} Y_{\theta^i} = c\delta \sqrt{\log \mathcal{M}(\delta, \mathbb{T}, \rho)}$$

■